

The agency of perception. A perceptual apparatus as a tool for critique and subversion, action and mediation

Conference or Workshop Item

Published Version

Wieczorek, I. (2020) The agency of perception. A perceptual apparatus as a tool for critique and subversion, action and mediation. In: Ambiances, Alloæsthesia: Senses, Inventions, Worlds 4th International Congress on Ambiances, 02-04 Dec 2020, e-conference, pp. 156-161. Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/96667/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <https://ambiances2020.ambiances.net>

Publisher: Réseau International Ambiances

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in

the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

AMBIANCES, ALLOÆSTHESIA: SENSES, INVENTIONS, WORLDS.

Proceedings of the 4th International
Congress on Ambiances.

Edited by Damien Masson

I

ambiances

RESEAU INTERNATIONAL
INTERNATIONAL NETWORK

Edited by
Damien Masson

Publishing Secretary of Proceedings
Chloé Pestel

ISBN 978-2-9520948-7-0

© International Ambiances Network, 2020

Cover design © Les murs - Pauline Gorge

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any means without the written permission of the authors and the publishers.

Ambiances, Alloæsthesia

Senses, Inventions, Worlds

4th International Congress on Ambiances
December 2020, e-conference

VOLUME 1

Edited by
Damien Masson

ambiances
| RÉSEAU INTERNATIONAL
INTERNATIONAL NETWORK |

The congress is organized by the International Ambiances Network, Scientific and Thematic Network of the French Ministry of Culture.

This initiative is funded by

- Bureau of Architectural, Urban and Landscape Research at the French Ministry of Culture;
- Grenoble School of Architecture;
- AAU “Ambiances, Architectures, Urbanités”, Joint research unit between CNRS (French National Centre for Scientific Research), Grenoble School of Architecture, Nantes School of Architecture, Centrale Nantes.

Partners

- CY Cergy Paris University;
- University of Thessaly.

Acknowledgements

These proceedings would not have been possible without a major collective mobilization. I would like to thank Prof. Marcos Novak for his warm welcome to UCSB and to the MAT team for proposing the organization of the Congress in person. I would also like to thank all the session organizers very warmly for their patient work in selecting the abstracts, critically reading the proposed chapters and for the confidence they have shown in the organization of this event, despite the uncertain times we have been going through in recent months. Thanks are also due to all the contributors to these proceedings. Without you, neither this book nor the congress would exist. Thank you in particular for having made the considerable effort to provide your chapters on time, for having taken the reviewers' comments into account in your texts, all this in a particularly complicated period due to lockdowns, overlapping calendars, holidays, new academic terms, and so on. Thank you also to all the members of the organizing committee and to the institutions that endorsed this event, for your support, both one-off and ongoing, without which the congress would not have been possible. In particular, a huge thank you to Nicolas Rémy, co-director of the International Ambiances Network, for your tireless work, your experience and your unfailing support for the success of this event and more widely for the management of the network. Finally, the proceedings in their present form would never have seen the light of day without the immense, conscientious, meticulous and creative work of Chloé Pestel, who ensured the exchanges with all the authors and session organizers, the spelling and typographical corrections of all the chapters and the realization of the present book. For all this and also for your constant good spirit, a huge thank you!

Damien Masson

Contents

Congress Organization	10
Foreword	13
Call for Papers	17
Contributors	22

SESSION 1

ATMOSPHERE, ANTHROPOCENE, URBANITY, SENSITIVITY	57
Introduction	58
<i>Niels ALBERTSEN, Suzel BALEZ, Laurent DEVISME, Jean-Paul THIBAUD</i>	
A Multi-Dimensional Approach to Ambiance Change Triggers in an Urban Context	62
<i>Brieuc BISSON</i>	
Introduction to the Sound Installation “Beyond the Mortal Eye”	68
<i>Bethan KELLOUGH</i>	
Atmosphere and the anthropogenic Metapolis	74
<i>Niels ALBERTSEN</i>	
Sensibilities to Lifeworlds	80
<i>Jean-Paul THIBAUD</i>	
At the Edges of the Phenomenal	86
<i>Suzel BALEZ</i>	
Ambiances of Anthropocene on Thessaly Territory, Greece: A Critical Dictionary	92
<i>Yorgos TZIRTZILAKIS, Afroditi MARAGKOU, Yorgos RIMENIDIS</i>	

SESSION 2

ARTIFICIAL LIGHTING AND DARKNESS IN THE ARCHITECTURAL AND URBAN PRACTICES	99
Introduction	100
<i>Nicolas HOUEL</i>	
Colored LED Lighting as a Primary Interior Spatial Condition	102
<i>Judy THEODORSON, Jennifer SCOTT</i>	
Design Practice as Fieldwork	108
<i>Rupert GRIFFITHS</i>	
Dark Design	114
<i>Nick DUNN</i>	

SESSION 3

ATMOSPHERES + DESIGN	121
Introduction	122
<i>Shanti SUMARTOJO</i>	
Room for Transition by Aesthetic Empowerment?	126
<i>Birgitte FOLMANN</i>	
Light Affects	132
<i>Stine Louring NIELSEN</i>	

From Parlour to Studio	138
<i>Daniele LUGLI</i>	
Architectures, Technologies, and Stadiums Atmospheres	144
<i>Michel RASPAUD</i>	
Reusing Atmospheres	150
<i>Francesca LANZ</i>	
The Agency of Perception	156
<i>Izabela WIECZOREK</i>	
Culture of Creation	162
<i>Satyendra PAKHALÉ, Tiziana PROIETTI</i>	
Designing With Fog	168
<i>John SADAR, Gyungju CHYON</i>	
Choreographing Aesthetic Atmospheres	174
<i>Malte WAGENFELD</i>	

SESSION 4

BODY, CULTURE, IDENTITY 181

Introduction	182
<i>Cristina PALMESE, José Luis CARLES</i>	
Olympus VR	186
<i>Giorgos LOUKAKIS, Spiros PAPADOPOULOS, Vassilis BOURDAKIS</i>	
Physical Body Awareness and Virtual Embodiment	192
<i>Magdalini GRIGORIADOU, Eleni MANTZARI</i>	
Atelier Art et Re-Action (Area)	198
<i>Ricardo ATIENZA, Monica SAND, Robin MCGINLEY</i>	
Resonant Spaces	204
<i>Sofía BALBONTÍN, Mathias KLENNER</i>	
Phantasmagorias of the Post-Colonial Interiors	210
<i>João CUNHA</i>	

SESSION 5

DIGITAL ARCHITECTURE. ATMOSPHERES IN DESIGN AND NEW RESPONSIVE & SENSITIVE CONFIGURATIONS 217

Introduction	218
<i>Amal ABU DAYA, Philippe LIVENEAU</i>	
The Formation of the Technological Sensitivity	220
<i>Sebastien BOURBONNAIS</i>	
Architecture and its Double	226
<i>Yasmine ABBAS</i>	
Atmospheric Gestures of Architecture in Cinematic Aided Design Framework	232
<i>Amir SOLTANI</i>	
Ornamental Atmosphere & Digital De-lights	238
<i>Amal Abu DAYA¹, Noha Gamal SAID</i>	
Homunculus Nimbus	244
<i>Mark-David HOSALE</i>	

Extending sensibility for metabolic processes in immersive media environments	250
<i>Desiree FOERSTER</i>	
Development of the Integrated Approach on the impact of the Climate Adaptive Building Shells	256
<i>Liliia ISYK</i>	

SESSION 6

FROM A SENSITIVE ECOLOGY OF AMBIANCES/ATMOSPHERES TO A POLITICAL ECOLOGY	263
Introduction	264
<i>Damien MASSON, Rachel THOMAS</i>	
From a Sensitive Ecology to a Political Ecology of Ambiances	268
<i>Damien MASSON, Rachel THOMAS</i>	
Anxious Atmospheres of the Apologetic State	274
<i>Seraphine APPEL</i>	
Sensing Vulnerability, Listening to Urban Atmosphere.	280
<i>Nicola DI CROCE</i>	
Ambiences of Empathy and Fear	286
<i>Sophia VYZOVITI, Thodoris CHALVATZOGLOU</i>	
Preference Atmospheres in the 'Carioca Gaza Strip'	292
<i>Alex Assunção LAMOUNIER, Vera Regina TÂNGARI</i>	
Ambiance Is Key in Any Innovation Strategy	298
<i>Diana SOEIRO</i>	
Affects as Foam of the Balance of Power at a Time of Urban Aesthetisation?	304
<i>Georges-Henry LAFFONT</i>	
Politicising the Atmospheres of Urban Environmental Changes	310
<i>Lucilla BARCHETTA</i>	

SESSION 7

INFINITE ATMOSPHERES? ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF AND FOR THE DESIGN OF PUBLIC SPACES	317
Introduction	318
<i>Théa MANOLA, Evangelia PAXINOI</i>	
Infinite Atmospheres	320
<i>Evangelia PAXINOI</i>	
Atmospheres of Rejection	326
<i>Ole B. JENSEN</i>	
Entangled Ambiance	332
<i>Marília CHAVES</i>	
Displacement: Architectural Collage	338
<i>Jennifer A. E. SHIELDS</i>	
Happy Atmospheres	344
<i>Nicolas REMY, Evangelia PAXINOI</i>	

SESSION 8**INHABITING INSECURITY. PRACTICES AND REPRESENTATIONS 351**

Introduction 352

Alia BEN AYED, Olfa MEZIOU

MAG 354

Isabel BARBAS

Fear of the Unknown in Urban Atmospheres 360

Farzaneh SEMATI, Hamidreza GHAHREMANPOURI

Ambiences and Safety? 366

Ari KOIVUMÄKI

INDEX OF AUTHORS, VOLUME 1 373

Congress Organization

Damien Masson

Organizing Comittee

Françoise Acquier (AAU), David Argoud (AAU), Suzel Balez (AAU), Stéphane Di Chiaro (AAU), Yiorgos Kalaouzis (UTH), Marcos Novak (MAT, UCSB), Chloe Pestel (CYU), Sophie Provost (AAU), Nicolas Remy (UTH), Daniel Siret (AAU), Rachel Thomas (AAU), Nicolas Tixier (AAU), Jean-Paul Thibaud (AAU).

Scientific Committee

Peter ADEY, Royal Holloway University of London, UK

Pascal AMPHOUX, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Niels ALBERTSEN Aarhus School of Architecture, Denmark

Amal ABU DAYA, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Suzel BALEZ, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Olivier BALAY, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Alia BEN AYED, ENAU (National School of Architecture and Urbanism), ERA Laboratory, Tunisia

Emeline BAILLY, CSTB, France

Vassilis BOURDAKIS, University of Thessaly at Volos, Greece

Jose-Luis CARLES, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Spain

Budhaditya CHATTOPADHYAY, Center for Arts and Humanities, American University of Beirut, Lebanon

Grégoire CHELKOFF, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Edith CHEZEL, Pacte Laboratory, Université Grenoble Alpes, France

Laurent DEVISME, AAU Laboratory, Crenau, France

Cristiane Rose DUARTE, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro - UFRJ, Brasil

Nick DUNN, Institute for the Contemporary Arts, University of Lancaster, UK

Tonino GRIFFERO, Università Tor Vergata, Italy

Nicolas HOUEL, AAU Laboratory, Crenau, France

David HOWES, Center for Sensory Studies, Concordia University, Canada

Andrea JELIC, Aalborg University, Denmark

Sylvie LAROCHE, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Thomas LEDUC, AAU Laboratory, Crenau, France

Laurent LESCOP, AAU Laboratory, Crenau, France

Philippe LIVENEAU, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Théa MANOLA, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Damien MASSON, CY Cergy Paris University, France

Olfa MEZIOU, ENAU (National School of Architecture and Urbanism), ERA Laboratory, Tunisia

Marcos NOVAK, Media Arts and Technology, University of California, Santa Barbara, USA

Cristina PALMESE, Paisajesensorial Office-Lab

Spiros PAPADOPOULOS, University of Thessaly at Volos, Greece

Giorgos PAPKONSTANTINOOU, University of Thessaly at Volos, Greece

Evangelia PAXINOOU, AAU Laboratory, Greece

Ethel PINHEIRO, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro - UFRJ, Brasil

Nicolas REMY, University of Thessaly at Volos, Greece

Ignacio REQUENA, AAU Laboratory, Crenau, France

Myriam SERVIERES, AAU Laboratory, Crenau, France

Paul SIMPSON, University of Plymouth, UK

Daniel SIRET, AAU Laboratory, Crenau, France

Aleksandar STANIČIĆ, TU Delft, Netherlands

Shanti SUMARTOJO, Monash University, Australia

Jean-Paul THIBAUD, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Rachel THOMAS, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Vincent TOURRE, AAU Laboratory, Crenau, France

Nicolas TIXIER, AAU Laboratory, Cresson, France

Aris TSANGRASSOULIS, University of Thessaly at Volos, Greece

Martin WELTON, Queen Mary University of London, UK

Izabella WIECZOREK, University of Reading, UK

Penelope WOODS, Queen Mary University of London, UK

The Agency of Perception

A Perceptual Apparatus as a Tool for Critique and Subversion, Action and Mediation

Izabela WIECZOREK¹

Abstract. By exploring a series of perceptual devices, which constituted a notable disciplinary expansion in the fields of art, architecture and design, particularly in the 1960s, the aim of this paper is to present apparatuses as instruments of embodied knowledge. The key proposition is that, situated in a liminal space between *æsthethics* and politics, perception and action, the discussed devices can be seen as tools of both critical analysis and radical intervention. They act as ‘performative manifestos’ which by acknowledging the agency of perception and by challenging conventions, reveal alternative spatial, somatic and societal realities, raising ‘atmospheric awareness’ and promoting a co-production of new ecologies.

Keywords. *Perceptual Apparatus, Radical Practices, Immersion, Atmospheric Awareness*

Performative Manifestos

Taking as a point of departure, Karen Barad’s definition of apparatuses as “dynamic (re)configurings of the world, specific agential practices/intra-actions/performances” (2003, 816, emphasis in original), the intention of this paper is to discuss the notion of a perceptual apparatus as a tool of both architectural critical analysis and radical intervention. That is, an apparatus that transcends its merely scientific connotations as well as quantitative logic, becoming instead a projective interface: qualitative, performative and affective.

Investigating and exploring such an apparatus should focus on its use as well as its design. In this sense, the design process is not, however, limited to a device as an *object*. Similar to Barad who defined apparatuses as “open-ended practices” (2003, 816), the Austrian artist and architect Walter Pichler described a whole series of devices and spatial interventions developed in the mid 1960s as *Prototypes*, suggesting “something from which something could later emerge” (Breitwieser and Pichler, 1998, 31). Additionally, what comes to the fore while thinking of perceptual apparatuses - for example the *Sensory Objects* (1966-69) of the Brazilian artist Lygia Clark - is that they are situated in a liminal space between *propositions* and *actions*, in which the object acquires “meaning and structure only in the moment of direct bodily interaction with the spectator” (Brett, 1994, 61). Within their paradoxical non-utilitarian nature, the purpose of perceptual apparatuses, is to “manipulate phenomena in the same way that architecture does - using space, time, sound and materiality to interact with its audience in a performative relationship” (Lim, 2006, 006). Such apparatuses may include instruments of observation that define perceptual and conceptual boundaries for (self-)knowledge production, creation and action; and devices of defamiliarization

1. School of Architecture, University of Reading, UK, i.z.wieczorek@reading.ac.uk

that re-enact bodily and environmental awareness by challenging objective reality and by revealing expanded perceptual worlds and alternative spatial possibilities.

A key proposition here is that perceptual apparatuses can be seen as instruments of embodied knowledge, used to construct, test and communicate new arguments and radical thoughts by means of experience and reflection, opening up a wide range of modes of engagement (individual and collective) with the material world. They are thought- and action-provoking *performative manifestos* that by acknowledging the agency of perception, reveal the creative power of observing bodies, providing a critique of the limits and potentials of architecture itself.

The Generative Power of Observing Bodies

In *Techniques of the Observer* (1992), Jonathan Crary explores the vast field in which perception and its comprehension were transformed, tracing a particular taxonomy of visual apparatuses in which immersive experiences relocated vision within a “carnal density” (1992, 150), converting the human body into an integral and active part of visual machinery. Exploring the origins of that specific epistemological transformation, Crary stresses the important shift from the passive spectator to the *observing body* that becomes both receptor and producer, involved in a dynamic and kinæsthetic relationship with other bodies and surroundings. In this sense, the use of the term observer carries specific connotations. As Crary explains, etymologically, the term observer “means ‘to conform one’s action, to comply with’. (...) [A]n observer is more importantly one who sees within a prescribed set of possibilities, one who is embedded in a system of conventions and limitations” (1992, 5-6).

The varied devices considered in this paper constitute a particular family of apparatuses, in which conventions and habits are destabilised, perceptual thresholds are redefined, internal worlds are exteriorised, and human bodies mobilised. They represent a larger disciplinary expansion in the field of architecture and design, which notably occurred in the 1960s, overlapping with artistic practices of that time.

It was at the beginning of the 1960s that the visual arts, through performances and happenings, reintroduced the human body not only as a subject of representation but also as a medium of expression and a locus of experience. Within this new creative framework, body and perception became central to the artistic production of the aforementioned Clark. She is of interest here not only for her *Sensory Objects*, but also for the architectural analogies that strongly resonate in her work². Following her belief that living in “architectural reality” is “To live in perception. To be perception in itself” (Clark, 1965, np), she developed a series of garments, armours and bodily envelopes that became “extensions of the skin” (McLuhan, 1964) - to allude to Marshall McLuhan’s conception of clothing as media of communication that shape collective consciousness. However, rather than McLuhan’s focus on clothing’s codification of social order, Clark’s bodily extensions shape collective (and political) consciousness through bodily involvement and interaction. In Clark’s works, “[t]he new skin seems to use the body as a kind of medium or resounding chamber for registering its presence and innovation” (Best, 2005/2006, 94), challenging dichotomies of subject/object, mind/body, and individual/collective. Resonance, as noted by Jean-Paul Thibaud, “involves the ability of the body to incorporate and be affected by vibratory forces: its capacity to engage with, be penetrated by and participate in the actual ambiance”

2. See, for instance: *Fantastic Architecture Critters* (1963), *The House is the body, The Body is the House* (1968-69), *Biological Architecture Birth* (1969).

(Thibaud, 2011, np.). Accordingly, Clark's devices can be seen as tools for engaging with and transforming ambiance, exploring reciprocal capacities of affecting and being affected.

Clark's *Sensorial Masks* (1967), designed as a series of hoods with incorporated ear-pieces and nosepieces, shifted attention from visual to non-visual experience, stimulating olfactory, auditory and tactile sensations. Tactility was also pivotal to Clark's *Sensorial Gloves* (1968) and *Abyssal Mask* (1968), which through the enhancement of the sense of touch questioned inside/outside relationships. While the aforementioned hoods and other perceptual devices such as *Googles* (1968) manipulated or restricted sight, using coloured filters and mirrors, suits such as *Straitjacket* (1968) restricted the movement of the body. Through bodily manipulations, sight, touch, smell and hearing became paradoxically both communication channels with the external world and vehicles of introspection, giving rise to societal, political and technological re-configurations of the body. In other words, Clark's *Sensory Objects* were awareness-arousing apparatuses, enacting body's affective, transformative and generative capacities.

Interestingly, while analysing sensory thresholds defined by new technologies, McLuhan assigned to art a new role of programming "anti-environments" that entailed creating particular situations, raising awareness "of the environment, in which we live and of the environments we create for ourselves technically" (McLuhan, 1967, 165). Such an idea was pivotal to many of the devices developed at that time in the field of architecture and design, conceived as "vehicle[s] for opening up new horizons of consciousness and outlook on life" (Blomberg, 2014, 98), as noted by Günter Zamp Kelp, one of the founding members of Haus-Rucker-Co³. Moreover, in a similar way that Clark's 'propositions' stimulated affective participation in the world, entailing the rupture with the object and furthering the "dematerialisation of art" (Lippard and Chandler, (1968) 1999), Haus-Rucker-Co's apparatuses represented "a dismantling and redefinition of architecture" (Blomberg, 2014, 108). They were devices charged with a critical potential, questioning modernist disembodiment and self-referentiality. By intertwining pleasure and irony, they were both devices of resistance and devices of critique orientated towards a possible future, liberating the generative power of the felt-body.

In Search of Atmospheric Awareness

Not only did perceptual apparatuses require bodily interaction in order to come into being, they would not have worked without an existing context, as Ortner emphasised (Blomberg, 2014, 112). The purpose of these devices was to produce atmospheric resonances by bringing the body into a relation with the surrounding ambiance, drawing attention to atmospheric conditions and atmospheric imbalances. It is precisely attention that "builds into the gap of perceiving and re-acting" as noted by Siegmund Gerald in his analysis of the notion of apparatus (2009, 339). Through channelling attention - that is, through creating an 'anti-environment' by means of somatic alterations or dislocation of perception - perceptual apparatuses stimulated reflections on physical and perceptual forces embedded within the environment, replacing passiveness and inattentiveness with active engagement.

Many of the devices had a prosthetic character and were designed as a "mini-environment to be worn on the body" (Porch, 2009, 23). Known as *Environment Transformers* (1968), Haus-Rucker-Co's *Flyhead*, *Viewatomizer* and *Drizzler* were conceived as such

3. Haus-Rucker-Co was an avantgarde group founded in 1967 in Vienna by Laurids Ortner, Günther Zamp Kelp, Klaus Pinter, and later joined by Manfred Ortner.

bodily extensions. They were helmets or masks with incorporated sight-filters, lenses and/or headphones, meant to “alter sensory impressions” that are “very often taken for granted,” regaining “a real contact with the world” (Porch, 2009, 23). Unfolding technological metaphors, many devices resembled a science-fiction costume prop as in Haus-Rucker-Co’s *Electric Skin* (1968) that acted as both a transformative medium and a vehicle of communication. Others, such as Coop Himmelb(l)au’s *Soul Flipper* (1969) and *White Suit* (1969), were conceived as sensorial activators, intensifiers of phenomena, and orchestrators of emotions. While *Soul Flipper* translated emotions into visual and auditory effects, *White Suit* expanded vision through olfactory and haptic sensations, translating it into bodily awareness. Other devices were conceived as immersive micro-environments which by dragging the subject into expanded perceptual worlds stimulated particular patterns of behaviour - like Haus-Rucker-Co’s *Yellow Heart* (1967-68), or the whole series of installations and devices entitled *Immersion* (1968-71) by the Italian architect and designer Ugo la Pietra, belonging to the Radical Design Movement.

However, regardless of their character, perceptual devices were meant to develop “aggressive energies that influence people physically and psychologically” (Porch, 2009, 23) - as described by Haus-Rucker-Co in their *Mind Expanding Programme* (1967-70). By exaggerating, intensifying, and mastering the senses, they conditioned and modulated experience of the city, questioning architecture’s social and environmental responsibilities. They were what La Pietra defined as an *Unbalancing System* - vehicles for subversion of the uniformity and normativity of the city, introduced into the urban fabric to induce moments of perceptive, behavioural and organisational imbalance.

La Pietra’s objective was to destabilise the regulating powers of the city “by means of the analysis and identification of the environmental and social situations within which we found ourselves living, with a critical physicality” (La Pietra 1991, 15). For him the body was an instrument of interpretation and knowledge production, and his *Immersion*s were certainly embodiments of that critical physicality; devices through which he attempted to break a “mummified” equilibrium or habit, and to “re-awaken the dulled imaginative faculties of the spectator and the citizen” (Dorfles, 1971, 3) of the citizens - as noted by Gillo Dorfles in the introduction to *Il sistema disequilibrante*. However, is that even though La Pietra’s apparatuses employed immersion as a means to separate the individual from the surrounding ambience - similar to Pichler’s *Small Room* (1967) and *TV-Helmet* (1967) - they were meant to be relational devices. They were not merely perceptual tools, but spaces that offered themselves “as a point for critical and imaginative reflection on the context itself” (La Pietra, 1972, 226). That is, for “a real comprehension of the social and psychological conditions in which we are ‘immersed’” (Trini, 1970, 30). As La Pietra explained, it was through disrupting the perception of reality by immersion and alienation that a critical reality was created and dynamic relationships set in motion, strategically pushing “toward a certain behaviour” (La Pietra, 1972, 226). In this sense, perceptual devices can be seen as instruments of atmospheric attunement, guiding not only ways of seeing the environment, but also showing potential for change. It was “an attunement of the senses, of labors and imaginaries to potential ways of living in or living through things” (Stewart, 2011, 453) - to borrow Kathleen Stewart’s words.

In conclusion, not only tools of defamiliarization - a transgression of propriety or a critical resistance to sensorial regimes - perceptual apparatuses are, above all, instruments of embodied knowledge. They offer new ways of understanding design and knowing through atmospheres, exploring conditions, actions, and processes through which atmospheres are disclosed and to which they give rise. As ‘dynamic (re)configurings

of the world', they render atmospheres not as fixed qualities of space and things, but as contingent, situational and relational, acquiring meaning only in the moment of the direct engagement of people with them. By intertwining critique and introspection, perceptual devices can also be seen as carriers of particular moods, invoking behavioural change. Thus, they are as much tools for raising atmospheric awareness as the actual generators of atmospheres.

References

- Barad, Karen. "Posthumanist Performativity: Toward an Understanding of How Matter Comes to Matter." *Signs: Journal of Woman in Culture and Society* (The University Chicago Press) 28 (3) (2003): 801-831.
- Best, Susan. "Lygia Clark (1920-1988) Bodily Sensation and Affect: Expression as Communion." Edited by Art Association of Australia and New Zealand. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Art* 6/7 (2/1) (2005/2006): 82-104.
- Blomberg, Katja, ed. *Haus-Rucker-Co. Architekturutopie Reloaded*. Berlin: Haus am Waldsee, 2014.
- Breitwieser, Sabine, and Walter Pichler. "A conversation with Walter Pichler." In *Prototypen/ Prototypes 1966-69*, by Sabine Breitwieser, edited by Sabine Breitwieser, 27-34. Vienna: Generali Foundation, 1998.
- Brett, Guy. "Lygia Clark: In Search of the Body." *Art in America* 82 (7) (1994) : 56-63.
- Clark, Lygia. "1965 About the Act." Lygia Clark. Accessed October 10, 2017. <http://www.lygiaclark.org.br>.
- Crary, Jonathan. *Techniques of the Observer. On Vision and Modernity in the Nineteenth Century*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, London: MIT Press, 1992.
- Dorfles, Gillo. "Preface." In *Il sistema disequilibrante*, by Ugo La Pietra, 2-3. Genova: Edizioni Masnata, 1971.
- Gerald, Siegmund. "Apparatus, attention and the body: the theatre machines of Boris Charmatz." In *Planes of Composition. Dance, Theory and the Global*, edited by Andr e Lepecki and Jenn Joy, 318-345. London, New York, Calcuta: Seagull Books, 2009.
- La Pietra, Ugo. "1960-1990: Thirty years of experimental research." In *Ugo La Pietra. Monographs on Contemporary Design*, by Pierre Restany and Maurizio Vitta, translated by Carme Artal and Graham Thomson, 14-16. Barcelona: Editorial Gustavo Gili, 1991.
- La Pietra, Ugo. "The Domicile Cell: A Microstructure within the Information and Communications Systems." In *Italy: The New Domestic Landscape. Achievements and Problems of Italian Design*, edited by Emilio Ambasz, 226-231. The Museum of Modern Art, 1972.
- Lim, Cj. *Devices. A manual of architectural + spatial machines*. Amsterdam, London: Elsevier Architectural Press, 2006.
- Lippard, Lucy, and John Chandler. "The Dematerialization of Art." In *Conceptual Art: A Critical Anthology*, edited by Alexander Alberro and Blake Stimson, 46-51. Cambridge, Massachusetts, London: The MIT Press, 1999.
- McLuhan, Marshall. "Clothing: Our Extended Skin." In *Understanding Media. The Extensions of Man*, by Marshall McLuhan, 119-122. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul Ltd., 1964.
- McLuhan, Marshall. "The Invisible Environment: The Future of an Erosion." *Perspecta* (Yale University, School of Architecture) 11 (1967): 161-167.

Porch, Johannes, ed. *Austrian Phenomenon: Architekturavantgarde Österreich 1956-1973*. Vol. Vol 2. 2 vols. Wien: Architekturzentrum Wien, Birkhäuser, 2009.

Stewart, Kathleen. "Atmospheric Attunements." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 29 (2011): 445-453.

Thibaud, Jean-Paul. "A sonic paradigm of urban ambiances?" *Journal of Sonic Studies* 1 (1) (2011).

Trini, Tommaso. "Le «Immersioni»." In *Il sistema disequilibrante*, by Ugo La Pietra, 30. Milano: Edizioni Galeleria Toselli, 1970.