

Investigation into the antimicrobial activity of fumarate against Listeria monocytogenes and its mode of action under acidic conditions

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Barnes, R. H. and Karatzas, K. A. G. (2020) Investigation into the antimicrobial activity of fumarate against Listeria monocytogenes and its mode of action under acidic conditions. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 324. 108614. ISSN 0168-1605 doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108614 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/91061/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108614

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108614

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

1	Investigation into the antimicrobial activity of fumarate against <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>
2	and its mode of action under acidic conditions
3	
4	Ruth H. Barnes ^a and Kimon Andreas G. Karatzas ^{a*}
5	^a Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, School of Chemistry, Food and Pharmacy,
6	Department of Food & Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AD UK.
7	
8	*Corresponding author.
9	
10	Corresponding author address:
11	School of Chemistry, Food and Pharmacy
12	Department of Food & Nutritional Sciences,
13	University of Reading,
14	Reading
15	RG6 6AD
16	UK
17	
18	Tel. +44 118 378 6678
19	Fax. +44 118 931 0080
20	Email: k.karatzas@reading.ac.uk
21	
22	Keywords: <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> , fumaric acid, glutamate decarboxylase, inhibition, GAD,
23 24	biofilm
25	
26	

27 Abstract

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Organic acids such as fumarate are commonly used as antimicrobials in foods. Apart from the classical mechanism of intracellular dissociation, weak acids are active through important additional mechanisms which are not well-defined. Fumarate, based on its low dissociation constants is expected to have a low antimicrobial activity which is not the case, suggesting additional antimicrobial effects. Previously, fumarate has been shown to inhibit the GAD system of E. coli and therefore, we investigated for first time how it affects this system in Listeria monocytogenes. We found that fumarate is highly antimicrobial towards L. monocytogenes under acidic conditions. We also show that in cell lysates and similarly to E. coli, fumarate inhibits the GAD system of L. monocytogenes. However, despite the inhibition and in contrast to E. coli, L. monocytogenes is able to counteract this and achieve a higher extracellular GAD output (measured by GABA export) in the presence of fumarate compared to its absence. The latter is achieved by a dramatic 9.44-fold increase in the transcription of gadD2 which is the main component of the extracellular GAD system. Interestingly, although maleate, the cis-isomer of fumarate results in a more dramatic 48.5-fold gadD2 upregulation than that of fumarate, the final GAD_e output is lower suggesting that maleate might be a stronger inhibitor of the GAD system. In contrast, the GAD_e removes more protons in the presence of fumarate than in the presence of HCl at the same pH. All the above suggest that there are additional effects by fumarate which might be associated with the intracellular GAD system (GAD_i) or other acid resistance systems. We assessed the GAD_i output by looking at the intracellular GABA pools which were not affected by fumarate. However, there are multiple pathways (e.g. GABA shunt) that can affect GABA_i pools and we can not conclusively suggest that GAD_i is affected. Furthermore, similarly to maleate, fumarate is able to eliminate L. monocytogenes in biofilms under acidic conditions. Overall, fumarate is a good candidate for 51 *L. monocytogenes* decontamination and biofilm removal which is not toxic compared to the toxic maleate.

53

54

1. Introduction

Foodborne illness is a significant public health problem both in the UK and globally. The 55 World Health Organisation (WHO) estimates that foodborne illness is responsible for 2.2 56 million deaths annually (Food standards agency, 2011). The majority of this illness in the UK 57 is caused by Campylobacter and Norovirus whilst most deaths are due to Listeria 58 59 monocytogenes and Escherichia coli infections. (Food standards agency, 2011) 60 Various strategies are employed to eliminate these pathogens in foods aiming to reduce the incidence of foodborne illness. One such strategy is the addition of organic acids which have 61 been used for centuries to prevent the growth of pathogenic and spoilage bacteria (Ricke, 2003). 62 Organic acids are believed to affect microorganisms through diffusion of undissociated 63 molecules across the cell membrane followed by intracellular dissociation and release of 64 protons causing death or growth inhibition (Comes and Beelman, 2002; Lambert and Stratford, 65 1999; Podolak et al., 1996). 66 One of the well-known organic acids with antimicrobial activity is fumaric acid, which is a 67 68 food grade, dicarboxylic acid found widely in nature and active against a number of foodborne pathogens including E. coli, L. monocytogenes and Salmonella sp. (Comes and Beelman, 2002; 69 Kim et al., 2009; Kondo et al., 2006; Miller and Kaspar, 1994; Pérez - Díaz and McFeeters, 70 2010; Podolak et al., 1996). In the EU and the US besides as an antimicrobial is also used as an 71 acidulant, and a flavour enhancer (Lee, 2014; Saltmarsh et al., 2013). Fumaric acid is regularly 72 used in various products including baked goods, confectionery, juices and dried powdered 73 foods as well as in animal feed (Lee, 2014). Furnaric acid is considered as one of the relatively 74 strongest among the weak organic acids, which however has low solubility in aqueous solutions 75

(Arnold et al., 2001; Roa Engel et al., 2013) while its salts are highly soluble (Zhou et al., 2002). Based on its low dissociation constants (p $K_{a1} = 3.02$ and p $K_{a2} = 4.38$; Lohbeck et al., 2000; Okuyama and Maskill, 2013; Szalka et al., 2013) it should be expected that fumarate has low antimicrobial activity although this is not the case, if compared to other organic acids including acetic and lactic acid (Podolak et al., 1996). This additional antimicrobial activity of fumarate, beyond what could be explained by the intracellular dissociation theory of weak acids, is normally attributed to unknown factors such as interference with metabolic activities stress mechanisms or other cellular functions. Understanding these additional effects could increase our knowledge and allow us to enhance the antimicrobial activity of these compounds and consequently achieve higher levels of hygiene or develop novel and improved antimicrobial regimes. Furthermore, it is important to understand in detail against which organisms this additional effect occurs and what the mode of action is. The current study focuses on the foodborne pathogen L. monocytogenes, a Gram positive, facultative anaerobic bacterium that is ubiquitous in the environment (Posfay-Barbe and Wald, that mainly affects 2009) causing listeriosis, pregnant women, neonates immunocompromised individuals (Posfay-Barbe and Wald, 2009). The organism is capable of surviving a wide range of environmental conditions and can grow under refrigeration temperatures affecting ready-to-eat products (Liu et al., 2002; O'Driscoll et al., 1996). It is also able to survive extreme acidic environments such as the stomach or acidic foods through the use key mechanisms of acid resistance of which the main one is the GAD system (Davis et al., 1996; Foster, 2004). The GAD system converts glutamate to γ -amino butyric acid (GABA) with the removal of a proton resulting in an increase in the intracellular pH (Cotter et al., 2001; Karatzas et al., 2012). The architecture of the GAD system is highly variable and in L. monocytogenes it typically comprises two antiporters, GadT1 and GadT2 and three decarboxylases GadD1, GadD2 and

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

GadD3. The GadD1T1 operon is typically associated with growth under mild acidic conditions, the GadT2D2 promoting survival under extreme acidic conditions (Cotter et al., 2005) while the GadD3 is the main part of the intracellular GAD system (GAD_i) utilising solely intracellular glutamate to produce intracellular GABA which is catabolised to glutamate by the GABA shunt (Cotter and Hill, 2003; Feehily et al., 2014; Feehily and Karatzas, 2013).

Given that fumarate has previously been described as an inhibitor of the *E. coli* GAD enzyme (Fonda, 1972) we investigate here the antimicrobial activity of fumarate on *L. monocytogenes* under acidic conditions and the possibility that this stems from effects on the GAD system and possibly other aminoacid decarboxylase systems (Grobelny, 1995). Furthermore, we look at the ability of fumarate to remove biofilms of *L. monocytogenes* and investigate further the effects of the cis-isomer of fumarate, maleic acid on the GAD system which has also been previously shown to affect it in *L. monocytogenes* (Paudyal et al., 2018).

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains (Table 1) were stored in 2 ml cryovials with a 7% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at -80°C. L. monocytogenes 10403S and EGD-e were cultured onto Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) agar (LABM, Lancashire UK) and L. monocytogenes LO28 onto Tryptic Soy Broth (Oxoid, UK) supplemented with 5% yeast extract (TSBY; Oxoid, UK) and incubated at 37°C overnight. Three colonies from each plate were transferred, with an inoculation loop, into BHI and TSBY broth respectively in 10 ml Sterilin polystyrene tubes and incubated at 37°C with shaking (150 rpm) for 18 h. These overnight cultures were used to inoculate 20 ml cultures of the corresponding media (1% inoculum) in 250 ml conical flasks which then were subsequently incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking at (150 rpm) for 18 h.

2.2 Calculation of undissociated acids using Henderson-Hasselbalch equation

The percentage of undissociated acid present at pH 3 was determined using the Henderson-

Hasselbalch equation as adapted by Wemmenhove et al., (2016) and presented at Table 2

[Undissociated acid] = [Total acid] $/1 + 10^{(pH-pKa)}$

130

131

133

134

135

136

137

126

127

128

129

2.3 Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration

A range of concentrations (0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 mg/ml) of selected organic acids (Table 3)

were used in BHI inoculated at 1% with overnight culture of L. monocytogenes 10403S WT.

Cultures were then placed into 96 well plates and had their OD_{620nm} analysed over a 24 h period

using a Sunrise plate reader machine (Tecan, Mannedorf, Switzerland) operated by Magellan

software (Tecan, Mannedorf, Switzerland) with 20 min intervals between measurements. The

plates were kept at 37°C with shaking to assess the MIC.

138

139

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

2.4 Survival under acidic conditions

Survival experiments were undertaken with L. monocytogenes 10403S WT. Twenty ml

cultures were prepared in BHI, using stock cultures prepared as described previously, and

grown in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks at 37°C with agitation at 150 rpm. Acid challenge took

place with the addition of 8.6 mM fumaric acid, and a variety of organic acids (Table 3). Control

experiments were performed in the absence of any chemicals in overnight cultures. The pH of

the cultures was then adjusted to pH 3.0 using 1 M HCl.

One hundred µl samples were obtained prior to the acid challenge and every 20 min for 1 h and

placed in 900 µl Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD; Oxoid Limited, Hampshire UK). Ten-

fold serial dilutions were prepared and 10 µl of each dilution were placed onto BHI agar plates

using the spot plate method and incubated at 37°C overnight. Subsequently, colonies were

counted to assess the cell concentration in the culture at each time point. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

152

153

2.5 Survival in the presence of sodium fumarate

Following initial survival experiments, further survival experiments were performed focusing 154 on the effect of fumaric acid and its salt sodium fumarate on L. monocytogenes 10403S WT, 155 156 and its isogenic mutants $\Delta gadD1$, $\Delta gadD2$, $\Delta gadD3$, on EGD-e WT and its isogenic mutants $\Delta gadD1$, $\Delta gadD2$, $\Delta gadD3$ and on LO28 WT with its isogenic mutants $\Delta gadD1$, $\Delta gadD2$, 157 158 $\Delta gadD1/2$. Cultures were prepared in BHI or TSBY for LO28, using stock cultures, prepared as described 159 previously and grown in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks at 37°C with agitation at 150 rpm. Due to 160 161 the significantly differences in strain sensitivity, different concentrations of sodium fumarate were used for 10403S (8.6 mM) and for EGD-e and LO28 (4.3 mM). Control cultures were 162 also prepared containing no additional antimicrobials. Subsequently, all L. monocytogenes 163 164 10403S cultures had their pH adjusted to 3.0 and L. monocytogenes EGD-e and LO28 to pH 165 3.3. One hundred µl samples were taken immediately prior to the acid challenge and every 20 or 5 166 min thereafter for 10403S or EGD-e and LO28 respectively. Samples were subsequently added 167 in 900 μl MRD (Oxoid Limited, Hampshire UK) to prepare decimal serial dilutions and 10 μl 168 169 of each dilution was plated onto BHI agar or TSBY agar respectively and incubated at 37°C overnight. Following incubation, colonies were counted to assess the cell concentration at every 170

172

173

171

2.6 GABAse assay

time point.

GABase assay was used to determine the concentrations of intracellular (GABA_i) and extracellular (GABA_e) GABA in 10403S and LO28 WT as described by O'Byrne et al., (2011). *E. coli* K-12 samples were assayed following the same methodology with the modification of the initial culture being grown in Lysogeny broth (LB LAB M, Lancashire, UK) supplemented with 10 mM monosodium glutamate (MSG; Steinheim, Germany), GABA_e was quantified according to Tsukatani et al. (2005) as modified by Karatzas (2010).

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

174

175

176

177

178

179

2.7 GAD activity in protein lysates

WT 10403S cultures were prepared in 20 ml BHI in 250 ml Erlenmeyer as described previously by Paudyal et al (2018), while for LO28 and EGD-e 40 ml cultures were used. All cultures were then transferred to 50 ml falcon tubes (VWR, Leighton Buzzard UK) with 10 µg/ml chloramphenicol (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). The samples were then centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 15 min and washed with a buffer solution, as described previously (Abrams et al., 2008; Boura et al., 2016). Suspensions were then incubated at 37°C in an orbital shaker at 150 rpm (Gallenkamp, Germany). A 2 ml cryovial (Sarstedt, Germany) was filled with 0.07g acid washed glass beads (< 106 µm diameter Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) together with 1 ml cell suspension. The sample was then agitated using a Mini-Beadbeater (Biospec, Bartesville, USA), thrice for 1 min, followed by 1 min on ice. DNAse I (Thermo Fisher Scientific, California, USA) was then added up to 0.1% in the cell lysates and were then incubated at 37°C in an orbital shaker at 150 rpm for 30 min. One ml sample was then transferred to an Eppendorff tube and centrifuged at 5,000 x g for 15 min. The supernatant was then transferred to an Eppendorf tube and the pellet discarded. Subsequently, 100 µl of the supernatant was added to a pyridine hydrochloride buffer (Fonda, 1972) supplemented with 30 mM MSG and with or without 20 mM sodium fumarate. All samples were then adjusted to a pH of 4.5. The GABase assay was then used to assess GABA levels. It had previously been established using standard concentrations of GABA, that the presence of sodium fumarate does not affect the accuracy of this assay.

2.8 Amino acid analysis by GC-MS

As the activity of the GABase enzyme could be affected by the presence of other molecules, GABA concentrations were also assessed in the supernatant or the bacterial lysates with the use of gas chromatography mass spectrometry (Elmore et al., 2005). The method also assessed the concentration of a wide range of aminoacids. Intra- and extra-cellular samples taken from *L. monocytogenes* 10403S were assessed in the presence and absence of sodium fumarate. Previous work by Paudyal et al. 2018 has shown that in similar conditions to those described here, GABA levels quantified by GC-MS and GABase were always within ± 5%.

2.9 Real-time PCR determination of GAD gene expression

The transcription of the *gad* genes in the presence and absence of sodium fumarate was assessed in *L. monocytogenes* 10403S WT (*gadD1*, *gadD2* and *gadD3*) using real time reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) as previously described by Karatzas et al., (2010). The transcription of the antiporter-encoding genes (*gadT1* and *gadT2*) was not examined as it has previously been demonstrated that it is similar to the corresponding glutamate decarboxylases (*gadD1* and *gadD2*) belonging to the same corresponding operon (Karatzas et al., 2012). Overnight cultures of *L. monocytogenes* 10403S WT grown for 24 h until stationary phase in BHI were treated with 10 mM of either sodium fumarate or maleic acid for 40 min. Samples were taken and prepared as previously described by Karatzas et al (2010). Relative expression of the data was calculated as a ratio between expression of each of the target genes and the expression of the 16S rRNA which was used as the reference gene for each cDNA sample. Calculations were carried out following the advanced relative quantification settings of the

Light Cycler 480 SW 1.5.1 software programme, with PCR efficiencies of the primer pairs 224 gadD1F-gadD1R, gadD2F-gadD2R, gadD3F-gadD3R and 16SF-16SR being 2.12, 2.09, 2.03 226 and 2.27 respectively (Karatzas et al., 2010).

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

area).

225

2.10 Biofilm removal by sodium fumarate

Biofilm formation was assessed using L. monocytogenes 10403S WT, EGD-e WT and LO28 WT overnight cultures grown in their corresponding BHI or TSBY agar as described previously and then inoculated to 1% in a 2 ml of BHI broth or TSBY broth. The broth was mixed thoroughly and placed in a 24-flat-bottom-well Corning Costar cell culture plate and sealed using petrifilm. Following incubation at 37°C for 48h, the culture was removed and the wells washed thrice with sterile water. Subsequently a fourth treatment was applied using 2.5 ml of either water, 100 ppm free chlorine from calcium hyperchlorite, HCl (pH 2.4), HCl (pH 2.4) with 25 mM sodium fumarate, AM (an organic acid disinfectant) at pH 2.4 and AM at pH 2.4 with 25 mM of sodium fumarate. The biofilm was exposed to these solutions in the well for 5 min and then the supernatants were discarded and wells were rinsed with 2.5 ml deionized water. Subsequently, 500 µl MRD was placed in the well and the bottom of the well was scraped using a 200 µl pipette tip for 30 s in a pattern covering the whole well bottom. This was repeated 4 times to provide a total volume of 2 ml which was serially diluted 10-fold and then 10 μl was plated onto BHI or TSBY agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h and then growth was assessed (Ramírez et al., 2015). The impact of the treatments on the biofilm was assessed using the following calculation (Hamilton, 2003; Heersink J., 2003; Ramírez et al., 2015). Density = (Average count/Volume plated) * Dilution * Volume of MRD scraped into *(1/well surface

247

248

2.11 Statistical analysis

249 In all cases all experiments were run in triplicate unless stated otherwise. Subsequently results were assessed using paired Student t-tests. A P value below 0.05 indicated a statistically 250 251 significant result accompanied by an asterisk. 252 3 Results 253 3.1 Calculation of the percentage of undissociated acid 254 255 The pKa of sodium fumarate is low compared to the other acids tested (maleic acid, tartaric acid and oxaloacetic acid; Table 2). This suggest that fumaric acid has a lower level of 256 257 undissociated acid (51.7 %) and therefore, lower antimicrobial activity. 258 3.2 Growth in the presence of selected acids 259 260 The MICs of a variety of organic acids on *L. monocytogenes* 10403S WT were assessed (Table 3). However, tartaric acid seemed to be the most bacteriostatic as it had the lowest MIC (14.9 261 mM). Sodium fumarate as a salt did not inhibit L. monocytogenes under the conditions of the 262 current experiment. 263 264 3.3 Acid survival of L. monocytogenes 10403S, LO28 and EGD-e in the presence of 265 different organic acids. 266 Under acidic conditions (pH 3), 8.6 mM sodium fumarate showed a significant bactericidal 267 268 effect on survival of the 10403S (Fig. 1A). Similar results were obtained with both EGD-e and LO28 (Fig. 1B and 1C) assessed at pH 3.3 as more acid-sensitive than 10403S (Karatzas et al., 269 2012). Based on previous work, it was expected that EGD-e might be the most sensitive strain, 270 271 however it displayed a similar response with LO28 to sodium fumarate (Fig. 1B and 1C;

Karatzas et al., 2012). Furthermore, we tested survival of 10403S against all compounds

mentioned in Table 1, and fumaric acid, sodium fumarate and maleic acid were the most

272

bactericidal at 8.6 mM and pH 3.3 with all other compounds hardly conferring any inactivation (data not shown).

276

298

3.4 Survival of L. monocytogenes 10403S LO28 and EGD-e and their isogenic mutants 277 under acidic conditions in the presence and absence of sodium fumarate. 278 Once it was determined that sodium fumarate conferred the highest bactericidal activity, the 279 280 role of the GAD genes in the presence of sodium fumarate was assessed. In all cases, the presence of sodium fumarate resulted in significant increase in the log reduction in all WT 281 282 strains and mutants (Fig. 2). In 10403S the absence of sodium fumarate at pH 3 with HCl, minor log reductions in survival 283 occurred with $\Delta gadD2$ being the most sensitive. In the presence of 8.6 mM sodium fumarate 284 285 (pH 3) a significantly higher log reduction occurred for all strains while a similar trend occurred, with all mutants except $\Delta gadD2$, behaving similarly to the WT (10403S WT, $\Delta gadD1$ 286 and $\Delta gadD3$ showed 2.29, 1.99, and 2.56 log reduction of CFU/ml respectively; Fig. 2A). 287 $\Delta gadD2$ was the most sensitive strain and impacted more by the presence of sodium fumarate 288 (5.21 log reduction of CFU/ml). 289 In LO28 the effect of sodium fumarate showed a similar trend to 10403S, although this strain 290 was more sensitive and the effect was significantly more pronounced. Also, in this case the 291 292 addition of 4.3 mM sodium fumarate significantly affected survival at pH 3.3 and gadD2 was 293 also in this case the main determinant either with HCl alone or with sodium fumarate (Fig. 2B). In the presence of 4.3 mM sodium fumarate (pH 3.3), LO28 WT and $\Delta gadD1$, showed a 4.80 294 and 5.11 log reduction CFU/ml respectively while that of $\Delta gadD2$ and $\Delta gadD1/2$ was higher 295 296 than the maximum of 6 logs that could be determined with this protocol (Fig. 2B). In EGD-e the major difference compared to the other two strains was that removal of gadD2 297

did not result in increased sensitivity. In the presence of 4.3 mM sodium fumarate (pH 3.3),

EGD-e WT, $\Delta gadD1$, $\Delta gadD2$ and $\Delta gadD3$ showed 4.62, 2.65, 2.60 and 5.07 log reduction CFU/ml respectively (Fig. 2C). In the presence of sodium fumarate, $\Delta gadD3$ was the most sensitive strain, while $\Delta gadD1$ and $\Delta gadD2$ appeared significantly more resistant than the WT probably due to the activation of another acid resistance mechanism. This trend had also been observed in the presence of maleic acid the GAD_i system may play a survival role in the presence of sodium fumarate (Paudyal et al., 2018).

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

299

300

301

302

303

304

3.5 Extracellular GABA of L. monocytogenes 10403S, LO28 and E. coli K-12.

To assess the possible influence of sodium fumarate on the activity of the GAD system an examination of the effect of this compound on the levels of GABAe was undertaken while the cells were in stationary phase. In L. monocytogenes 10403S WT the presence of sodium fumarate resulted in significant higher GABAe levels from (4.11 mM GABA) compared to its absence at pH 4.2 (2.01 mM GABA; 2.04-fold higher GABA levels P < 0.05; paired T-test, Fig. 3A). Similarly, higher levels were obtained with all isogenic mutants except $\Delta gadD2$ (data not shown). Similarly, LO28 WT also showed higher GABA_e levels in the presence of sodium fumarate (2.89 mM GABA_e) compared to 1.24 mM GABA_e in its absence (2.33-fold higher GABA_e levels Fig. 3B) although this result was not statistically significant. Also, its isogenic mutants followed the same pattern (data not shown). These experiments were not performed with EGD-e as it is defective in extracellular GABA production. Finally, when a similar experiment was undertaken using E. coli K-12 WT (pH 4) in contrast to the above, GABAe levels were significantly lower (4.5 mM GABAe) in the presence of sodium fumarate compared to its absence (9.2mM GABA_e; 2.01-fold lower levels, P <0.05; paired T-test; Fig. 3C). GABA_i was also examined however, no significant difference in any of the strains tested was observed in the presence of sodium fumarate.

323

3.6 10403S WT GAD activity in protein lysates

The GAD activity of protein lysates was assessed by monitoring GABA production in the presence of MSG (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). The results indicate that, sodium fumarate inhibited the GAD system activity in 10403S WT, resulting in reduced levels of GABA from 3.4 mM to 2.7 mM (0.79-fold reduced levels; P <0.05; Fig. 4). A similar protocol was attempted with EGD-e and LO28 however, GABA levels were below the detection limit of the GABase assay and despite protocol alterations in the pH, the buffer used, higher glutamate supplementation or increasing the volume of culture utilised no improvement occurred (Fig. 5).

3.7 Real-time PCR determination of GAD gene expression.

Real time quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) was used to quantify the transcription of the *L. monocytogenes* 10403S WT GAD system genes in the presence of sodium fumarate and its cis-isomer maleic which has previously been shown to inhibit the listerial GAD system (Paudyal et al., 2018). Transcription of *gadD1* was very low and not affected by the presence of sodium fumarate or maleic acid (Fig. 6A). In contrast, both sodium fumarate and maleic acid resulted in a significant upregulation (P <0.05) of the main component of the GAD_e system, *gadD2* by 9.44- and 48.51-fold respectively (Fig. 6B). The latter gene also showed the highest expression compared to the other two decarboxylases. Regarding *gadD3*, expression was not affected by the presence of sodium fumarate although that of maleic acid showed to result in an increase of 22.33-fold which however, was not statistically significant (Fig. 6C).

3.8 Biofilm formation

348 The survival of L. monocytogenes biofilms was assessed after the application of various antimicrobial treatments including 100 ppm chlorine and an acidic disinfectant (AM). 349 When L. monocytogenes 10403S was assessed, all treatments did not affect the survival in the 350 351 biofilm with the exception of those with 25 mM sodium fumarate, either alone at pH 2.4 (1M HCl; 1.49 log reduction of CFU/cm²) or in combination with AM at the same pH (1.98 log 352 reduction of CFU/cm²; Fig. 7A). 353 354 In L. monocytogenes EGD-e three treatments achieved a statistically significant reduction of CFU/ml. Those were the same ones that affected 10403S and the AM disinfectant alone (2.35 355 log reduction of CFU/cm²; Fig. 7B). Sodium fumarate alone at pH 2.4 resulted in a significant 356 3.72 log reduction of CFU/cm² while in combination with AM resulted in a 4.7 log reduction 357 of CFU/cm². 358 In L. monocytogenes LO28 all treatments resulted in a significant reduction in biofilm survival. 359 However, this was due to a lower variability between the replicates and overall the results were 360 similar to EGD-e with the exception of the AM treatment which seemed to be highly effective 361 362 against this strain. In this case also the two treatments with sodium fumarate were the most effective along with 100 ppm chlorine (2.96 log reduction of CFU/cm²). Sodium fumarate alone 363 at pH 2.4 resulted in a significant 2.67 log reduction of CFU/cm² while in combination with 364 AM resulted in a 3.40 log reduction of CFU/cm². The AM disinfectant treatment at pH 2.4 365 resulted in a 2.23 log reduction of CFU/cm² while pH 2.4 alone resulted in 1.13 log reduction 366 of CFU/cm² (Fig. 7C). 367

368

369

370

371

372

4. Discussion

The antimicrobial effects of organic acids are mainly explained by the theory of passive diffusion of undissociated molecules and their intracellular dissociation (Foster, 2004) which also dictates that organic acids that dissociate more (higher Ka) are less antimicrobial, while

those that dissociate less (lower Ka) more antimicrobial. However, there are major deviations to this rule (Ricke, 2003) suggesting the existence of additional effects which are highly important for our understanding of the mode of action of various organic acids and the behaviour of microorganisms in foods and many other environments. The present work focuses on fumaric acid which is widely used in foods while it is present in all foods, all living organisms and various environments as it is a key component of the TCA cycle. It is one of the relatively strongest among the weak organic acids and based on its low pKa of 3.02 it should have low antimicrobial activity as it dissociates more (Table 2). Therefore, as predicted, the MIC of fumaric acid was among the highest (34 mM) with only oxaloacetic acid (60.5 mM) and sodium fumarate having higher MICs (Table 3). However, in contrast to the above fumarate showed high bactericidal activity against all three strains of L. monocytogenes used (Fig. 1A, B & C). This is a clear deviation from the behaviour that would be predicted by the intracellular dissociation theory of weak acids suggesting additional antimicrobial effects which however, only occur at highly acidic conditions. This high antimicrobial activity of fumarate has been noted previously (Chikthimmah et al., 2003; Comes and Beelman, 2002; Podolak et al., 1996; Kondo et al., 2006) and our aim was to identify the complementary mode of action of fumarate which does not stem from the theory of intracellularly dissociation of organic acids. Fumarate is an inhibitor of the E. coli GAD system (Fonda, 1972) and based on this, an obvious hypothesis to explain the above effects, is the inhibition of the *L. monocytogenes* GAD system. To investigate this hypothesis and in parallel identify which component of the GAD system might be affected, deletion mutants in GAD decarboxylase genes for all three strains of L. monocytogenes were used. In 10403S, removal of gadD1 and gadD3 resulted in similar population reduction when compared to the WT in presence of sodium fumarate. However, removal of gadD2 caused the greatest death both in the presence and in the absence of sodium

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

fumarate as expected since most strains rely on the GadD2 for the operation of the dominant GAD_e system (Fig. 2A; Karatzas, Brennan et al. 2010). Similarly, to 10403S, in LO28 the removal of the key gadD2 significantly reduced survival under acidic conditions (Fig. 2B). However, EGD-e does not possess a GAD_e system associated with GadD2, and only utilises the GAD_i which is mediated by GadD3 (Feehily et al., 2014; Karatzas et al., 2012). In this strain, $\Delta gadD3$ was the most sensitive either in the presence or absence of sodium fumarate, without statistical significance though, whereas gadD1 and gadD2 removal significantly increased resistance but only in the presence of sodium fumarate (Fig. 2C). Overall inactivation was much higher in the presence of sodium fumarate and more exaggerated for the more sensitive mutants that showed higher inactivation in the absence of sodium fumarate. Furthermore, it should be noted that removal of gadD1 from all strains did not significantly influence their ability to survive acidic conditions. This gene has previously been linked to increased growth under mild acidic conditions based on observations with WT strains that do not possess it (e.g. serotype 4b strains; Cotter et al., 2005). However, mutants in this operon have never been shown to possess an acid sensitive phenotype, or evidence showing GadD1T1 exporting GABA neither in the literature or in our experiments, raising questions over its function as a glutamate decarboxylase. Overall, GadD2 and GadD3, depending on the strain, were significant for survival against sodium fumarate under acid conditions (Fig. 2A, B & C). Sodium fumarate resulted in significant increase in GABA_e exported by L. monocytogenes 10403S (2.04-fold increase) at pH 4.2 (P < 0.05; Fig. 3A). Interestingly, this increased GAD_e output did not confer increased survival under acidic conditions (Fig. 2A). This is highly interesting as each GABA molecule exported, removes one intracellular proton and based on the above, GAD_e removes twice more protons in the presence than in the absence of fumarate, but instead of conferring higher resistance the opposite occurs (Fig. 2A & B). A similar trend was observed with LO28 although it was not statistically significant (P >0.05; Fig. 3B). It

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

should be stated that as we have shown previously, maleic acid, the cis-isomer of fumaric acid works in an opposite fashion than fumarate, as it reduces GAD_e output (Paudyal et al., 2018). 424 However, in contrast to L. monocytogenes, when we challenged E. coli K12, sodium fumarate resulted in a significant -2.01-fold decrease in GABA_e export (P < 0.05; Fig. 3C). The latter was 426 expected as fumarate is an inhibitor of E. coli GAD enzyme (Fonda, 1972) and it demonstrates 427 a different GAD system behaviour between these two organisms. Further work should 428 investigate if this is a different feature between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. To further assess the effect of sodium fumarate on the GAD system, we measured GABAi 430 431 levels, and found no significant effect of sodium fumarate. At first glance, this might suggest no effect of sodium fumarate however, GABAi levels are also affected by its metabolic flux 432 through the GABA shunt pathway and therefore the above results are not conclusive. 433 434 Surprisingly, we observed that sodium fumarate significantly inhibited GAD activity as measured through GABA levels in L. monocytogenes 10403S cell lysates (P < 0.05; Fig. 4). This coincides with its role as GAD inhibitor in E. coli (Fonda, 1972) and in plants (Ohno and 436 437 Okunuki, 1962). We further investigated these inhibitory effects of sodium fumarate in lysates of LO28 and EGD-e but unfortunately, we were not able to get measurable GABA levels (Fig. 438 5) even in the absence of sodium fumarate, despite various protocol modifications (usage of 439 higher cell numbers, higher levels of glutamate, different buffer pH values). This might be 440 441 related to lower GAD activity or a different optimal pH of the GAD enzymes in these strains. Further we looked at the effect of fumarate on transcription of GAD genes gadD1, gadD2 and 442 gadD3 including maleic acid which is a cis-isomer of fumarate and we have previously shown that reduces GAD output and activity in L. monocytogenes enhancing its acid sensitivity 444 (Paudyal et al., 2018). RT-qPCR showed no effect of fumarate or maleate on gadD1 and gadD3 (Fig. 6A and C) however, gadD2, the key component of GAD_e system in L. monocytogenes 10403S WT (Cotter et al., 2001; Cotter et al., 2005) was upregulated by sodium fumarate and

423

425

429

435

443

445

446

even more by sodium maleate (P<0.05, paired t-test, Fig. 6 B). The above suggest that L. monocytogenes tries to counteract the inhibitory effects of fumarate and maleate on GAD activity by increasing gadD2 transcription and the final result of these opposing actions in the case of fumarate is increased GADe system output which however, does not enhance acid resistance. However, in the case of maleate, higher increase in gadD2 transcription is unable to increase GADe system output (main difference with fumarate) but similarly to fumarate, the acid resistance is reduced. The explanation for the antilisterial effects of fumarate might lie in the effects on the GAD_i system (Feehily and Karatzas, 2013), or other possible effects on other acid resistance systems or on cell metabolism that in its turn could affect acid resistance. For example, fumarate is highly antimicrobial against organisms such as Salmonella (Kondo et al., 2006) that lack GAD system suggesting these additional effects (Park et al., 1996). To assess this, we first looked at the aminoacid profile in presence or absence of fumarate and the only difference found was the increased GABA_e levels in presence of fumarate confirming the GABase results. This suggests that other aminoacid decarboxylase systems are possibly not affected and the above effects of fumarate are on GAD_i system or possibly another non-amino acid decarboxylase system. Furthermore, the ability of sodium fumarate to act on cells in a biofilm was examined. It has previously been shown that maleic acid can act on biofilms of L. monocytogenes (Paudyal et al., 2018) and E. faecalis (Ferrer-Luque et al., 2010). Due to these properties it has been suggested that maleic acid could be an effective alternative to the more toxic EDTA commonly used to remove biofilms from the oral cavity and dental equipment (Ballal et al., 2009; Ferrer-Luque et al., 2010). However, fumarate has no toxicity and therefore further work could investigate other potential applications. Our results showed the striking ability of sodium fumarate (25 mM) to eliminate cells of three different strains of L. monocytogenes in a biofilm, which was significantly higher than that of hypochlorite and a commonly used organic acid

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

disinfectant AM at pH 2.4 (Fig. 7). Furthermore, the addition of fumarate together with the AM disinfectant increased significantly the ability of the disinfectant to eliminate cells in biofilm. Our results also show that the more acid resistant strain 10403S survived the treatments better than the other two acid sensitive strains (EGD-e and LO28), underpinning the important role of acid resistance and GAD system in survival in a biofilm. Furthermore, we also found that LO28 was highly sensitive to chlorine. This coincides with previous reports suggesting a high variation in resistance to chlorine-based sanitisers among different strains (Brackett, 1987; Jacquet and Reynaud, 1994) and that mixed culture strains of *L. monocytogenes* are better able to resist chlorine treatments (Vaid et al., 2010). Our results suggest that fumarate has a great potential for removal of biofilms of *L. monocytogenes* while it is also nontoxic.

Conclusions

Overall, we investigated the effect of fumarate on *L. monocytogenes* under acidic conditions showing that although it is a GAD_e inhibitor, the bacterium is able to counteract this with increased transcription, being able to increase its overall GAD_e output, which however does not translate into increased acid resistance. We also show that there is a difference between fumarate and maleate as although the first increases GAD output, the latter reduces it, but both significantly enhance death under acidic conditions. The antimicrobial activity of fumarate might be related to reduced GAD_i or other systems. Further work is required to elucidate the full extent of the antimicrobial activity of fumarate on *L. monocytogenes* and other organisms. Such work will allow us to successfully eliminate this pathogen in food and food preparation environments but also explain its behaviour in environments where fumarate is present.

Acknowledgements

497	The authors would like to thank all colleagues at the Microbiology research team and the
498	Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading. The help of Foteini Pavli
499	and Prof. Nychas (Agricultural University of Athens, Greece) on setting up the biofilm
500	experiments has been invaluable. The work was supported by a Biotechnology and Biological
501	Sciences Research Council doctoral training partnership (BBSRC-DTP Grant number
502	RE04907), awarded to Dr. K.A.G. Karatzas and funds from the University of Reading and by
503	AgriCoat NatureSeal Ltd.
504	
505	
506	
507	
508	References
509 510 511 512 513	Abrams, F., Wan-Lin, S., Wiedmann, M., Boor, K.J., Coote, P.J., Botting, C.H., Karatzas, K.A.G., O'Byrne, C.P., (2008). Proteomic analysis of a <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> mutant lacking sigma B (σ^B) identify new components of the σ^B regulon and highlight a role for sigma B in the utilization of glycerol. <i>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</i> 74, 594-604.
514 515 516 517 518	Arnold, C.N., McElhanon, J., Lee, A., Leonhart, R., Siegele, D.A., (2001). Global analysis of <i>Escherichia coli</i> gene expression during the acetate-induced acid tolerance response. <i>J. Bacteriol.</i> 183.
519 520 521 522 523	Ballal, N.V., Kandian, S., Mala, K., Bhat, K.S., Acharya, S., (2009). Comparison of the efficacy of maleic acid and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid in smear layer removal from instrumented human root canal: a scanning electron microscopic study. <i>J. Endod.</i> 35, 1573-1576.
523 524 525 526 527 528	Boura, M., Keating, C., Royet, K., Paudyal, R., O'Donoghue, B., O'Byrne, C.P., Karatzas, K.A., (2016). Loss of SigB in <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> strains EGD-e and 10403S confers hyperresistance to hydrogen peroxide in stationary phase under aerobic conditions. <i>Appl. Environ. Microbiol.</i> 82, 4584-4591.
529 530 531	Brackett, R., (1987). Antimicrobial effect of chlorine on <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> . J. Food <i>Prot</i> . 50, 999-1003.
532 533 534	Chikthimmah, N., Laborde, L., Beelman, R., (2003). Critical factors affecting the destruction of <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157: H7 in apple cider treated with fumaric acid and sodium benzoate. <i>J. Food Sci.</i> 68, 1438-1442

Comes, J.E., Beelman, R.B., (2002). Addition of fumaric acid and sodium benzoate as an
 alternative method to achieve a 5-log reduction of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7
 populations in apple cider. *J. Food Prot.* 65, 476-483.

539

Cotter, P.D., Gahan, C.G., Hill, C., (2001). A glutamate decarboxylase system protects *Listeria monocytogenes* in gastric fluid. *Mol. Microbiol.* 40, 465-475.

542

Cotter, P.D., Hill, C., (2003). Surviving the acid test: Responses of Gram-positive bacteria to low pH. Microbiol. *Mol. Biol. Rev.* 67, 429-429.

545

Cotter, P.D., Ryan, S., Gahan, C.G., Hill, C., (2005). Presence of GadD1 glutamate
 decarboxylase in selected *Listeria monocytogenes* strains is associated with an ability
 to grow at low pH. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 2832-2839.

549

Davis, M.J., Coote, P.J., O'Byrne, C.P., (1996). Acid tolerance in *Listeria monocytogenes*: the adaptive acid tolerance response (ATR) and growth-phase-dependent acid resistance. *Microbiol.* 142 (Pt 10), 2975-2982.

553

Elmore, J.S., Koutsidis, G., Dodson, A.T., Mottram, D.S., Wedzicha, B.L., (2005).

Measurement of acrylamide and its precursors in potato, wheat, and rye model systems. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 1286-1293.

557

Feehily, C., Finnerty, A., Casey, P.G., Hill, C., Cormac, G.M.G., O'Byrne, C.P., Karatzas, K.A.G., (2014). Divergent evolution of the activity and regulation of the glutamate
decarboxylase systems in *Listeria monocytogenes* EGD-e and 10403S: Roles in
virulence and acid tolerance: *PLOS 9* (11), e112649.

562

563

Feehily, C., Karatzas, K.A.G., (2013). Role of glutamate metabolism in bacterial responses towards acid and other stresses. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 114, 11-24.

564 565

Feehily, C., O'Byrne, CP,. Karatzas, KAG,. (2013) Functional γ-Aminobutyrate shunt in
 Lisitria Monocytognese: role in acid tolerance and succinatebiosynthesis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* (79), 74-80.

569

Ferrer-Luque, C.M., Arias-Moliz, M.T., Gonzalez-Rodriguez, M.P., Baca, P., (2010).
 Antimicrobial activity of maleic acid and combinations of cetrimide with chelating
 agents against *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilm. *J. Endod.* 36, 1673-1675.

573574

Fonda, M.L., (1972). Glutamate decarboxylase. Substrate specificity and inhibition by carboxylic acids. Biochemistry (Easton) 11, 1304-1309.

575 576

Food Standards Agency, (2011). Foodborne disease strategy 2010-15, in: agency, F.s. (Ed.).

578

Foster, J.W., (2004). *Escherichia coli* acid resistance: tales of an amateur acidophile. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2, 898-907.

581

Grobelny, J., (1995). N.m.r. study of maleate (cis)—fumarate (trans) isomerism in unsaturated polyesters and related compounds. *Polymer*. 36, 4215-4222.

- Heersink J., (2003). Basic biofilm analytical methods. In: Hamilton, M., Heersink, J.,
 Buckingham-Meyer, K. Goeres, D. (Ed 4th.) The biofilms laboratory: Step-by-step
 protocols for experimental design, analysis, and data interpretation. Bozeman: Cytergy
 Publishing, pp. 16-23.
- Jacquet, C., Reynaud, A., (1994). Differences in the sensitivity to eight disinfectants of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains as related to their origin (short note). *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 22, 79-83.

593

598

603

608 609

614

620

624

627

630

- Karatzas, K.-A.G., Suur, L., O'Byrne, C.P., (2012). Characterization of the intracellular glutamate decarboxylase system: Analysis of its function, transcription, and role in the acid resistance of various strains of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Appl. Environ*. *Microbiol*. 78, 3571-3579.
- Karatzas, K.A., Brennan, O., Heavin, S., Morrissey, J., O'Byrne, C.P., (2010). Intracellular accumulation of high levels of gamma-aminobutyrate by *Listeria monocytogenes* 10403S in response to low pH: uncoupling of gamma-aminobutyrate synthesis from efflux in a chemically defined medium. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 3529-3537.
- Kim, Y.J., Kim, M.H., Song, K.B., (2009). Efficacy of aqueous chlorine dioxide and fumaric acid for inactivating pre-existing microorganisms and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* Typhimurium, and *Listeria monocytogenes* on broccoli sprouts. *Food Control*. 20, 1002-1005.
- Kondo, N., Murata, M., Isshiki, K., (2006). Efficiency of sodium hypochlorite, fumaric acid,
 and mild heat in killing native microflora and *Escherichia coli* O157: H7, *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104, and *Staphylococcus aureus* attached to fresh-cut lettuce. *J. Food Prot*, 69, 323-329.
- Lambert, R., Stratford, M., (1999). Weak-acid preservatives: modelling microbial inhibition and response. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 86, 157-164.
- Lee, B.H., (2014). Fundamentals of Food Biotechnology 2nd edn. John Wiley & Sons,
 Incorporated, New York, NY, 1413 pp.
- Liu, S., Graham, J.E., Bigelow, L., Morse, P.D., Wilkinson, B.J., (2002). Identification of
 Listeria monocytogenes genes expressed in response to growth at low temperature.
 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 1697-1705.
- Lohbeck, K., Haferkorn, H., Fuhrmann, W., Fedtke, N., (2000). Maleic and fumaric acids. Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.
- Miller, L.G., Kaspar, C.W., (1994). *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 acid tolerance and survival in apple cider. *J. Food Prot.* 57, 460-464.
- O'Byrne, C.P., Feehily, C., Ham, R., Karatzas, K.A.G., (2011). A modified rapid enzymatic
 microtiter plate assay for the quantification of intracellular γ-aminobutyric acid and
 succinate semialdehyde in bacterial cells. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* 84, 137-139.

O'Driscoll, B., Gahan, C.G., Hill, C., (1996). Adaptive acid tolerance response in *Listeria monocytogenes*: isolation of an acid-tolerant mutant which demonstrates increased virulence. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62, 1693-1698.

638

Ohno, M., Okunuki, K., (1962). Studies on the inhibition of glutamic acid decarboxylase of squash. *J. Biochem.* 51, 313-316.

641

Okuyama, T., Maskill, H., (2013). Organic chemistry: a mechanistic approach. Oxford University Press.

644

Park, Y.K., Bearson, B., Bang, S.H., Bang, I.S., Foster, J.W., (1996). Internal pH crisis, lysine decarboxylase and the acid tolerance response of *Salmonella Typhimurium*. *Mol Microbiol*. 20, 605-611.

648

Paudyal, R., Barnes, R.H., Karatzas, K.A.G., (2018). A novel approach in acidic disinfection through inhibition of acid resistance mechanisms; Maleic acid-mediated inhibition of glutamate decarboxylase activity enhances acid sensitivity of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Food Microbiol*. 69, 96-104.

653

Pérez-Díaz, I., McFeeters, R., (2010). Preservation of acidified cucumbers with a natural preservative combination of fumaric acid and allyl isothiocyanate that target lactic acid bacteria and yeasts. *J. Food Sci.* 75 (4). doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.01587.x.

657

Podolak, R., Zayas, J., Kastner, C., Fung, D., (1996). Inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 on beef by application of organic acids. *J. Food Prot.* 59, 370-373.

661

Posfay-Barbe, K.M., Wald, E.R., (2009). Listeriosis. Semin. Fetal Neonatal Med. 14, 228-233.

664

Ramírez, M.D.F., Smid, E.J., Abee, T., Groot, M.N.N., (2015). Characterisation of biofilms formed by *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1 and food spoilage isolates. Int. *J. Food Microbiol.* 207, 23-29.

668

Ricke, S., (2003). Perspectives on the use of organic acids and short chain fatty acids as antimicrobials. *Poult. Sci.* 82, 632-639.

671

Roa Engel, C.A., ter Horst, J.H., Pieterse, M., van der Wielen, L.A., Straathof, A.J., (2013).
Solubility of fumaric acid and its monosodium salt. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 52, 9454-9460.

675

Saltmarsh, M., Barlow, S., Richardson, V., Robin, A.-L., Jukes, D.J., (2013). Essential guide
 to food additives. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge.

678

Szalka, M., Rokaszewski, E., Kaczmarski, K., (2013). Kinetics of hydrolysis of bisoprolol hemifumarate in aqueous acidic solutions. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 45, 744-754.

681

Vaid, R., Linton, R.H., Morgan, M.T., (2010). Comparison of inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* within a biofilm matrix using chlorine dioxide gas, aqueous chlorine dioxide and sodium hypochlorite treatments. *Food Microbiol.* 27, 979-984.

685 Wemmenhove, E., van Valenberg, H.J.F., Zwietering, M.H., van Hooijdonk, T.C.M., Wells-686 Bennik, M.H.J., (2016). Minimal inhibitory concentrations of undissociated lactic, 687 acetic, citric and propionic acid for Listeria monocytogenes under conditions relevant 688 to cheese. Food Microbiol. 58, 63-67. 689 690 Zhou, Y., Du, J., Tsao, G., (2002). Comparison of fumaric acid production by *Rhizopus* 691 oryzae using different neutralizing agents. Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng. 25, 179-181. 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 Legends to the figures 699 700 Fig. 1. Survival of L. monocytogenes (A) 10403S WT in the presence (black circles) and absence (black triangles) of 8.6 mM sodium fumarate adjusted to pH 3 using 1 M HCl (B) 701 702 EGD-e WT and (C) LO28 WT in the presence (black circles) and absence (black triangles) of 703 4.3 mM sodium fumarate at pH 3.3 using 1 M HCl. Asterisks represent statistically significant 704 result (P < 0.05 paired student T-test) while D.L denotes detection limit of the experimental 705 setup. 706 Fig. 2. Survival of L. monocytogenes and its GAD mutants in the (A) 10403S background in 707 708 the presence and absence of 8.6 mM of sodium fumarate adjusted to pH 3 for 60 min, in the (B) LO28 and (C) EGD-e background in the presence and absence of 4.3 mM of sodium fumarate 709 adjusted to pH 3.3 for 15 min. Adjustment of pH was done using 1 M HCl. Asterisks represent 710 711 statistically significant result as assessed with paired student T-test (P < 0.05) and M.L. denotes 712 the maximum log reduction could be recorded with the current protocol. 713 Fig. 3 GABA_e levels of overnight cultures grown to stationary phase (~18 h at 37°C) with 714 715 shaking in the presence or absence of 10 mM sodium fumarate (SF) for (A) L. monocytogenes 10403 WT at pH 4.2, (B) L. monocytogenes LO28 WT at pH 4.2 and (C) E. coli K-12 WT at 716 pH 4. pH was adjusted with the addition of 1 M HCl. Asterisk represents statistically significant 717 result. P < 0.05 paired student T-test. 718

719 720 721 Fig. 4. GAD activity in cell lysates of L. monocytogenes 10403S WT cells grown overnight 722 until stationary phase (~18h) at 37°C with agitation (150 rpm) in the presence or absence of 20 723 mM sodium fumarate at pH 4.2. Lysates were prepared and then levels of GAD activity were assessed using GC-MS. Asterisk represents statistically significant result. P <0.05 paired 724 725 student T-test. 726 727 Fig. 5 GAD activity in cell lysates of L. monocytogenes 10403S WT, EGD-e WT and LO28 WT cells grown overnight until stationary phase (~18h) at 37°C with agitation (150 rpm). 728 Lysates were produced and then levels of GAD activity were assessed using the GABase 729 enzymatic assay. Asterisks represents statistically significant result. P < 0.05 paired student T-730 731 test. 732 733 Fig. 6 Expression of (A) gadD1 (B) gadD2 and (C) gadD3 gene in L. monocytogenes 10403S WT in the absence or presence of 10 mM sodium fumarate or 10 mM maleic acid. Relative 734 expression of each gene was calculated by comparing expression relative to 16S rRNA gene in 735 736 each strain. Numbers above the bars represent fold difference in relative expression compared to control. Markers represent an average of triplicate measurements and error bars represent 737 738 standard deviations. Asterisks * denote statistical significant difference compared to the control 739 (P < 0.05 paired student T-test). 740 Fig. 7 Survival of cells in biofilms of L. monocytogenes (A) 10403S WT (B) L. EGD-e WT 741 742 and (C) LO28 WT following no treatment (water) or treatment with an acidic disinfectant (AM), AM together with 25 mM sodium fumarate (SF), HCl and HCl together with 25 mM SF. All 743 treatments were at pH 2.4. Asterisks represent statistically significant difference between no 744 treatment and a treatment (P < 0.05; paired student T-test). 745 746 747 748 749

Tables

Table 1. list of strains used in these experiments

Strains	Relevant properties	Source
L. monocytogenes 10403S	Serotype 1/2a, WT	Karatzas et al., 2010
L. monocytogenes 10403S ΔgadD1	10403S with gadD1 deleted	Karatzas et al., 2010
L. monocytogenes 10403S $\Delta gadD2$	10403S with gadD2 deleted	Karatzas et al., 2010
L. monocytogenes 10403S $\Delta gadD3$	10403S with gadD3 deleted	Karatzas et al., 2010
L. monocytogenes EGD-e	Serotype 1/2a, WT	Feehily et al., 2013
L. monocytogenes EGD-e $\Delta gadD1$	EGD-e with gadD1 deleted	Feehily et al., 2013
L. monocytogenes EGD-e $\Delta gadD2$	EGD-e with gadD2 deleted	Feehily et al., 2013
L. monocytogenes EGD-e $\Delta gadD3$	EGD-e with gadD3 deleted	Feehily et al., 2013
L. monocytogenes LO28	Serotype 1/2c, WT	Cotter et al., 2001
L. monocytogenes LO28 ΔgadD1	LO28 with gadD1 deleted	Cotter et al., 2001
L. monocytogenes LO28 ΔgadD2	LO28 with gadD2 deleted	Cotter et al., 2001
L. monocytogenes LO28 ΔgadD1/2	LO28 with gad D1/2 deleted	Cotter et al., 2001

773 Table 2. Percentage of undissociated acids at pH 3.

Compound	pKa ₁	pKa ₂	pKa ₃	%undissociated /total acid (pKa ₁)	%undissociated /total acid (pKa ₂)	%undissociated/ total acid (pKa ₃)
Maleic acid	1.9	6.07		7.35	99.91	
Fumaric acid	3.03	4.44		51.72	96.49	
Sodium fumarate	3.55			78.01		
Pimlic acid	4.71	5.58		98.08	99.73	
Valeric acid	4.82			98.50		
Adipic acid	4.43	5.41		96.41	99.61	
Glutaric acid	4.34	5.22		95.62	99.40	
Malic acid	3.4	5.44		71.52	99.63	
Citric acid	3.13	4.76	6.39	57.42	98.29	99.95
Tartaric acid	2.98	4.34		48.84	95.62	
Oxaloacetic acid	2.22	3.89		14.23	88.58	

Alpha ketoglutaric acid	3.08	54.59
Valeric acid	4.82	98.50
Levulinic acid	4.59	97.49

Table 3. MICs of compounds tested

Potential inhibitor	MIC
Maleic acid	34.4 mM
Fumaric acid	34.4 mM
Sodium fumarate	Above solubility
	threshold 0.22 mg/ml
Glutaric acid	30mM
Pimelic acid	24.9 mM
Adipic acid	27.3 mM
Malic acid	14.9 mM
Citric acid	20.8 mM
Tartaric acid	26.6 mM
Oxaloacetic acid	60.5 mM
α-Ketoglutaric acid	27.3 mM
Valeric acid	13 mM
Levulinic acid	60 mM