

The Anglo-Norman Bible's book of Ruth

Article

Published Version

Pitts, B. A. (2018) The Anglo-Norman Bible's book of Ruth. *Reading Medieval Studies*, 44. pp. 173-197. ISSN 0950-3129 (ISBN 9780704915824) Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/87899/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Publisher: University of Reading

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading
Reading's research outputs online

The Anglo-Norman Bible's Book of Ruth, a critical edition (London, British Library, ms. Royal 1 C III)¹

Brent A. Pitts

Meredith College

Few Bible stories are as beloved as this Old Testament record of exile and return, barrenness and plenty, and loyalty and love. Edward F. Campbell, Jr., calls Ruth 'a Hebrew historical short story', the 'work of a master story-teller', 'an intricately woven, magnificently crafted tale'.² For Lesley Smith as well, it is 'a book of great charm and narrative craft'.³ Ruth is clearly also a free-standing, fully developed dramatic narrative in which dialogue predominates and poetry alternates with prose. The story's rhythmical, semipoetic quality leads Campbell to propose that it must have circulated orally before it was written down.⁴ Much of the artistic word-play that characterizes the Hebrew Ruth—assonance, alliteration, chiasmus, inclusio, and punning—is understandably lost in Anglo-Norman, and yet, like the older work, our text uses repetition effectively.⁵

Two copies of the Anglo-Norman Bible's book of Ruth are extant, namely, London, British Library, ms. Royal 1 C III (*L*), containing Genesis through Tobit;⁶ and Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France (=BnF), ms. français 1 (*P*)—by far the fullest witness of the Anglo-Norman Bible (ANB)—which provides Genesis through Hebrews 13.17.⁷ Nicholas Watson has claimed that the ANB, the first prose vernacular Bible produced in England, has been almost completely ignored by scholars.⁸ It is true that several recent studies have focused less specifically on the ANB than on its parallels with the *Bible d'Acre* and the *Bible de Jean de Sy*.⁹ Still, there is evidence of

renewed interest in the ANB for its own sake: in 2006, Thierry Revol edited the ANB's Genesis (this is unpublished, however), and Revol's students have editions of Exodus and Kings in hand.¹⁰ Catherine Léglu's study considers *L* in its literary, historical, and socio-cultural context, and Kathryn A. Smith is examining the program of illustrations in *P*.¹¹ This essay concerns the book of Ruth in *L* and *P*, the critical edition being based on *L*.

An English production from ca. 1350 or somewhat earlier, *L* is a large book (39 x 27 cm) of 315 parchment leaves that belonged at some point in the fifteenth century to Reading's Benedictine abbey of St Mary.¹² A fifteenth-century inscription on fol. 1 reads *Hic est liber monachorum claustralium de Redyng*. *L* is decorated with twelve puzzle initials in red and blue, although none appears in the Ruth section. Ruth turns up as expected between Judges (fols. 162v-182r) and 1 Kings (185r-214v).¹³ James P. Carley suggests tantalizingly that *L* bears 'a characteristic Henrician label'¹⁴; the British Library's description adds: 'probably entered the collection during the reign of Henry VIII as one of ten manuscripts from Reading Abbey brought to Hampton Court in 1530'.¹⁵ Characteristic of *L* is its occasional use of glosses in English or Latin, each typically one or two words in length, to clarify or correct the Anglo-Norman text. Although this observation is valid for Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Joshua, Judges, and 2 Kings, e.g., no such glosses occur in Ruth.¹⁶ Given that *L* includes only the first seventeen books of the Old Testament and concludes with the final words of Tobit and an explicit at the end of a quire, the codex may well represent the first installment of a planned multi-volume work.¹⁷ At least two scribes contributed to *L*.¹⁸ The book of Ruth is nearly always legible, and its meaning routinely apparent. Nobel's assessment of the ANB's language, that 'parfois [...] le texte devient incompréhensible [...]', clearly does not apply to Ruth, as these pages show.¹⁹

P is a very large (535 x 340 mm), illuminated in-folio of 411 leaves that was prepared by an English workshop for John de Welles and his wife, Maud, daughter of William, Lord Ros. The fourth baron de Welles, John was born in Lincolnshire in 1333. As his son and heir, also named John, was born in 1350, the fourth baron must have

married young.²⁰ He followed Edward III's expedition to Gascony in 1359 and was twice summoned to Parliament. At his early death in 1361—a plague year—John held estates in Lincolnshire, Northumberland, Essex, and Northhampton.²¹ *P* is decorated with the coats-of-arms of John and Maud and those of their parents.²² In addition, *P* has 78 historiated initials and four miniatures which Berger describes as ‘assez grandes mais fort laides’. The painting of a secular woman at prayer in the upper margin of fol. 3 suggests that the book was intended for Maud.²³ Berger points out further that on fol. 77v, ‘devant le livre de Ruth, est une miniature fort curieuse représentant un enterrement’, no doubt the burial of Elimelech. Ratcliff reminds us that—the canonical epistles having been inserted between Acts and Romans—the only biblical material missing in *P* is the end of the final chapter of Hebrews and the Apocalypse. That *P*'s index lists the Apocalypse among the book's contents prompts Ratcliff to conclude that the Apocalypse ‘formed at one time part of the manuscript’.²⁴

The distinguished Berger is perhaps too severe in calling the ANB ‘un texte déplorable au point de vue de la pureté du langage, mais bien intéressant comme témoin de l'idiome parlé à cette époque chez nos voisins’. He acknowledges meanwhile that ‘ce style n'est pas dénué de force ni la langue de caractère’.²⁵ Nobel judges the ANB to be ‘une traduction textuelle, que nous qualifierons même de servile, de la Vulgate’,²⁶ and this certainly holds for Ruth. *L* follows the text of *V* word for word.²⁷ Wanono suggests, moreover, that the particular version used by the translator of the ANB was likely from ‘la tradition textuelle irlandaise de la Vulgate’.²⁸ Of *L* and *P*, states Nobel, ‘c'est assurément *L* qui fournit la version la plus authentique’, proposing further that *P* may have been copied from *L*.²⁹

As noted, the ANB's audience extended beyond the aristocratic de Welles family (*P*) and the royal abbey at Reading (*L*). Nobel, Wanono, and others have assembled convincing evidence that the ANB shared the same source-text as the *Bible d'Acre* and perhaps also, in some passages, that of the *Bible de Jean de Sy*.³⁰ Nobel makes the important point that ‘une source anglo-normande identique à celle de la *Bible anglo-normande* a influencé la rédaction de la *Bible d'Acre* et cela jusqu'aux *Livres des Rois*’.³¹ He hypothesizes further

that the source-text in question ‘fut une traduction textuelle proche de celle que nous livre le manuscrit de Londres’ (=L).³² This hypothetical Anglo-Norman source-text would necessarily predate the oldest manuscript of the *Bible d’Acre* (ms. Arsenal 5211, dated 1250-1254), and could therefore be as early as the first half of the thirteenth century or the end of the twelfth.³³ Léglu postulates that Jean de Sy chose the insular ANB as a model rather than the readily available *Bible du XIIIe siècle / Bible de Paris* because the Parisian lecturer and master of theology had joined King Jean le Bon’s court-in-exile in England in 1356, after the Battle of Poitiers.³⁴

A comparison of three sections of Ruth in *L*, *P* and *V* allows a detailed appraisal of the manuscripts’ similarities and differences. (The passages are shown in parallel in the Appendix.) The overarching impression is that *L* and *P* derive from the same source-text: the length of the passages in the two manuscripts is nearly equal; the order of events and speeches is the same; and the vocabulary, syntax, and verb tenses are often identical. Such differences as we find have minimal impact overall on our understanding of the passages.³⁵ Our comparison shows a) **insignificant differences of word order**, e.g., *A q̄ Noemy dist* 24, *ne moy departe de toi* 28-29, *ja a la mynuict le bier se douta* 85, *Beneit es tu fille de Nostre Sire* 87-88, and *de le bail Noemy* 118; b) **minor insertions or deletions**, e.g., *Voi ta cosine est returnee* 24, *en cele morra jeo* 27, *Car q̄i es tu?* 86, *quar tu es moun proschein* 87, and *Vous di jeo estes tesmoignes* 120; c) **substitutions of equivalent words or expressions**, e.g., *Ou qe* 25, *et cestes choses ajoste* 28, *Estende ton paille sur ta servaunte* 87, *deinz les portes* 90, *Et il dist as greindres en naissance* 117, and *sa mesnie et de ses freres et del poeple* 120;³⁶ d) **changes of verb tense**, e.g., *ou qe tu averas demorree* 26, *tu moy averas dit* 89, *s'il te volt retenir* 91-92; and e) **combinations of a-d**, e.g., *Orpha boisa sa soergre* 23, *vist une moiller gisaunt a ses piez* 86, and *adecertes ne volt* 92.

In *L*’s Ruth, the gender of nouns is mostly as expected, but *paille* ‘cloak’ is apparently masc. at 81, 85, 87, and 95. The disintegration of the case system may be seen in the use of *bier* as obj.sg. 69; also, obj.pl. *biers* 103; meanwhile, *baroun* appears as nom.sg. 6 and obj.sg. 53, and *barouns* as obj.pl. 15, 18.³⁷ Nouns ending in -z are usually

plural, e.g., *enfa(u)ntz* 3, 8, 49 etc., *filz* 4, 6, 12 etc., and *Moabitz* 5, 10, 35 etc., but note sg. *maritz* 9, *foiz* 71, 95, 114, and *filz* 126, 128, 131.

Pres.indic.3 of *aver* is uniformly archaic *ad* 33, 34, 35 etc.;³⁸ of *dormir*, *dorme* 80 (cf. imper.2 *dormes* 93); of *voler*, *volt* 91, 92;³⁹ and of *saver*, *sciet* 90. Pret.3 of *-ir* and some *-re* verbs is written mostly without final *-t*, e.g., *issi* 2, 11, *responudi* 17, 25, 40 etc., *aherdi* 24, *quilli* 41, 64, and at least five additional examples.⁴⁰ We find pret.3 *boisa* ‘kissed’ 15, 23;⁴¹ *vist* 86 (<VEER); and *estuet* (<ESTER) 46. Imperf.3 of *aver* is *out* 11, 42, 64 etc. or, in one case, *avoit* 29. Imperf.3 *ert* 113, 115, 121, is nearly as common as *estoi(e)t* 3, 7, 37 etc.⁴² The endings of fut.1 forms alternate among *-a* (*morra* 27, *voldra* 105, *ostera* 111), *-ei* (*serrei* 71, *prendrei* 92), and especially *-oi* (*porroi* 19, *irroi* 38, *quilleroi* 38, *averoi* 39, and five additional examples).⁴³ Syncopated fut. forms are *demorroi* 26 and *querroi* 76; inorganic *e* occurs consistently in expanded fut. reflexes of *aver* 26, 27, 39 etc., *purvoier* 77, *descovrer* 80, *fere* 82, 89, and *achater* 108.⁴⁴ Pres.subj.2 of *aler* is first archaic *auges* 47, then *voises* 73, a thirteenth-century form;⁴⁵ and pres.subj.3 of *doner* is *doint* 14, likewise associated with the thirteenth century.⁴⁶ Final unstressed *-e* falls in imper.2 *guerdoun* 55 and *estend* 95 (cf. *estende* 87).⁴⁷ The use of graphy *-z* for *-s* masks imper.2 in *voillez* 89, *reposez* 91, *declinez* 102, *seez* 102, and *achatez* 106.⁴⁸ We find imper.2 *eschues* 94 (< ESCHUER ‘avoid’) and imper.5 *voilletz* 21.

Expected determiners are omitted in *fait est famyne* 2 and *Et femmes* 126. Enclisis produces *al* (= *a le*) 57, 59, 64 etc., *du* 119 and *del* (= *de le*) 12, 55, 110 etc.; *el* (= *en le*) 38, 46, 64; *as* (= *a les*) 70, 71, 74 etc. (cf. *asqueus* 32), *des* (= *de les*) 1, 10, 11 etc. (shown also as *dé* 5, 35, 45), and *es* (= *en les*) 1, 14, 77; also, *nel* (= *ne la*) 29. Contrariwise, *as* is re-analyzed as *a les* 30, 43, 49; *delas de le* 104, 118; *des as de les* 136; and *asqueles* as *a queles* 16-17.

For sg.obj.pron.1, *moy* does double duty in unstressed and tonic functions, e.g., *moy ad remesné* 34, *moy appellez vous* 34, *Ceo dist il a moy* 71, and several further uses; similarly, *toi / toy* occurs as unstressed or tonic sg.obj.pron.2 in *qe nul ne toi areste* 73, *Si ne voi voi nul hom* 79, *toy sciet estre une femme de vertue* 90, *jeo toi*

prendroi 92-93, etc. Additionally, *moy*, *toi*, and *soi* are reflex. in *jeo moy regeie* 112, *tu toi abesseras* 81, and *soi assist* 102-103.⁴⁹ Unstressed sg.obj.pron.3 is usually *ly* 51, 58, 62 etc., but we also find *huy* 98, 102, 108 etc. Obj.pron. *la* 97 is once substituted for *ly*. Tonic obj.pron.3 is usually *ly* 29, 76, 96 etc., or *huy* 25, 125, although we encounter tonic *soi* in *porta ensemble a soi* 60. The pl. of *ly* is *lour* 11, 13, 103. *Eux* 74 stands for *eles*.

Though possession may be shown by intervening prep. *de* 1, 6, 12 etc., elsewhere it is expressed almost exclusively by possess.adj. The possess. is never indicated by intervening prep. *a* or by juxtaposition. We do find *south qi eeles* 56, however, ‘beneath whose wings’.⁵⁰

The letter *k* lacks, and *w* appears only in *eawes* 50 and *lower* 55.⁵¹ The letter *y* frequently stands for *i* in spellings like *famyne* 2, *nuyt* 19, and *cosyn* 37.⁵² In the category of typical AN *memoire / memorie*, only *contrarie* 25 occurs.⁵³ Characteristic AN spelling *-aun* is used especially in pres.part., e.g., *chaiaunt* 51, *ahouraunt* 51, *quillaunt* 63, and others, but also in nouns, e.g., *enfauntz* 3, 8, *reme(s)nauntz* 60, 67, *viaunde* 67; in adject. *pussaunt* 35, 37; and in prep. *avaunt* 21 and *devaunt* 44, 52, 54 etc.⁵⁴ We also encounter the typical AN trigraph *-oun* repeatedly, e.g., in *regioum* 5, 10, 45, *baroun(s)* 6, 18, 53, *dount* 7, 67, *peregrinacioun* 12, 36, and several others.⁵⁵

We find doubled consonants in *jugge(s)* 1, 2, *reddour* 63, *longgement* 72 (cf. *longement* 74), *busshels* 65 and *bussheux* 96, 98; *assaciee* 67 (cf. *asacié* 60) and *custumme* 113; and in several verb forms, e.g., *appellee* 3, *morrurent* 8, *irromis* 16, etc. On ten occasions, the scribe’s (capital) *S* resembles a narrow, inverted V with descenders extending below the line, e.g., in *Si* 38, 61, *Soies* 78, *Seez* 103, *Salmon* *134 etc. Geminated vowels appear in *eeles* ‘wings’ 56, *pee* 116, and *peez* 81 (cf. *piez* 85, 86).⁵⁶ Aphetic forms are limited to *fauntile* 20.⁵⁷ An e-glide is retained between *g + r* in *soegere* 66 (cf. *socre* 68, *soegre* 72, 83); between *v + r* in *oeveraigne* 55, 68, and *oevere* 69; and between *v + n* in *joevenes* 88.⁵⁸

Pres.indic. and pret. coincide in past narration in *Et il s'en vount ensemble et vindrent en Bethleem* 31, *En tiele manere s'en vait ele et quilli espiz* 41, and *et ele mangea et est asacié* 60. Imperf. is used

occasionally in contexts where the perf. is expected, e.g., *se coucheit* 85. A sg. verb accompanies a compound subject at 120-21. We find fut.perf. for fut. in *tu en averas alee* 25-26, *tu averas demorree* 26, *tu moy averas comaundee* 82 etc. Our text uses *qe* + subjunct. without change of subject to signify purpose or goal, e.g. *un hom issi de Bethleem Juda q'il peregrinast et demorast en la regiouen de Moabitide* 2-3 (see also 10, 45, 61);⁵⁹ and, frequently, the pres.part. as gerundive, e.g., in *Et cil entrantz la regiouen dé Moabitiz demorerent illoeques* 5 (cf. 12-13, 51).⁶⁰ In if-clauses, *si* may be followed by the pres.indic. (91, 92, 107), cond. (19), fut. or fut.perf. (20, 100) or pres.subjunct. (49, 62). *Quei* ‘what’ may also be followed by subjunct. (107). Pleonastic *ne* occurs at 28, parataxis of *qe* at 63.

Legal vocabulary includes *cognacioun* 42, *dreit de propinquitee* 92, 106, 111, *doner lieu* 111, 115, *tesmoignaunce* 115, *tesmoi(n)gnes* 117, 120, 121, *bail* 118, *heritage* 119, and *successour* 126. There are several uses of *si la qe* ‘until’ + subjunct. 20, 72, 74, 79, 99-100.⁶¹ Ruth is *la Moabite* 38, 45; ‘Moabites’ is commonly *Moabitiz* 5, 10, 35 etc., but Latinate *Moabitide* 3 and *Moabitidis* 7 also appear. ‘With’ is *od* 3, 6, 10 etc. or *ovesqe* 29. *Entrer* is transitive at 5, 31, 97 etc. Substantive infin. are *returner* 30, *lower* 55, and *boivre* 80. Doublets include *siauntz* 39, 41, and *si(e)ours* 43, 44, 46 etc.; *ventuler* 65 and *venter* 78; *proschein* 77, 87, 91 etc. and *proesme* 115; and *chouce* 114 and *chauceure* 116.

Cognacioun (‘kinsman’, ‘kinship group’) 42, *propinquitee* (‘kinship, propinquity’) 92, 106, 111, and *proschein* (‘next of kin’) 77, 87, 91 etc., reflect customs of levirate marriage and responsibility to family as explained in the Hebrew Bible. Levirate marriage involves the ‘marriage of the widow of a deceased and childless man by a relative of that man’.⁶² Schipper translates the Hebrew equivalent of *proschein* as ‘kindred redeemer’, i.e., ‘a close relative who performed whatever functions were necessary to restore the status quo’.⁶³ With the death of her husband and sons, Naomi risks the loss of her place, protection, and privileges in the clan, thus her bitter desperation upon departing Moab (1.11-13) and arrival in Bethlehem (1.20-21). As Naomi’s closest living relative, the unnamed kinsman has the first option to purchase her field. By the custom of propinquity, Boaz can accept the field only if the kinsman relinquishes his right to it. Boaz’s

reminder that whoever buys the field should also marry Ruth ‘is not because of a legal mandate but because the situation requires it’.⁶⁴ By acting as kindred redeemer, Boaz takes responsibility to sustain Elimelech’s line and that of Elimelech’s son, Ruth’s dead husband Maalon. The birth of Obed, Ruth’s son with Boaz, restores Naomi’s place and guarantees comfort in her old age, but especially extension of the lineage. Thus the point of Ruth is the genealogy in 4.18-22, which establishes the Moabite gleaner as the great-grandmother of David, Israel’s greatest king.⁶⁵

Euphrateux (4) may refer to a clan or ‘sub-phratry’ of Ephrathites to which Elimalech, Boaz, the unnamed kinsman, and all their dependents belonged.⁶⁶ In Ruth’s speech, *un debonair housbond* (39) is ‘a kind head of a family or household’.⁶⁷ *Ephi* (65) refers to an ephah, a Hebrew measure of volume;⁶⁸ a *place* (78, 79, 83) is a threshing-floor. Bethlehem’s *porte* (90, 101, 121) was the town’s gate and the seat of the council of elders that deliberated and decided local matters.⁶⁹ *Juda* (2, 5, 13) and *Jude* (124) refer to Judah; Latinate *Lyam* (122) to Leah; and iterations of *Ysai* (132, *135) to Jesse, the father of David.

Between the ninth and twelfth centuries, the number of chapters into which Ruth was segmented varied from ten to four.⁷⁰ *L* and *P* divide Ruth into five chapters, even though the system of capitulation devised in the early thirteenth century and ascribed to Stephen Langton finally called for only four.⁷¹ *L* opens with a rubric (line 1) that marks the end of Judges and the beginning of Ruth. Each succeeding chapter is then set off by a Roman numeral and a capital letter. Regarding the length of *L*’s five chapters, we see that chaps. 1-3 conform to the arrangement shown in the Vulgate. *L*’s chap. 4 corresponds to Ruth 4.1-12, its chap. 5 to Ruth 4.13-22. Thus *L*’s ‘extra’ chapter stems from the division of chap. 4, the split occurring at v. 13, Boaz’s marriage to Ruth.

As noted, *L*’s Ruth is a straightforward translation of *V* without commentary or gloss. Although commentaries lie beyond the scope of this essay, we may conclude with a brief survey of the exegetical milieu in which the ANB’s Ruth was composed and consulted.⁷² Estimating from dates shown in de Martel’s *Répertoire*, we find approximately eighteen commentaries and six sermons on Ruth from the twelfth

century, 29 and 38 from the thirteenth, and 19 and 35 from the fourteenth. Among these, the *Glossa ordinaria*, a whole-Bible commentary that assembled the chief patristic opinions, was widely used in schools from the early 1100s. Most of the *Glossa*'s Ruth derives from Rabanus Maurus's ninth-century commentary.⁷³ The Tobit, Esther, Judith and Ruth sections were often unified in a single manuscript, 'making up what seems to have been a favorite collection of Bible stories'.⁷⁴ The *De sancta trinitate* of Rupert of Deutz († 1130), including Ruth, adopts a dialogical format.⁷⁵ Comestor's *Historia scholastica* (c. 1170) offers a compressed commentary that is largely based on Josephus.⁷⁶ Stephen Langton must have begun his glosses on the historical books, including Ruth, c. 1180-85. Two anonymous commentaries appeared in the time of Innocent III.⁷⁷ From thirteenth- and fourteenth-century Paris, we have commentaries by the aforementioned Hugues de St Cher (c. 1190-1263), a Dominican whose *Postillae* rely on Langton; and the Franciscan Nicolas de Lyra (c. 1270-1349), a Hebraist whose commentary integrates the work of Rashi of Troyes (1040-1105).⁷⁸ To these, Spicq adds works by Guillaume d'Altona († c. 1265), Nicolas de Gorran († 1295), and Thomas Walleis († 1340).⁷⁹

The critical edition is based on the ANB's book of Ruth in London, BL Royal 1 C III, fols. 182r-184v. The edition follows the manuscript closely but also introduces line numbers, paragraphs, capital letters, and punctuation according to modern conventions, both to clarify the meaning of the text and to make it easier to consult and reference. Where necessary, *j* is substituted for *i* and *v* for *u*. The final section, Rejected readings and palæographical notes, carefully records emendations, basing these on readings from *P* and *V*.⁸⁰

Notes

1 I am grateful to Daron Burrows (Oxford), Catherine Léglu (Reading), Pierre Nobel (Strasbourg), and Clive R. Sneddon (St Andrews) for information and advice during the preparation of this study; likewise, to David Collins and Lynda Kinloch of the U of St Andrews Library for electronic access to Nora Elizabeth Ratcliff, 'Edition and study (mostly linguistic) of a section of an Anglo-Norman translation of the Bible (14th

- century): The Acts of the Apostles in MSS BN fr. 1 and 9562', PhD St Andrews, 1955. The Imaging Services of the British Library promptly sent a digital reproduction of my base manuscript, for which I am very thankful; I have since examined *L* in London. My research would be impossible without the alert and able assistance of Shanna Alley and Jeff Waller, Interlibrary Loan, Carlyle Campbell Library, Meredith College.
- 2 Edward F. Campbell, Jr., *Ruth, A New Translation with Introduction, Notes, and Commentary* (Garden City, NY, 1975), 3.
 - 3 Lesley Smith, trans., *Medieval Exegesis in Translation: Commentaries on the Book of Ruth* (Kalamazoo, MI, 1996), ix.
 - 4 Campbell, 5, 18-23. See pp. 10-13 for Campbell's remarks on poetry in *Ruth*. See also Jeremy Schipper, *Ruth, A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary* (New Haven, CT; London, 2016), 26-27.
 - 5 For word-play, see Campbell, 13-14; and Schipper, 7-9. Examples of repetition in *L* include 'empty' (*voide* 34, *voi* 99), 'next of kin' (*proschein* 77, 87, 91, 108, 110, 113, 115), 'children / child' (*enfa(u)ntz* / *enfaunt* 3, 8, 49, 50, 61, 130), 'rest' (*repos* 14, 76), and 'attach / cling / stay close' (*ajoint* 48, 72, 74; cf. *aherdi* 24).
 - 6 For descriptions of *L*, see www.bl.uk/catalogues/illuminatedmanuscripts; Ruth J. Dean and Maureen B.M. Bolton, *Anglo-Norman Literature, A Guide to Texts and Manuscripts* (London, 1999), § 469; Alan Coates, *English Medieval Books: The Reading Abbey Collections from Foundation to Dispersal* (Oxford, 1999), 164; N. R. Ker, ed., *Medieval Libraries of Great Britain: A List of Surviving Books*, 2nd edn. (London, 1964), 87; George F. Warner and Julius P. Gilson, *Catalogue of Western Manuscripts in the Old Royal and King's Collections*, 4 vols. (London, 1921), 1:14; and Samuel Berger, *La Bible française au moyen âge* (Paris, 1884; rpt. 1967; hathitrust.org), 230-37, 386.
 - 7 For descriptions of *P*, see Ratcliff, vii-xiii, and Berger, 230-37, 324-25; for a digital reproduction of *P*, gallica.bnf.fr. Three additional manuscripts contain the ANB's Acts of the Apostles and Revelation: London, British Library, Additional ms. 54325; Paris, BnF, ms. fr. 9562; and Paris, BnF, ms. fr. 6260.
 - 8 I paraphrase Russell, 56. See N. Watson, 'Lollardy: The Anglo-Norman heresy?', in *Language and Culture in Medieval Britain: the French of England, c. 1100-c.1500*, ed. Jocelyn Wogan-Browne et al (Woodbridge, 2009), 334-46, at 338.
 - 9 P. Nobel, 'La Bible de Jean de Sy et la Bible anglo-normande', *Florilegium* 24 (2007): 81-107; idem, 'Les translateurs bibliques et leur public: l'exemple de la Bible d'Acre et de la Bible anglo-normande',

Revue de linguistique romane 66 (2002): 451-72; idem, ‘La Bible anglo-normande et la Bible d’Acre: question de source’, in *L’Histoire littéraire: ses méthodes et ses résultats*, *Mélanges Madeleine Bertaud*, ed. L. Fraisse (Geneva, 2001), 429-48; and Anne Wanono, ‘Une Bible anglo-normande à la source d’une Bible française au XIV^e siècle?’, in *Un espace colonial et ses avatars*, dir. Florence Bourgne et al (Paris, 2008), 203-19. See also Delbert Russell, ‘The European Background: “Pe Bible and oPere bookis of deuocioun and of exposiciooun” in French’, in *The Wycliffite Bible: Origin, History and Interpretation*, ed. E. Solopova (Leiden; Boston, 2016), 49-65, who dates the ANB to the late twelfth or early thirteenth century.

- 10 Email correspondence with T. Revol (22 Aug 2016 and 15 Dec 2017). See Revol, *Genèse de la Bible anglo-normande (introduction, édition, notes, glossaires)*, diss. Université Marc Bloch, Strasbourg II, 2006; and Nicolas Schwaller, *Edition commentée de la Bible anglo-normande: l’Exode* (Strasbourg), diss. in progress. I did not have access to Professor Revol’s work for this piece, but can consult it for my critical edition of the ANB’s Joshua, now in preparation.
- 11 C. Léglu, ‘Reading Abbey’s Anglo-Norman French Translation of the Bible (London, British Library, Royal MS 1 C III)’, *Reading Medieval Studies* 42 (2016): 131-56; and correspondence with Léglu (18 Apr 2017) and K. A. Smith (24 May 2017).
- 12 Léglu, 133, notes the similarity of *L*’s gothic cursive and decorative initials with those in BL Additional ms. 54325, which is dated to ca. 1350; she suggests further that the same workshop produced both, but for different audiences. Nobel (2002), 453, dates *L* ‘de la première moitié ou du milieu du XIV^e siècle’. Anna C. Paues, *A Fourteenth Century English Biblical Version* (Cambridge, 1902; books.google.com), xix, also sets it in ‘the earlier half of the fourteenth century’.
- 13 Such is the traditional place of Ruth in the Septuagint and the Vulgate, although Campbell, 33-36, consults Josephus and Jerome for arrangements in which Ruth was absorbed by Judges or pushed back from the Prophets to the Writings. See also Schipper, 10-13.
- 14 J.P. Carley, ed., *Corpus of Medieval Library Catalogues, 7: The Libraries of King Henry VIII* (London, 2000), xxxvi n. 48.
- 15 See www.bl.uk/catalogues/illuminatedmanuscripts for the description and a reproduction of fol. 185 and its puzzle initial.
- 16 For *L*’s English glosses, see Léglu, 139; see further 149 (Fig. 5), for a reproduction of *L*’s *turteux* and its gloss, *a pankake*. Léglu notes blanks after words in *L*, perhaps intended for glosses that were never added; also,

-
- examples of Latin glosses. See also Wanono, 212-13; P. Nobel, ‘Gloses anglaises et latines dans une traduction biblique anglo-normande’, in «*Si a parlé par moult ruiste vertu*», *Mélanges Jean Subrenat*, dir. Jean Dufournet (Paris, 2000), 419-435, focuses on the first 48 folios of *L*; idem (2001), 436; idem (2002), 467; and Paues, xx. *P* has no English or Latin glosses.
- 17 Léglu, 132, 137.
- 18 Léglu, 137, 139.
- 19 Nobel (2002), 459. It is equally difficult to see how Nobel's next statement, beginning ‘La phrase n'a de français que l'apparence des termes’, could anywise apply to Ruth. See Nobel (2000), 434.
- 20 Albert Welles, *History of the Welles Family in England and Normandy* (New York, 1876; archive.org), 40.
- 21 William Dugdale, *The Baronage of England* (London, 1675-76; quod.lib.umich.edu), *sub* Welles; and Welles, *History*, 37, 39-40.
- 22 In this sentence and the next two, I follow Berger, 230, 324. See Léglu, 131-32, for the Welles family's library of books in Anglo-Norman and the statues of John and Maud de Welles in Lincoln Cathedral.
- 23 Léglu, 131.
- 24 Ratcliff, xii.
- 25 Berger, 237.
- 26 Nobel (2001), 431.
- 27 Nobel (2002), 457; Nobel (2000), 421 n.
- 28 Wanono, 208.
- 29 Nobel (2007), 85; Nobel (2001), 441 n. 50; see also Paues, xix.
- 30 For the *Bible de Jean de Sy*, see the conclusions of Nobel (2007), 104; see also Léglu, 132, and Wanono 215-18.
- 31 Nobel (2002), 454; Nobel (2001), 445.
- 32 Nobel (2001), 446; Nobel (2002), 454.
- 33 Nobel (2002), 454; Nobel (2001), 446.
- 34 Léglu, 141-43.
- 35 Selections reflect differences between each pair of excerpts, but the examples shown are not comprehensive. Henceforth, unless indicated otherwise, all line numbers reference *L*.
- 36 Other differences in *L* are *gourdes* 49 (*boulges P; sarcinulas V*), *bribe* 59 (*morseau P; buccellam V*), *myés* 75 (*granges P; horreis V*), and *cenour* 84 (*tasse P; acervum V*).
- 37 M. K. Pope, *From Latin to Modern French* (Manchester, 1934; rpt. 1966), § 800.
- 38 Ian Short, *Manual of Anglo-Norman*, 2nd ed. (Oxford, 2013), § 24.2**.

- 39 Short, § 6.9*.
- 40 Short, § 24.4.
- 41 Pope, §§ 226, 718, 1187; F. J. Tanquerey, *L'évolution du verbe en anglo-français (XII^e-XIV^e siècles)* (Paris, 1915; hathitrust.org), 611-12.
- 42 Short, § 34.6.
- 43 Short, § 11.10; Pope, § 1284; Tanquerey, 61-63.
- 44 Short, § 19.11. See also *soergere* [cf. *soe(r)g(e)re* 23, 53, 66, *socre* 38, 68].
- 45 Tanquerey, 356.
- 46 Tanquerey, 295.
- 47 Tanquerey, 365-68.
- 48 Short, § 25.1*.
- 49 Short, § 32.3.
- 50 Tony Hunt, ed., *Les Paroles Salomon*, Anglo-Norman Texts, 70 (Manchester, 2012), 25.
- 51 Short, § 8.1**.
- 52 Short, § 8.1**.
- 53 Short, § 13.4.
- 54 Short, § 1.6.
- 55 Short, § 6.7.
- 56 Short, 45, § 5.4.
- 57 Short, § 19.10.
- 58 Short, § 20.4.
- 59 Claude Buridant, *Grammaire nouvelle de l'ancien français* (St-Just-la-Pendue, France, 2000), § 281.
- 60 Buridant, § 257.
- 61 Ratcliff, 144.
- 62 Campbell, 7. For levirate marriage, see also Deut. 25.5-10.
- 63 Schipper, 139.
- 64 Schipper, 145, 173.
- 65 L. Smith, trans., ix.
- 66 For ‘Ephrathites’, see Campbell, 54-55; and Schipper, 39-40, 82-83, 172. According to the *Historia scholastica* and Hugues de St Cher’s *Postillae in Ruth*, Ephrathah was an early name for Bethlehem (L. Smith, trans., 37, 42). Cf. *Effrata* 123.
- 67 Cf. Lat. *patris familias* (V Ruth 2.2); and AND² *sub deboneire* and *husbande*. The New Revised Standard Version has ‘someone in whose sight I may find favor’ (biblegateway.com).
- 68 Campbell, 104, proposes that Ruth’s gleanings in 2.3 (here, line 65: *la mesure de ephi, c'est a saver treis bussheis*) amounted to about 29 pounds; see also Schipper, 127-28. At line 96, after the night at the

-
- threshing-floor, Boaz gives Ruth *sis bussheux de orge*. Nicolas de Lyra explains that an ephah equals ten gomors (Engl. omers), a gomor of manna being sufficient for one person for one day (L. Smith, trans., 60-61; see *VExodus* 16.16-18).
- 69 AND² *sub place* and *porte*. For *porte*, see illustrations 5-9 after p. 100 in Campbell.
- 70 My remarks follow Amaury d'Esneval, 'La division de la Vulgate latine en chapitres dans l'édition parisienne du XIII^e siècle', *Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques* 62 (1978): 559-68. D'Esneval focuses on Ruth and Acts.
- 71 Thus d'Esneval, 563 n., notes that BnF lat. 14597 presents Ruth in six chapters on fol. 120 and in five on fol. 145v (see also d'Esneval, 561). We also find a five-chapter arrangement of Ruth in a collection of works by Stephen Langton in BnF lat. 393, fols. 8-31. In the *Glossa in Biblia* (gallica.bnf.fr), Ruth begins at fol. 44v.
- 72 For medieval commentaries on Ruth, I have consulted L. Smith, trans.; Gérard de Martel, *Répertoire des textes latins relatifs au livre de Ruth* (Dordrecht, 1990); and the electronic edition of Friedrich Stegmüller, *Repertorium Biblicum Medii Aevi*, 11 vols. (Madrid, 1950-1980), available at www.repbib.uni-trier.de.
- 73 See L. Smith, trans., xv. For an English translation of the *Glossa ordinaria*'s Ruth, see L. Smith, trans., 11-36; see further J.-P. Migne, ed., *Patrologia Latina (=PL)* 198 (Paris, 1853; hathitrust.org): 1293-96. For Rabanus's *Commentarium in librum Ruth*, see *PL* 108, 1199-1224.
- 74 E. Ann Matter, 'The Church Fathers and the *Glossa ordinaria*', in Irena Backus, ed., *The Reception of the Church Fathers in the West*, 2 vols. (Leiden, New York, and Köln, 1997), 1:83-112, at 90.
- 75 C. Spicq, *Esquisse d'une histoire de l'exégèse latine au moyen âge* (Paris, 1944), 115-16; also, *PL* 167, 197-1570.
- 76 L. Smith, trans., xvii, 37-39. For the *Historia scholastica*, see James H. Morey, 'Peter Comestor, Biblical Paraphrase, and the Medieval Popular Bible', *Speculum* 61 (1993): 6-35.
- 77 G. de Martel, ed., *Commentaria in Ruth*, Corpus Christianorum Continuatio Mediaevalis 81 (Turnhout, 1990).
- 78 For the *Postillae in Ruth* by Hugues and Nicolas, see L. Smith, trans., 41-55, 57-67.
- 79 Spicq, 322, 326, 348.
- 80 The version of the Vulgate consulted is Robert Weber and Roger Gryson, ed., *Biblia Sacra Vulgata (=V)*, 5th ed. (Stuttgart, 2007; www.bibelwissenschaft.de) and, occasionally, biblegateway.com; for Latin,

the Dictionary of Medieval Latin from British Sources (logeion.uchicago.edu); and for Anglo-Norman, the Anglo-Norman Dictionary (=AND²), at www.anglo-norman.net.

The Anglo-Norman Bible's Book of Ruth

(London, BL Royal 1 C III, fols. 182r-184v)

[fol. 182r] **Ci finist le livre des Jugges et comence le livre de Ruth.** Es jours de un juge quant les jugges furent gardeins de la terre, fait est famyne en terre. Et un hom issi de Bethleem Juda q'il peregrinast et demorast en la regiouen de Moabitide od sa femme et ses deux enfauntz. Cil estoit appellee Elimelech, et sa femme, Noemy; et deux filz, l'un Maalon et l'autre Cheylon, Euphrateux de Bethleem Juda. Et cil entrantz la regiouen de Moabitz demorerent illoeques.

10 Et Elymelech le baroun de Noemy morrust. Et ele remist od ses filz, les queus pristrent femmes de Moabitidis dount la une estoit apelée Orpha et l'autre Ruth. Et il mesnerent illoeqe par dis anz. Et ambedeux morrurent, c'est a saver, Maalon et Cheylon. Et la femme remist deprivee de ses enfauntz et de son maritz.

15 Et ele se leva qe ele alast en son pays od l'une et l'autre ses filles en lei hors de la regiouen des Moabitz, car ele en out oy qe Nostre Sire out regardee son poeple et lour out donee des viandes. Por ceo issi ele del lieu de sa peregrinacioun od l'une et l'autre des femmes de ses filz. Et ele, myse ja en la voie de returner en la terre de Juda, lour dist: 'Allez en la maisoun de vostre mere, si face Nostre Sire mercy od vous, 20 si com vous avez fait od les mortz et od moy. Nostre Sire vous doint de trover repos es maisouns des barouns, les queus vous prendrez'. Et ele les boisa.

25 Les queles, lour voiz levee, comencerent a plorer et a dire: 'Nous irroms od toi a ton poeple'. A queles ele resoundi: 'Returnez vous, mes filles. Por quei venez vous od moy? Jeo ne ay plus filz en mon ventre qe vous pussez esperer de moy barouns. Ha, mes filles moabites, returnez! Car ja sui jeo comprise par veillesce et noun pas covenable a lien de mariage. Et ensement si jeo porroi a ycestre nuyt conceivre filz et enfaunter, si vous voldrez attendre si la q'il cressent et 30 accomplissent fauntile age, vous serrez veilles avaunt qe vous serrez mariez. Ne [fol. 182v] voilletz, jeo vous pri, mes filles, quar vostre anguisse mult moy emprent. Et la main de Nostre Sire est contre moy issue'.

35 Por ceo, lour voiz levee, eles comencerent autre foiz a plorer. Orpha boisa sa soergre et retorna. Et Ruth aherdi a sa soegre. A qı

Noemy dist: 'Voi, ta cosine est returnee a son poeple et a ses dieus. Va od luy'. La quele respoundi: 'Ne soies tu contrarie a moy por ceo qe jeo te refuse et moy envoise. Ou qe tu en averas alee, jeo irroi; et ou qe tu averas demorree, jeo demorroi. Ton poeple est moun poeple et ton dieu est moun dieu. Quele terre qe toi avera pris morraunt, en cele morra jeo et illoeqe prendroi jeo lieu de sepulture. Cestes choses face Dieu a moy; et cestes choses ajoste, qe la mort sole ne moy departe de toi'. Noemy adecertes voiaunt qe Ruth avoit ordeinee de aler ovesqe ly, son corage endurzi, nel voleit contrarier ne plus outre amonester son returner a les soens.

Et il s'en vount ensemble et vindrent en Bethleem. Les queles entrez la citee, isnele fame crust vers touz. Et les femmes distrent: 'Ceo est Noemy'. Asqueus ele dist: 'Ne moy appellez Noemy, c'est beale; mais appellez moy Mara, c'est amiere, quar ly Tut Pussaunt moy ad repleniz de tresgrant amertume. Jeo issi pleine et Nostre Sire moy ad remesné voide. Por quei doncq moy appellez vous Noemy, la quele Nostre Sire ad humiliee et ly Tut Pussaunt ad turmentee? Por ceo vint Noemy od Ruth dé Moabitz sa bruche de la terre de sa peregrinacioun et returna en Bethleem quant orges furent primes siees.

II Lors estoiet un bier—le cosyn Elymelech—un bier pussaunt et de grantz richesses, Booz par noun. Et Ruth la Moabite dist a sa socre: 'Si tu le comaundes, jeo irroi el champ et quilleroi espiz qe averount fuiz les mains des siauntz ou qe jeo averoi trovez grace de un debonair housbond en moy'. A qj ele respoundi: 'Va, ma fille'.

En tiele manere s'en vait ele et quilli espiz aprés les dos des siauntz. Il avynt adecertes qe cel champ out un seignour, Booz par noun, qe estoit de la cognacioun de Elimelech. Et voi, il vint de Bethleem et il dist a les sieours: 'Nostre Sire soit od vous'. Les queus respoundirent: 'Nostre Sire te benesquie'. Et Booz dist a un jovencel qe ala devaunt ses siours: 'Qi pucelle est yceste?' Le quel respoundi: [fol. 183r] 'Ele est une Moabite qe vint od Noemy de la regiouн dé Moabitz. Et ele pria qe ele quillast les espiz qe remesnent suiaunt les traces des siours; si estuet ele el champ de matin desques a ore et ne returna a maisoun a nulle moment'. Et Booz dist a Ruth: 'Oies, fille, ne ne auges tu a quillir les espiz en autre champ, ne ne departes de cest lieu, mais soies tu ajout a mes pucelles et ensuies ou qe eles sierount.

Car j'ai comaundee a mes enfauntz qe nul hom ne toi soit molest.
 Mais si tu eies seif, va a les gourdes et boive les eawes dount mes
 75 enfauntz boivent'.

La quele, chaiaunt en sa face et ly ahouraunt sur terre, ly dist:
 'Dount vint ceo a moy, qe jeo troeve grace devaunt tes oels et qe tu
 vols avoir une femme peregrine?' A qि il respoundi: 'Totes choses
 80 sount a moy countez, les queles tu en as fait a ta soegre aprés la mort
 de ton baroun; et qe tu as deguerpiz tes parentz et la terre en qи tu es
 neez; et es venuz a un poeple le quel tu ne as conuz a devaunt. Nostre
 Sire te guerdoun por ton oevertaigne, si receives tu plener lower del
 Sire Dieu de Israel a qи tu es venuz et south qи eelest tu es fuiee'.

La quele dist: 'Ha, my sire, j'ai trovee grace devaunt tes oels, qe
 85 moy as confortee et parlee al quoer de ta ancelle, la quele ne suy pas
 semblable a une de tes pucelles'. Et Booz ly dist: 'Qant houre serra de
 manger, vien cea, si mangues de payn et moilles ta bribe en eysil'. En
 tiele manere sist ele al costere des siours et porta ensemble a soi des
 90 polentes. Et ele mangea et est asacié et emporta les remenauntz. Et se
 leva de illoeques qe ele quillast espiz de coustumme. Lors comaunda
 Booz a ses enfantz, disaunt: 'Si ele voille sier od vous, ne ly defendez
 et avalez qointement de voz galeines et soeffrez remesner qe ele quille
 sanz reddour; et nul ne la repreigne quillaunt'.

Lors quilli ele el champ desques al vespre. Et ele bataunt de une
 95 verge ceo q'il out quilli et ceo ventulaunt, trova de orge auxint com la
 mesure de ephi, c'est a saver treis busshels. Les queus ele portaunt
 returna en la citee, si les demoustra a sa soegere. Et ensement ele
 porta et ly dona des remesnauntz de sa viaunde dount ele fust
 assaciee.

100 Et sa socre ly dist: 'Ou quillis tu hui et ou fesoies tu oevertaigne?
 [fol. 183v] Beneit soit cil qe de toi ad empitivee'. Et ele demoustra od
 qи ele out oevere et dist le noun de bier, q'il fust appellee Booz. A qи
 respoundi Noemy: 'Beneit soit il de Nostre Sire, quar il garda as
 mortz mesmes la grace q'il out donee as viifs'. Et altre foiz dist ele:
 105 'Cest hom est nostre cosyn'. Et Ruth dist: 'Ceo dist il a moy, qe jeo
 serrei si longgement ajout a ses siours si la qe touz ses bledz fussent
 siez'. A qи sa soegre dist: 'Ma fille, il est mieux qe tu voisnes od ses
 pucelles a sier, qe nul ne toi areste en altrui champ'. Et tiele manere

110 est ele ajout as pucelles de Booz; et sia tant longement od eux si la qe
les orges et les furmentz fussent myns en myés.

115 **III** Adecertes puis qe ele retorna a sa soegre, ele oy de ly: ‘Ha,
ma fille, jeo querroi a toi repos et purvoieroi qe bien soit od toi. Cesti
Booz, as pucelles de qi tu es joint es champs, est nostre proschein, et a
ceste proscheine nuit ventera il une place de orge. Soies tu pur ceo
lavee et enhoynte et soies tu vestue de beals vestementz; et descendre
en la place. Si ne toi voi nul hom si la qe il eit finiz son manger et son
boivre. Qant il adecertes s’en vait a dormir, entende le lieu ou il
dorme. Et tu vendras et discoveras le paille doun il est covert a la
partie de ses peeze; et tu toi abesseras et garris illoeque. Cil adecertes
120 dirra a toi quele chose tu deis faire’. La quele respondi: ‘Jeo feroi
quele chose qe tu moy averas comaundee’.

125 Et ele descendri en ycele place et fist totes les choses qe sa soegre
ly out comaundee. Et com Booz ust mangee et beu et fust fait reheatie
et s’en vait a dormir jost le cenour des garbes, ele vint priveement et le
paille discoverie de ses piez et se couchet. Et voi, ja a la mynuit le
bier se douta et fust troeblee; et vist une moiller gisaunt a ses piez. Et
ly dist: ‘Car qi es tu?’ Et cele respoundi: ‘Jeo suis Ruth, ta ancelle.
Estende ton paille sur ta servante, quar tu es moun proschein’. Et cil
dist: ‘Beneit es tu, fille, de Nostre Sire; et as surmountee la primere
130 merci par la derreine, quar tu ne suis pas les joevenes poevers ou
riches. Ne voillez por ceo douter mais quele chose qe tu moy averas
dit, jeo le feroi a toi, car tut le poeple qe habite deinz les portes de ma
citee toy sciet estre une femme de vertue. Jeo ne refusoi pas moy estre
ton proschein, mays un autre est plus [fol. 184r] proschein de moy.
135 Reposez yceste nuit et al matin s’il te volt retenir par dreit de
propinquitee, ceo est bien fait. S’il adecertes ne volt, viste Nostre Sire,
jeo toi prendroi sanz nulle doute. Dormes desques al matin’. Por ceo
ele dormy a ses piez desques al departisoun de la nuit.

140 Lors s’en leva ele einz qe hommes la conustroient
entrechaungeablement. Et Booz dist: ‘Eschues qe nul ne conusse qe
tu venoies yci’. Et autre foiz dist il: ‘Estend ton paille dount tu es covert
et tien de l’une et l’autre main’. La quele ceo estendaunt et tenaunt, il
mesura sis bussheux de orge et les mist sur ly. La quele les portaunt,
entra la citee et vint a sa soegre. La quele ly dist: ‘Ha, fille, quei as tu
145 fait?’ Et ele la counta totes les choses qe ly bier luy out fait. Et ele dist:

'Voi, il moy ad donee sis bussheux d'orge et dist: "Jeo ne voille toi returner a ta soegre tote voi"'. Et Noemy ly dist: 'Attende, fille, si la qe nous voiems quele issue la chose ad, car le hom ne cessera s'il ne avera accomplie les choses q'il emparla'.

150 **III** Lors mounta Booz a la porte et sist illoeqe. Et com il veist son cosyn y passer dount la parole est einz eu, il luy dist: 'Declinez un poy et seez ycy', ly apellaunt de son noun. Le quel se tourna et soi assist. Booz adecertes provaunt dis biers des plus senez de la citee, lour dist: 'Seez ycy'. Les queus seauntz, il parla a son cosyn: 'Noemy vendi une partie de le champ de nostre frere Elymelech, la quele est returnee de la regiouen des Moabitz. Quele chose jeo toi voldra oir et dire a toi devaunt touz les seauntz et devaunt les greindres de naissaunce de mon poeple: Si tu la vols aver de dreit de propinquitee, l'achatez et la eies. Si ceo adecertes toi desplest, demoustre ceo a moy, 160 qe jeo sache quei jeo deive faire. Car nul est proschein for pris toi qe es le primer et moi qe suy le secound'. Et cil luy respoundi: 'Jeo achateroi le champ'. A qi dist Booz: 'Qant averas achatee le champ de la main de la femme, tu doys prendre Ruth la Moabite qe fust la femme del mort, qe tu suscites le noun de ton proschein en son heritage'. Le quel respoundi: 'Jeo donne lieu par dreit de propinquitee 165 quar jeo ne ostera pas la posteritee de ma mesnie. Uses tu ma privelege dount jeo moy regeie volenters a deseier'.

170 Et ceo ert la aunciene custumme en Israel entre proscheins, qe quant un [fol. 184v] donast lieu a la foiz a l'autre en son dreit, issint qe la concessioun serroit ferme, le hom deschalcea sa chauce et la dona a son proesme. Ceo ert la tesmoignance de doner lieu en Israel. Lors dist Booz a son proschein: 'Deschauces ta chaceure', le quel il deschalcea de son pee.

175 Et il dist as greindres en naissaunce et a tut le poeple: 'Vous estes tesmoignes hui qe j'ai toutes les choses qe furent a Elymelech et a Chelion et a Maalon de le bail Noemy; et qe j'ai pris Ruth la Moabite, la femme Maalon, a ma femme, qe jeo suscite le noun du mort en son heritage, qe son noun ne soit ostee de sa mesnie et de ses freres et del poeple. Vous, di jeo, estes tesmoignes de ceste chose'. Tut le poeple qe 180 ert en la porte et les greindres de naissaunce respondi: 'Nous sumes tesmoignes. Nostre Sire face ceste femme, la quele entre ta maisoun si com Rachel et Lyam, les queles edifierent la maisoun de

185 Israel, qe ele soit ensample de vertue en Effrata et eit sollempne noun
en Bethleem. Soit ta maisoun si com la maisoun de Pharés, le quel
Thamar engendra a Jude de la semence qe Nostre Sire te doint de ta
pucelle'.

190 V Lors prist Booz Ruth et la prist a femme. Et il entra a luy et
Nostre Sire luy dona qe ele conceust et enfaunta un filz. Et femmes
distrent a Noemy: 'Beneit soit Nostre Sire, qe ne suffri qe successour
ne defaillist de ta maisoun; et qe son noun fust apelée en Israel; et qe
tu eies cil qe porra conforter ta alme et nurrir ta veillesce. Car un est
nee de la femme de ton filz qe toi amera. Et ceo toi est mult mieux qe
si tu eusses sept filz'.

195 Et Noemy prist l'enfaunt et le mist en son sein, si usa ele le office
de nurrice et de porteresce. Les femmes voisines adecertes esjoissantz
a ly et disauntz: 'Un filz est nee a Noemy!', apellerent son noun
Obeth. Cil est le pere de Ysai, le pere de David.

200 Ceo sount les generaciouns de Pharés: Pharés engendra Esrom;
Esrom engendra Aaran; Aran engendra Amynadab; Amynadab
engendra Naason; Naason engendra Salmon; Salmon engendra Booz;
Booz engendra Obeth; Obeth engendra Isay; Isay engendra David le
roi. **Ci finist le livre de Ruth et comence le prolog de les
livres dé Roys en ceste manere.**

Rejected readings and palæographical notes

In the following, the rejected reading from *L* is shown without a siglum after the square bracket. *P* indicates the corresponding reading from Paris, BnF ms. fr. 1. The Latin text provides the corresponding Vulgate passage.

33 Mara] Murrera; Mara *P* 35 de Moabit *inserted above the line* 35-36 Por ceo vint Noemy od Ruth dé Moabit sa bruche de] Por ceo vint N. od R. de M. sa b. vint de; Ja est N. od R. la M. sa filastre venue de *P* 48 mes pucelles] noes p. *with m written over no;* mes p. *P* 54 le quell le quele *with final e expuncted* 71 Cest hom est nostre cosyn] Cest noun est n. c.; Ceo est nostre hom prochein *P*; propinquus [. . .] noster est homo 74 pucelles de *inserted above the line* 81 adecertes] adetes; adecertes *P* 85 piez et se coucheit] p. se c.; p. et se c. *P* • mynuuit] mymuit; mynuuit *P* 92 viste Nostre Sire *inserted above the line* 105 Moabit *with o written over another letter* 109 de la main *inserted above the line* 114 ferme] ferme *with er written over another letter* 120 chose] choses *with final s expuncted* 128 Car un] *with un inserted above the line* 130 prist l'ensaunt et le mist en son sein] prist l'ensaunt pris en son sein; prist l'ensaunt et ly mist en son sein *P*; susceptumque [. . .] puerum posuit in sinu suo 184 *Beneath* en ceste manere, *in lower margin, a catch-word:* la lange

Appendix: Comparison of *L*, *P* and *V*

Key : Excerpts from *L*, as edited, are shown below in the left-hand column; and excerpts from *P*, in the center column. (Superscript numbers in the left-hand column refer to line numbers in the edited text.) The corresponding passages from *V* appear in the right-hand column below.

1: Ruth clings to Naomi (1.14b-17)

[*L*, fol.182v, lines 23-29] Orpha boisa sa soegre et retorna. Et ²⁴Ruth aberdi a sa soegre. A q̄i Noemy dis: 'Voi, ta cosine est returnee a son poeple et a ses dieus. Va od ²⁵juy'. La quelle respondi: 'Ne soies tu contrarie a moy por oeo que jeo te refuse et moy envoie. Ou q̄e tu ²⁶en averas alec, jeo irroi; et ou q̄e tu averas demorre, jeo demorroi. Ton poeple est moum poeple et ton ²⁷dieu est moum dieu. Quelle terre q̄e toi avera pres morraunt, en cele morra jeo et illoeq̄e prendroi jeo lieu ²⁸de sepulture. Cestes choses face Dieu a moy; et cestes choses ajoste, q̄e la mort sole ne moy departie de ²⁹toi'.

[*P*, fol. 77v] Si boisâ lors Orpha sa soegre et retorna. Et Ruth aberdi a sa soegre. A q̄i dist Noemy: 'Voy ta cosine, si est returnee a son poeple et a ses dieus. Va od lui'. La quelle respondi: 'Ne moy contrarie q̄e jeo toy deguerpe et moy envoie. En quel lieu q̄e tu voises, jeo en irroi; et outu demorrâs, jeo y demorroy. Ton poeple est moum poeple et ton dieu est mon dieu. La terre q̄e toy prendra mort, en cele terre morroi jeo, et illoqe prendrei jeo lieu de sepulture. Dieu moy face ceste chose; et cco ajoutse, q̄e la mort sole ne departe moy ne toy'.

Orpha osculata socrum est ac reversa Ruth adhesit socrui stae 15 cui dixit Noeni en reversa est cognata tua ad populum sum et ad deos suos vade cum ea 16 quae respondit ne adverseris mihi ut relinquam te et abeam quocumque perrexeris pergam ubi morata fueris et ego pariter morabor populi tuus populus meus et Deus tuus Deus meus 17 quae te morientem terra suscepit in ea moriar ibique locum accepiam sepulturac haec mihi faciat Deus et haec addat si non sola mors me et te separaverit

2: A midnight encounter (3.8-14a)

[L, fol. 183v-184r, lines 85-93] Et voi, ja a la mynuit ja se doubla
 mynuit le bier se dount et fust troblee; et vist
 une moiller gisaut a ses piez. Et ly dist: 'Car
 qui es tu?' Et celle respondi: 'Jeo sui Ruth, ta
 ancelle.⁸⁷ Estende ton paille sur ta serraunie,
 quar tu es moun proschein'. Et cil dist:
 'Beneit es tu, fille, de Nostre ⁸⁸Sire; et as
 surmointee la primere merci par la derreine,
 quar tu ne suis pas les joevenes poevres ou
 riches.⁸⁹ Ne voillez por ceo douter mais quele
 chose que tu moy averas dit, jeo le ferei a toi,
 car tute peoplie que⁹⁰ l'habite deinz les portes de
 ma citee toy sciet estre une femme de vertue.
 Jeo ne refusoii pas moy estre ton⁹¹ proschein,
 mays un autre est plus proschein de moy.
 Reposez yeste nuit et al matin s'il te volt
⁹²retenir par dreit de propinquiee, cco est
 bien fait. S'il adecertees ne volt, vist Nostre
 Sire, jeo toi prendrei⁹³ sanz nulle doute.
 Dormies desques al matin'. Por ceo ele dormy a
 ses piez desques al departissoun de la nuit.

[P, fol. 77r] Et voi, a la mynuit ja se doubla
 et ecce nocte iam media expavit homo et
 conturbans est videlicet mulierem iacentem
 ad pedes suos 9 et ait illi quae es illaque
 respondit ego sum Ruth ancilla tua expande
 pallium tum super famulam tuam quia
 propinquus es 10 et ille benedicta inquit es
 Domino filia et priorem misericordiam
 posteriore superasti quia non es secuta
 inuenies pauperes sive divites 11 noli ergo
 metuere sed quicquid dixeris mihi faciam
 tibi scit enim omnis populus qui habitat intra
 portas urbis meae mulierem te esse virtutis
 12 nec abnuo me propinquum sed est aliis
 me propinquior 13 quiesce hac nocte et
 facto mane si te voluerit propinquitas hure
 refinere bene res acta est sin autem ille
 noluerit ego te absque ulla dubitatione
 suscipiam vivit Dominus dormi usque mane
 14 dormivit iaque ad pedes eius usque ad
 noctis accessum

Et elle
 se domi a ses piez desques al departissoun
 de la nuit.'

3: A contract sealed (4.9-12)

[L, fol. 184v, lines 117-24] Et il dist as greindres de naissance et a tote le poeple: 'Vous estes tesmoignes hui que j'ai toutes les choses que furent a Elymlech et a Chelion et a Maalon de le bail Noemy; et que j'ai pris Ruth la Moabite,¹⁸⁹ la femme Maalon, a ma femme, que jeo suscite le noun du mort en son heritage, que son noun ne soit ostee¹⁹⁰ de sa mesnie et de ses freres et del poeple. Vous, di jeo, estes tesmoignes de ceste chose'. Tuit le poeple¹⁹¹ que ert en la porte et les greindres de naissance respondi: 'Nous sumes tesmoignes. Nostre Sire face¹⁹² ceste femme, la quelle entre ta maison si com Rachel et Lyan, les quelles edifirent la maison de Israel,¹⁹³ que ele soit ensample de vertue en Elfrata et eit sollempe noun en Bethleem. Soit ta maison si com la¹⁹⁴ maison de Pharés, le quel Thamar engendra Jude de la semence que Nostre Sire te doint de ta pucelle.'

[P, fol. 78v] Et cil dist as greindres de naissance et a tote le poeple: 'Vous estes hui tesmoignes que jeo eis toutes les choses que furent a Elymlech et a Chelion et a Maalon; si prendrai Ruth la Moabie, la femme Maalon en mariage du baillir Neomy, que jeo suscite le noun du mort en son heritage, que son noun ne soit ostee de sa mesnie ne de ses freres ne du poeple. Vous', fait il. 'lestes] tesmoignes de ceste chose'. Sirespondi tuit le poeple que ert en la porte et les greindres de naissance: 'Nous sumes tesmoignes. Face aedificaverunt domum Israel ut sit exemplum virtutis in Ephrata et habeat celebre nomen in Bethleem 12 fiatque domus tua sicut donus Phares quem Thamar peperit Iude de semine quod dederit Dominus tibi ex hac pucella. Pherés, le quel Thamar enfaucta de la semence de Juda, le quale Nostre Seignior toy doint de ceste pucelle.'