

## "Bowel-on-the-bench:" proof-of-concept of a three stage, in vitro, fermentation model of the equine large intestine

Article

Accepted Version

Leng, J., Walton, G. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5426-5635, Swann, J., Darby, A., La Ragione, R. and Proudman, C. (2020) "Bowel-on-the-bench:" proof-of-concept of a three stage, in vitro, fermentation model of the equine large intestine. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 86 (1). e02093-19. ISSN 0099-2240 doi: https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02093-19 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/87361/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02093-19

Publisher: American Society for Microbiology

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



### CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	"Bowel-on-the-bench:" Proof-of-concept of a three stage, in vitro,
2	fermentation model of the equine large intestine
3	Running title. "Bowel-on-the-bench:" Proof-of-concept.
4	J. Leng <sup>a</sup> , G. Walton <sup>b</sup> , J. Swann <sup>c</sup> , A. Darby <sup>d</sup> , R. La Ragione <sup>a</sup> , C. Proudman <sup>a</sup>
5	
6	<sup>a</sup> School of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Vet School
7	Main Building, Daphne Jackson Road, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2
8	7AL, UK;
9	<sup>b</sup> Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights,
10	Reading, RG6 6AH, UK;
11	<sup>c</sup> Division of Integrative Systems Medicine and Digestive Diseases, Department of
12	Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK;
13	<sup>d</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Crown Street, Liverpool, L69
14	7ZB, UK;
15	
16	Corresponding author's email address: j.leng@surrey.ac.uk
17	Word count abstract: 229
18	Word count for main manuscript: 7,360
19	

Abstract The intestinal microbiota of the horse, an animal of huge economic and 21 social importance worldwide, is essential to the health of the animal. Understanding 22 the intestinal ecosystem and its dynamic interaction with diet and dietary supplements 23 24 currently requires the use of experimental animals with consequent welfare and financial constraints. Here, we describe the development and assessment, using 25 multiple analytical platforms, of a three vessel, continuous flow, in vitro model of the 26 equine hindgut. After inoculation of the model with fresh horse feces, bacterial 27 communities established in each vessel that were taxonomically similar to those in the 28 29 source animal. Short chain and branched chain fatty acid (SCFA/BCFA) production within the model at steady-state was consistent with expected bacterial function 30 although higher concentrations of some SCFA/BCFAs, were apparent relative to that 31 32 of ex vivo gut content. We demonstrate inter-model repeatability and the ability of the model to capture some aspects of individual variation in bacterial community profiles. 33 This proof-of-concept study, including recognition of model limitations, supports its 34 future development as a tool for investigating the impact of disease, nutrition, dietary 35 supplementation and medication on the equine intestinal microbiota. 36

Importance The equine gut model that we have developed and described has the potential to facilitate the exploration of how the equine gut microbiota is affected by diet, disease and medication. It is a convenient, cost-effective and welfare-friendly alternative to *in vivo* research models.

41

#### 42 INTRODUCTION

Commensal bacteria that reside within the large intestine of the equine gastrointestinal
tract are vital for the horse to be able to utilise a forage-based diet. Some of these

bacteria produce short chain fatty acids (SCFAs), which are absorbed through the gut 45 wall and contribute to the energy requirements of the horse. Sequencing of bacterial 46 16S rRNA genes from gastrointestinal content or feces has been used to profile the 47 gut microbiota of healthy horses (1–5) and to assess the impact of diseases such as 48 colitis and grass sickness on equine fecal bacterial communities (6,7). In common with 49 the majority of human microbiota studies, most disease-related studies have 50 characterized the equine fecal microbiota due to ease of access to samples. Equine 51 feces have been shown to be broadly representative of bacterial communities within 52 53 distal parts of the large colon (1) and to more orad parts of the intestinal tract.

To accurately analyze bacterial communities within the gastrointestinal tract of 54 the horse, direct sampling of each region of interest would be ideal. While this can be 55 56 done *post-mortem* or with fistulated horses, both techniques have limited application due to financial, ethical and welfare implications. Therefore, a fermentation model 57 representing the microbiota of the equine large intestine *in vitro* would facilitate this 58 important area of equine health research, enhancing our ability to explore the impact 59 of diet, pathogens, novel foodstuffs, dietary supplements and drugs on the equine gut 60 microbiota. 61

Models of the human gut have been a valuable and widely used research tool (8). Studies have shown how an intervention with galactooligosaccharide (GOS) has similar effects on the microbial community *in vitro* when compared to in a human study population (9). *In vitro* and *in vivo* approaches were taken to study the effect of the prebiotic GOS on the gut microbiota of children with autism (10,11). Both approaches demonstrated an increase in butyrate production (in the gut model and human feces respectively) suggesting that the model is metabolically valid. Gut models have

provided preliminary insights on the effects of dietary supplement or drug on the
human gut microbiota before commencing costly human trials.

In vitro fermentation models developed to represent bacterial activity in the 71 equine gastrointestinal tract have been reported previously (12-20). However, the 72 majority of these models have used a simple medium or equine feed to maintain fecal 73 bacterial populations and do not replicate the continuous flow of ingesta through the 74 gastrointestinal tract (12,13,16–22). These models often use gas production as a 75 marker of bacterial function and measure the kinetic properties of the bacterial 76 populations cultured (13,17–21). Sequencing of bacterial DNA from fermentation 77 samples allows for a more comprehensive overview of the bacterial communities 78 present, however this approach has so far only been reported in one equine 79 fermentation study (14). 80

In this study, we report on the development and performance of a three-stage 81 fermentation model designed to simulate bacterial communities in the equine large 82 intestine. Two experiments were designed: i) a concordance study of three individual 83 gut models, each inoculated with feces from a different horse (post-mortem), to 84 evaluate the extent to which the gut bacterial profiles of individual horses are 85 replicated, and ii) a repeatability study of two gut models inoculated with feces from 86 the same horse (pre-mortem). To analyse samples taken from the vessels of the gut 87 model we used fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis to assess total 88 bacterial numbers and 16S rRNA bacterial gene sequencing to characterize the 89 microbial community profile in vitro, compared to the samples taken ex vivo. Proton 90 91 nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) spectroscopy and gas chromatography were used to measure a broad range of metabolites to evaluate the functional activity of the 92 model microbiota. Specifically we report on i) model concordance with in vivo gut 93

bacterial populations, ii) repeatability of the model, and iii) the ability of the model to
capture individual variation in bacterial community profile.

96

#### 97 **RESULTS**

#### 98 Gut model medium was adapted to reflect a normal equine diet

The acid detergent fibre (ADF) and starch content of gastrointestinal content samples 99 were analyzed to inform the compositional changes made to the gut model media. We 100 aimed to replicate the diet of the average UK leisure horse in the media used to feed 101 the model and the horses sampled to inoculate it. A large percentage of the 102 gastrointestinal content of the equine ileum, large colon and feces was acid detergent 103 fibre (ADF, Figure S1). Feces had the highest percentage of ADF (42.9  $\% \pm 3.9 \%$ ) 104 and the lowest percentage of starch (0.56  $\% \pm 0.49$  %), whereas the ileal content had 105 the lowest percentage of ADF (25.9  $\% \pm 10.8 \%$ ) and the highest percentage of starch 106 (3.8 % ± 3.3 %). The analysis of ADF and starch from ileum content informed the 107 cellulose and starch content of the gut model media used to feed the model. 108

109

# The equine *in vitro* gut model can support a metabolically functioning bacterial population

The concentrations of five short chain and branched chain fatty acids (SCFA/BCFA) produced in each vessel of the *in vitro* gut models were measured during the concordance study to assess the metabolic output of bacterial fermentation. Figure 1 shows the concentration of acetate, propionate, butyrate, isobutyrate and valerate in the three vessels, as a mean of the three separate gut models. Concentrations of

acetate and propionate rose quickly in all three vessels after initial inoculation (Figure 118 1A and B). Concentrations of butyrate, isobutyrate and valerate only increased 119 marginally between T-1 and T0, but then increased sharply after the flow of media 120 wasstarted at T0 (Figure 1C, D and E). The mean concentration for all SCFA/BCFA 121 measured was observed to stabilise in all three vessels by T5 as there was no 122 significant change in concentration between T3 and T5 (p > 0.05).

The metabolic profiles of the three gut models in the concordance study were 123 compared to that of the gastrointestinal content of the donor horses. Figure 2 shows 124 the PCA model ( $R^2 = 0.64$ ) built with metabolic data from gastrointestinal contents, 125 feces from the rectum and all samples taken from the three gut models. The PCA 126 scores plot shows that the largest source of variation within the dataset (PC1, 127 representing 50 % of the total variation the dataset) was explained by samples taken 128 from the model after T1 having higher concentrations of acetate (Figure 2A and B). 129 Within the second principle component (PC2) of this PCA model samples of 130 gastrointestinal content clustered away from samples from the gut models 131 (representing 15 % of the total variance, Figure 2A). This was due to the content of 132 the large colon having higher concentration of acetate compared to the gut model 133 (Figure 2C). 134

A PCA model was constructed with the metabolic profiles of the gastrointestinal content and samples taken from the three concordance study models at T5 ( $R^2 =$ 0.64). There was separation of points representing samples from the two groups in PC1 (explaining 48 % of the variance in the dataset) in the PCA scores plot (Figure S2A) indicating metabolic differences between samples of gastrointestinal content and gut model. When these differences were explored further, samples of gastrointestinal content had higher concentrations of acetate whereas the samples from the gut model

at T5 had higher levels of valerate and propionate (Figure S2B). An OPLS-DA model was also built with the metabolic spectra from these two groups of samples ( $Q^2Y =$ 0.82). This supervised model showed the differences in levels of acetate, propionate and valerate (also evident in the PCA model) alongside higher levels of diethylene glycol (DEG), ethanol, trimethylamine (TMA) and iso-valerate in samples from the gut models (Figure S2C).

148

#### 149 The equine gut model can replicate part of the equine large intestinal microbiota

An estimate of total bacteria was created using FISH analysis to assess bacterial 150 concentration within the gut model after fecal inoculation. Mean total bacteria for the 151 152 three gut models of the concordance study were lower in the vessels of the gut model at T-1 (2.68 x 10<sup>8</sup>, 2.86 x 10<sup>8</sup>, 2.21 x 10<sup>8</sup> bacteria per ml) compared to gastrointestinal 153 content (3.93 x 10<sup>8</sup>, 3.40 x 10<sup>8</sup>, 3.32 x 10<sup>8</sup> bacteria per ml). At T0 bacterial counts had 154 risen in all three vessels (2.81 x  $10^8$ , 4.02 x  $10^8$ , 3.69 x  $10^8$  bacteria per ml). By T5 155 (steady state) bacterial proliferation had plateaued, with vessel one having the highest 156 counts (5.51 x 10<sup>8</sup> bacteria per ml) and vessel three the lowest (3.74 x 10<sup>8</sup> bacteria 157 per ml). Bacterial alpha diversity (measured as observed OTUs) within the gut models 158 at T0 and T5 was significantly lower than the bacterial diversity of gastrointestinal 159 content and the models at T-1 (p < 0.05, Figure S3A) 160

Unsurprisingly bacterial community profile at phylum level of the model at T-1 (immediately after inoculation) was similar of that of gastrointestinal content of the horses that provided feces for inoculation (Figure 3). However, the abundance of *Verrucomicrobia* was greater in the *in vitro* gut models immediately after inoculation (T-1) than in the gastrointestinal content (14 % vs 1 %, p < 0.05). After the gut models

were batch-cultured for 24 hours (T0) an increased abundance of *Proteobacteria* (from an average of 2 % to 27 %) and *Firmicutes* (from an average of 40 % to 64 %) was observed in all vessels (p < 0.05 from T-1 to T0 for both bacterial phyla). However, by T5 the relative abundance of *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* was comparable to that of the gastrointestinal content; and the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* was much reduced relative to T0 (from an average of 37% to 8%, p < 0.05).

On average, samples taken directly from the cecum were composed of 40 % 172 Bacteroidetes and 46 % Firmicutes (as a mean of the three horses sampled). 173 Whereas, in the gut model these proportions of bacteria were 21 % and 59 % (as an 174 average for vessel 1 of the three models of the concordance study), respectively. For 175 right ventral colon the proportions were 40 % and 47 % for the gut contents and 15 % 176 and 53 % in the gut model (vessel 2), respectively and for the left ventral colon the gut 177 contents proportions were 37 % and 50 % and gut model proportions 25 % and 48 %, 178 respectively (vessel 3). 179

Interestingly, the percentage of the bacterial community within the *in vitro* gut 180 model that was identified as Bacteroidetes decreased further down the model (from 181 vessel 1 to 3), similar to the changes observed in aboral regions of the large colon. 182 There were higher levels of *Bacteroidetes* in vessel three of model one (M1V3), than 183 in vessel three of the other two models (M2V3 and M3V3). There was a higher relative 184 abundance of *Synergistetes* in the gut model at T5 (mean of all three vessels = 14 %) 185 compared to the gastrointestinal content (< 1%). There were also slight differences in 186 bacterial communities of the three models (M1, M2 and M3), inoculated with feces 187 from different horses. M2 had a significantly higher percentage of reads identified as 188 *Firmicutes* compared to M3 (p < 0.05) and M3 had a significantly higher percentage of 189 *Verrucomicrobia* and *Synergyistetes* bacteria than the other two models (p < 0.05). 190

The mean SCFA/BCFA concentration and bacterial phyla count for each vessel of the concordance study gut models were used to build regression models. A number of the models built showed a positive correlation between SCFA/BCFA and bacterial phyla with good model fit ( $R^2 = 0.5$ ). However only a few of these associations were significant (p < 0.05) these included: *Fusobacteria* and acetate (V1), *Synegistetes* and valerate (all vessels) and *Synegistetes* and isobutyrate (V1 and V3). For  $R^2$  and pvalues for regression analyses see Table S2.

Bacterial community profiles were also illustrated at class and order level 198 (Figure S4). The bacterial class Clostridia (on average 47 % in gastrointestinal 199 samples and 53 % average in T5 gut model samples) and order Clostridiales (47 % 200 and 53 %) were at the same percentage abundance as the *Firmicutes* phylum (48 %) 201 202 and 53 %) and the class Bacteroidia (37 % and 21 %) and order Bacteroidales (37 % and 21%) were at the same percentage abundance as Bacteroidetes phylum (39 % 203 and 21 %) in all gastrointestinal and gut model samples. Differences associated with 204 differing relative abundance of Clostridia/Clostridiales and Bacteroidia/Bacteroidales 205 were observed between the gut models inoculated with different feces. 206

207

Venn diagrams were produced to visualise the number of distinct operational taxonomic units (OTUs, bacterial groups with different taxonomic classification) that were shared between the three vessels of the gut models (at T5) of the concordance study and their corresponding region of the equine large intestine (Figure 4). Vessel 3 shared the highest number of OTUs, with 57 OTUs (59 % of the total OTUs identified in samples from vessel 3) being common between vessel 3 and content from the left

ventral colon. Vessel 2 shared 53 OTUs (56 %) with the right ventral colon samples
and vessel 1 shared 47 OTUs (57 %) with cecum samples.

216

The metabolic and microbial profile created by the equine gut model is repeatable.

The concentration of the five SCFA/BCFA measured using gas chromatography 219 significantly rose between T-1 and T1 in all of the vessels of the two gut models of the 220 repeatability study, before plateauing (p < 0.05, Figure 5). As with the concordance 221 study, the models from the reproducibility study were deemed to be stable by T5 (p > 222 0.05 for all SCFA/BCFA between T3 and T5). The concentration of butyrate and 223 224 valerate slightly decreased after steady state was reached (between T5 and T7), but this was not significant (p > 0.05). Furthermore, the concentration of the five 225 SCFA/BCFA measured by gas chromatography was not significantly different in the 226 two models of the repeatability study (p > 0.05 for all SCFA/BCFA at all turnovers). 227

The reproducibility of the metabolic signature of the two gut models was assessed by building a PCA model with the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra gained from the two gut models of the repeatability study ( $R^2 = 0.75$ , Figure S5). The largest source of variation within this dataset (PC1, representing 63 % of the total variance in the model) was the increase in concentration of propionate and acetate over the first four days of the model (T-1 to T1). Points representing samples from the two different models clustered together after T1, indicating that the two models were metabolically similar.

Total bacteria counts calculated by FISH were similar in the two gut models of the repeatability study (M4 and M5). M4 had slightly more bacteria in all three vessels at T5 compared to M5 (mean bacteria per ml for M4 was 4.02 x 10<sup>8</sup> and M5 was 3.88

238 x 10<sup>8</sup>), but this was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). There was a slight increase 239 in total bacteria between T5 and T7 in all of the vessels of the two gut models of the 240 repeatability study (on average the total bacteria of all vessels increased by 3.74 x 241 10<sup>7</sup>), but this was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

242 The bacterial alpha diversity (measured as observed OTUs) within the models at T0, T5 and T7 was significantly lower than the bacterial diversity of the fecal sample 243 used as inoculum and the model at T-1 (p < 0.05, Figure S3B). However, bacterial 244 diversity in the models did not significantly change between T5 and T7 (p > 0.05). 245 Bacterial community profiles were constructed for the two gut models of the 246 repeatability study to assess reproducibility of the equine gut model (Figure 6). There 247 were no significant differences between the two models when the bacterial phyla 248 identified in each of the three vessels at models at T5 were compared (p > 0.05). There 249 250 were also no significant differences between the bacterial phyla profile of each vessel at T5 and T7 (p > 0.05). Bacterial community profiles at class and order level were 251 similar between the two models of the repeatability study and stable between T5 and 252 T7 (Figure S6). 253

254

#### 255 **DISCUSSION**

We have presented a description of an *in vitro* model of the equine hindgut and have examined it against intestinal content samples taken from euthanized horses. This allowed for the assessment of the equine gut model's ability to maintain bacterial communities representative of that found *in vivo*, the repeatability of the gut model setup and the model's ability to capture individual variation that exists in the gut microbial communities of horses. Models, by definition, are not exact representations

of reality. We acknowledge that a model of the equine microbiota will never be an exact replica of the real bacterial community within the equine large intestine. The model will however replicate aspects of the microbial community to allow interrogation and experimental manipulation in a more convenient and less invasive way. Data presented in this paper demonstrate the strengths and limitations of this novel gut model system and its potential to extend our understanding of equine intestinal health.

The three equine gut models of the concordance study showed that the fecal 268 bacteria introduced to the gut model were able to metabolize the gut model media and 269 produce SCFA/BCFA. Immediately after inoculation with feces, the abundance of 270 acetate and propionate within the model increased sharply. Butyrate, isobutyrate and 271 valerate were not observed to notably increase over the first 24 hours. However, these 272 three SCFA/BCFA rose sharply in all three vessels during the first three days of being 273 fed with media. Differences in the timing of SCFA/BCFA appearance may reflect 274 differential acclimatization of the fecal bacterial communities to the gut model 275 environment; bacterial communities producing acetate and propionate adapting to the 276 environment more rapidly than those producing butyrate, isobutyrate and valerate. By 277 T5, production of all five SCFA/BCFA had stabilized and the models were deemed to 278 have entered "steady state". In human gut model studies this is the point at which a 279 280 food supplement or drug intervention is added to be studied (10,23–26).

Multivariate statistical models were used to identify metabolic differences between gastrointestinal content and the gut models. Ethanol, valerate, iso-valerate, propionate, DEG and TMA were at higher concentrations in the gut model at steady state compared to gastrointestinal content. Choline can be degraded by the gut microbiota to liberate ethanol and TMA. Ethanol can also be produced via the metabolism of keto acids and saturated fatty acids following the microbial breakdown

of amino acids. Similarly, iso-valerate arises from the microbial degradation of leucine. 287 These findings indicate that the functional capacity of the equine gut microbiota to 288 liberate amino acids from ingesta is preserved in the gut model system. The 289 290 accumulation of theses metabolites is most likely due to the lack of absorption in the vessels of the gut model system. However, acetate was present in lower amounts in 291 the equine gut model compared to gastrointestinal contents. Acetate is a product of 292 293 bacterial carbohydrate fermentation and is usually absorbed by the gut wall so it can undergo further metabolism to generate ATP. Acetate concentrations in V1 of the 294 295 concordance study models were found to be significantly positively correlated with the presence of known acetate producing bacterial phyla, Fusobacteria (27,28). Lower 296 levels of acetate in the gut model suggest that carbohydrate availability may be less 297 298 in the gut model or that some of the carbohydrate-fermenting bacteria cannot be supported. Alternatively, the acetate produced could be washed through the gut model 299 system into the waste by the constant flow of media. The high levels of acetate in 300 gastrointestinal content emphasises the importance of acetate-generating bacteria to 301 enable horses to liberate energy from their diet. 302

FISH analysis of samples of gastrointestinal content and the vessels of the 303 concordance study gut models provided an estimate of the number of total bacteria in 304 305 the two sample types. The mean concentration of bacteria in vessel one was higher than that seen in the cecum, but this was also the vessel in which total bacteria varied 306 the most between the three gut models from the concordance study. The differences 307 in total bacteria between the three vessels was similar to that seen in the three sample 308 309 sites of the large intestine although they are more pronounced in the gut model. This may be due to the progressive nutrient depletion as media passes through the model 310 311 system.

We chose to use 16S gene sequencing for taxonomic characterisation of the bacterial communities present in the model. The advantages of this method are efficiency and breadth of coverage but we also recognise the disadvantage of limited resolution and specificity. A more balanced approach for future studies might include the use of quantitative PCR for targeted taxonomic (and functional) markers of interest. Future characterisation of this model system could also include evaluation of the virome and mycobiome.

Bacterial community profiles of the gastrointestinal content samples that we 319 used were consistent with those reported previously (5) and dominated by 320 Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes. Immediately after inoculation all three vessels of the gut 321 models had a similar composition to fecal samples. After the first 24 hours of 322 fermentation between T-1 and T0, there is a shift in the bacterial populations, where 323 Proteobacteria and Firmicutes become dominant. However, by T5 the percentage of 324 Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes bacteria in the three vessels did not significantly differ 325 from the equivalent regions of the large intestine and the initial Proteobacteria 326 overgrowth was reduced. 327

It is worth noting, however, that not all the populations present in gut content 328 samples were restored in the model on reaching steady state. This situation will be 329 largely dictated by the diet of the horse providing samples for inoculation of the model 330 system, as compared to the nutrients available within the model media. Varying the 331 carbon and nitrogen sources in the media could aid the growth of a more diverse range 332 of organisms. Change in bacterial community dynamics have been observed in human 333 gut models by varying media composition and model retention time (8). In equine and 334 human gut model systems, the media flowing through the model means that different 335 nutrient availability occurs in different regions, enabling different environmental 336

conditions to be modelled, supporting growth of different microbial populations atdifferent enteric sites.

The bacterial profile for vessel three of model one (M1V3) seen in Figure 3 had 339 a higher percentage of *Bacteroidetes* than expected; the percentage of *Bacteroidetes* 340 usually decreases from vessel two to vessel three (as can be seen in M2 and M3). 341 This high level of *Bacteroidetes* is likely to relate to the starting bacterial community in 342 this horse, as all models were treated identically, except for inoculation with feces from 343 different horses. The ability of this in vitro system to replicate aspects of bacterial 344 community profile representative of the equine large intestine is consistent at phylum, 345 class and order level. 346

347 Many of the low abundance bacterial taxa identified in the gastrointestinal 348 content were absent from the gut model. Significant differences were found when comparing the OTUs identified in the gut models at T5 to the areas in the large 349 intestine that they represent. These OTUs may correspond to non-viable bacteria that 350 were detected in the gastrointestinal content and feces of horses, but were not able to 351 survive and proliferate in the gut model. Alternatively, it may reflect the failure of the 352 model to provide the exact environmental conditions needed to support the vast 353 number of low abundance bacterial groups normally found within the horse large 354 intestine. Clearly, a limitation of this model is that it does not support growth and 355 function of all the taxonomic diversity present in the large intestine of horses. To allow 356 a more diverse bacterial community to establish, other features of the equine large 357 intestine may need to be added to the model, such as epithelial cells and gut wall 358 secretions.By visualizing the unique OTUs that are shared between the gut model 359 vessels and the gastrointestinal content in a Venn diagram, we can see that the gut 360

models were able to maintain the bacteria that contribute to 39 – 43% of the unique
 OTUs identified in the gastrointestinal samples of horses.

Our studies have indicated that the equine gut model may capture some aspects of inter-individual variation seen in the gut microbiota of equine populations. Inoculating models with feces from different horse donors resulted in different bacterial community profiles by the time steady state is reached. This important aspect of the model is worthy of further investigation as it may allow the exploration of individual responses to food supplements and susceptibility to diseases that are mediated *via* the gut microbiota.

In the repeatability study two identical gut models were inoculated with feces 370 371 from the same horse. SCFA/BCFA levels measured by gas chromatography showed 372 that their production was similar in the two gut models of the repeatability study. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of supernatants from these two models showed that metabolic profiles 373 of the vessels were similar at all time points after T1. FISH analysis estimating the total 374 number of bacteria maintained by the two models showed that differences in total 375 bacteria between the three vessels were similar across the models. Bacterial DNA 376 sequencing of samples taken from the three vessels of these two models at T5 showed 377 comparable taxonomic profiles at both phylum and class level. Bacterial community 378 profiles were stable for the two models between T5 and T7. The repeatability study 379 provides preliminary evidence that models inoculated with the same fecal matter will 380 produce microbial communities that are similar, both structurally and functionally. 381

We have reported the analyses used to assess the microbial and metabolic output of a three stage equine gut model. The model is metabolically functional and is able to support a bacterial community which replicates aspects of that found in the

equine large intestine. This model is not an exact replication of *in vivo* bacterial community of the equine large intestine, but does provide an *in vitro* alternative to studies that involve invasive or post-mortem sampling of horses. These may be particularly valuable for studying cecal microbiota, for which feces are not an adequate proxy. This model has the potential to aid in the understanding of how the equine gut microbiota is affected by diet, disease and drugs.

391

#### 392 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 393 Equine gastrointestinal content collection.

Samples of gastrointestinal content were obtained from horses, free of gastrointestinal 394 disease, no more than three hours after euthanasia. The whole gastrointestinal tract 395 was removed from the carcass and the large intestine identified. From each horse, 396 397 two, 12 ml tubes were filled with content from the following areas: cecum, left ventral colon, right ventral colon and feces from the end of the small colon. Measurement of 398 pH of fresh gut contents at all sample sites was achieved using a calibrated, hand-399 400 held pH monitor. Samples were transported on dry ice, then stored at -80 °C prior to analysis. Descriptive details of all horses sampled can be found in Table S1. 401

402

#### 403 **Compositional analysis of gastrointestinal content.**

To inform the make-up of media ADF and starch content of gastrointestinal samples from three UK leisure horses (horse 1, 2 and 3 in Table S1) were analyzed. Samples were all free-dried to remove all moisture. ADF content of free-dried samples were analyzed using the filter bag technique (ANKOM technology). Starch content of

samples were analyzed by converting starch into glucose by treatment of the hot water
extract with amyloglucosidase followed by acid hydrolysis (29). Total reducing sugars
were measured colormetrically according to Fuller 1967 (30).

411

#### 412 Three stage continuous culture system.

The human gut model developed by Macfarlane et al. 1998 (8) was adapted with the 413 aim of replicating the microbiota of the cecum, right ventral colon and left ventral colon 414 of the equine large intestine (Figure 7). This continuous culture system constituted of 415 three vessels (V1, V2 and V3) with respective volumes of 300 ml, 400 ml and 200 ml 416 to provide a scaled down version of their respective regions of the equine 417 418 gastrointestinal tract. Temperature (at 38 °C by circulating water bath; Optima) and pH were automatically controlled (by Broadly James F695 pH probes and Fermac 260 pH 419 measurement and control module; Electrolab) as described in Macfarlane and Eglyst 420 (31). V1 and V2 were maintained at pH 6.3 - 6.5 and V3 at pH 6.6 - 6.8 to reflect the 421 pH of the cecum, right ventral colon and left ventral colon respectively. All vessels and 422 423 the media reservoir were stirred by magnetic fleas and maintained under anaerobic conditions by a continuous flow of anaerobic mix gas (80% N<sub>2</sub>, 10% CO<sub>2</sub> and 10% H<sub>2</sub>). 424 A single channel, variable speed peristaltic pump (Electrolab) fed vessel one with 425 426 media from the media reservoir at a rate of 900 ml every three days which represented the scaled flow rate of ingesta through the equine gastrointestinal tract. Media then 427 flowed from vessel one to two and vessel two to three through the waste pipe of each 428 429 vessel.

430 The human gut model media from MacFarlane *et al.* 1998 (8) was altered to 431 mirror the ADF and fibre content seen in compositional analysis of gastrointestinal

content (Figure S1). To represent the normal diet of a horse the percentage of starch 432 and fibre were increased and the percentage of protein decreased. The composition 433 of this media in distilled water was: cellulose (15 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), yeast extract (5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), 434 435 NaCl (5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), KCl (5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), mucin (4 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), raffinose (3.5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), starch (2 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), peptone water (1.5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), tryptone (1.5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1.5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), 436 MgSO<sub>4</sub>(.7H<sub>2</sub>O) (1.25 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), arabinogalactan (1 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), xylan (0.835 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), 437 cysteine.HCI (0.8 g litre<sup>-1</sup>),  $KH_2PO_4$  (0.5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>),  $K_2HPO_4$  (0.5 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), bile salts (0.4 438 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), CaCl<sub>2</sub>.6H2O (0.15 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), hemin (0.05 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), FeSO<sub>4</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O (0.005 g litre<sup>-1</sup>), 439 tween 80 (0.5 ml litre<sup>-1</sup>) and vitamin K (0.01 ml litre<sup>-1</sup>). 440

To inoculate the gut model a sample of feces was collected from the rectum 441 post-mortem or immediately after defecation for non-invasive sample acquisition and 442 placed in a sealed jar, with an anaerobic atmosphere generating sachet (Oxoid 443 AnaeroGen 2.5 L Sachet; Thermo Fisher) for transportation for a maximum of two 444 hours. A 20 % fecal slurry (1 x PBS) was made in a strainer stomacher bag (Seward), 445 by homogenizing fecal balls manually within an anaerobic cabinet (Whitley A35 446 anaerobic workstation). 100 ml, 133 ml and 67 ml of the fecal slurry was decanted and 447 added respectively to each vessel (sample taken immediately after inoculation were 448 designated T-1). After the fecal slurry was added the model was allowed to equilibrate 449 450 as a batch culture for 24 hours. The media pump was started after 24 hours, designated T0. The short period of batch culture allowed the fecal bacteria to 451 acclimatise to their new environment and reduce the washing-out of bacteria when the 452 flow of media was started. 453

A sample of 5 ml was taken through the sample port of each vessel at T-1, T0 and every subsequent full turnover of media through the model (every three days). Two aliquots of 1 ml were centrifuged at 11,337 *g*, the supernatant stored at -20 °C

and pellets stored at -80 °C. For preparation of FISH slides, an aliquot of 375  $\mu$ l from each sample was added to 1125  $\mu$ l 4 % paraformaldehyde (PFA) and incubated at 5 °C for four hours. PFA was washed off with 1 x PBS, the pellet re-suspended in 150  $\mu$ l 1 x PBS and 150  $\mu$ l ethanol and stored at -20 °C until required. Processing of all samples was carried out in an anaerobic cabinet (Whitley A35 anaerobic workstation).

462

#### 463 **Concordance and repeatability studies.**

The concordance study used three gut models (M1, M2 and M3) inoculated with feces 464 from three different horses to assess the similarity between the gastrointestinal 465 samples and the stabilised fermentation model of the same horse. Modelling three 466 467 different individuals allowed assessment of whether the model could capture the interindividual variation seen in vivo. Samples of gastrointestinal content were taken post 468 mortem from the cecum, right ventral colon, left ventral colon and feces from the 469 rectum of three horses (Horses 4, 5 and 6 in Table S1). These samples were 470 transported on dry ice and then stored at -80 °C until defrosted for analyses. A further 471 472 100 g of feces were taken from of each horse and stored in an anaerobic jar (Oxoid) with an anaerobic gas generating sachet until it was used to make a 20 % fecal slurry 473 used to inoculate all vessels. Each gut model was sampled every turnover until steady 474 475 state was reached (T5, when SCFA production stabilised).

Subsequent to this, two gut models (M4 and M5) were run at the same time with feces from the same horse, for the repeatability study. This aimed to assess the reproducibility of the metabolic and bacterial signatures between different gut models. Freshly voided feces were collected from a healthy horse (Horse 7 in Table S1) and transported in a sealed container with an anaerobic gas generating sachet to use for

fecal slurry inoculum. These models were run until turnover seven (T7) to assess stability of the model after steady state (T5) was reached and samples were taken and processed as previously described. An overview of the inoculation and sampling of the concordance and repeatability studies can be seen in Figure 8.

485

#### 486 SCFA/BCFA analysis by Gas Chromatography.

Supernatants from all gut models at all time points underwent gas chromatography to 487 analyse the levels of acetate, propionate, butyrate, isobutyrate and valerate. These 488 samples were analysed using an acidification method adapted from Zhao et al. 2006 489 (32). Samples were filtered through 0.2 mm PVDF filer and acidified to pH 2 using 490 491 sulfuric acid. An internal standard of 2-ethyl butyrate was added to at 5 mM in each sample. A fused-silica capillary column with a free fatty acid phase (DB-FFAP) was 492 used; with helium as the carrier gas, flow rate of 14.4 ml/min. The initial oven 493 temperature was 100 °C, which was held for 2 minutes before being raised to 180 °C 494 at 8 °C per minute and held for a further 2 minutes, then increased to 200 °C at 20 °C 495 per minute, and held at 200 °C for 5 minute. A range of standards were used at 496 concentrations from 0.5mM to 100mM, these contained acetate, propionate, butyrate, 497 iso-butyrate, valerate and isovalerate. Analysis of peak areas was done using 498 ChemStation B.03.01 software (Aligent Technologies). 499

Human gut models are often run for eight turnovers (24 days) before they are deemed to reach steady state (assessed by stabilization of SCFA levels) and a drug or feed intervention added (e.g. Grimaldi et al. 2017). We chose to use SCFA production as a marker for model stability, plateauing of production indicating achievement of steady state (Fig 1). Accordingly, community profiling models were run

until T5 (15 days after media flow was started) as SCFA production was deemed to
be stable at this time point; the repeatability study was run for a further two turnovers
after this point to further assess the stability of the model. Gut models maintained for
longer time-periods (data not reported here) have confirmed stability of SCFA
production until T7 (1 days after media flow was started).

510 Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed to assess the stability of the SCFA 511 produced by the vessels of the concordance study gut models from T3 to T5 to assess 512 if steady state had been reached. Kriskall-Wallis tests were also used to assess the 513 stability of SCFA production in the repeatability study gut models from T3 to T5, from 514 T5 to T7 and whether there were any differences in SFCA production between the two 515 models created with the same fecal inoculum (repeatability study).

516

#### <sup>517</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

Sample supernatants taken from the model were prepared for <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis by 518 adding 200 µl of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4; 100 % D<sub>2</sub>O) containing 1 mM of the internal 519 520 standard 3-trimethylsilyl-1-[2,2,3,3-2H4] propionate (TSP) to 400 µl of each sample and transferred into 5 mm NMR tubes. Spectroscopic analysis of all samples was 521 carried out on a 700 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer equipped with a cryo-probe. 522 Standard one-dimensional <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired for all samples with water 523 peak suppression using a standard pulse sequence. For each sample, 8 dummy scans 524 were followed by 256 scans and collected in 64K data point. Chemical shifts in the 525 fecal spectra were referenced to the TSP singlet at δ 0.0. Scans collected 64K data 526 points. A spectral width of 20 ppm and an acquisition time per scan of 3.12 seconds 527 528 were used.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were analysed in the Matlab environment (R2014a; 529 Mathworks) with in-house scripts. Spectra were initially aligned and normalized 530 (probabilistic quotient method) before multivariate models were built to compare the 531 532 metabolic profiles of gastrointestinal content to sample supernatants from the gut model. Initial unsupervised PCA models were constructed to identify metabolites that 533 explain the largest sources of variation within the dataset. OPLS-DA models were 534 constructed for pair-wise comparisons of gastrointestinal content and gut model 535 supernatants. The predictive ability (Q<sup>2</sup>Y) of the OPLS-DA models were calculated 536 using seven-fold cross validation. Metabolites were assigned to peaks using the 537 database of equine metabolites found in Escalona et al. (33). 538

539

#### 540 **FISH analysis for total bacteria.**

FISH was used alongside 16S gene sequencing in order to enumerate total bacterial 541 542 numbers. It provides a validated approach for counting microbial numbers using probes targeting specific bacterial taxa. FISH analyses were carried out by a trained 543 operator; quality assurance was provided by duplicate counting by second operator 544 which gave rise to comparable results (greater than 95% confidence of similarity). 545 Total bacterial count per ml was calculated by utilising Eubmix FISH probe. This is a 546 mixture of three EUB338 probes (I, II and III, Sigma Aldrich) with the dye Cy3 tagged 547 at the 5' end. Hybridisation was performed as described in Daims et al. 1999 (34). All 548 samples prepared for FISH were diluted to 1 in 100 (in PBS:SDS) and 20 µl was added 549 to a well of Teflon- and poly L-lysine-coated 6-well slide (Tekdon Inc.). Slides were 550 551 dried on a plate incubator for 15 minutes at 46 - 50 °C, dehydrated in 30 %, 80 % and 96 % ethanol for 3 minutes each and then dried for 2 minutes. Hybridisation mixture 552

(0.9 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris/HCl (pH 8), formamamide, 10 % sodium dodecyl sulphate 553 and 4.55 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> probe) was added to each well, slides placed on a sealed tray and 554 put in a hybridisation oven for 4 hours at 46 °C. Once hybridisation was complete 555 556 slides were placed into wash buffer (0.9 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris/HCl (pH 8) 0.005 M EDTA solution (pH 8), H<sub>2</sub>O) for 10 - 15 minutes at 48 °C. After washing slides were dipped 557 into cold water for 2 - 3 seconds and dried using compressed air. Once dry, antifade 558 solution (Dabco) was added to each well and a cover slip applied. Fixed bacteria were 559 visualised using fluorescence microscopy (Nikon Eclipse Ni-U microscope), the 560 561 number of bacteria counted in 15 random fields of view per well and a mean calculated. To calculate the number of bacteria per ml the following equation was used: 562

563

Bacteria per 
$$ml = 0.8 \times mean$$
 bacteria  $\times 6788.42 \times 50 \times 100$ 

564

#### 565 **Preparation and analysis of bacterial DNA sequencing.**

DNA was extracted from frozen gastrointestinal samples and pellets from the gut 566 model using PSP® spin stool DNA plus kit (Invisorb) using the manufacturer's 567 protocol. Extracted DNA was re-suspended in 100 µl of elution buffer. Further 568 preparation and DNA sequencing was carried out by the Centre of Genomic Research, 569 Liverpool. The extracted DNA was amplified using PCR of the V4 region of the 16S 570 rRNA gene using the primers F515 (GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA) and 806R 571 (GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT) (35), with reverse primers containing individual 572 Golay barcodes. For a final volume of 20 µl to be subjected to V4 PCR 1 µl of the 573 extracted DNA from each sample was added to: 7 µl of molecular water, 10 µl 574 NEBNext High Fidelity Master Mix (New England Biolabs), 1 µl of forward primer (3 575  $\mu$ M) and 1  $\mu$ I of an individually barcoded reverse primer (3  $\mu$ M). This mixture was made 576

for each individual sample, which then underwent the following PCR conditions: 30 577 seconds at 98 °C and 25 cycles of: 10 seconds at 98 °C, 30 seconds at 55 °C and 30 578 seconds at 72 °C. This was followed by a final period of 5 minutes at 72 °C and then 579 580 kept at 4 °C until processed. PCR products were evaluated by electrophoresis in 2 % agarose gel stained with midori green. Successfully amplified DNA was cleaned using 581 AMPure XP beads (Agencourt) and guantified using the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA 582 assay (Life Tech). PCR products were pooled at an equimolar ratio and size selected 583 using a 2 % agarose gel cassette run in a Pippin Prep (Sage Science machine) where 584 585 fragments at 254 bp were eluted and kept for sequencing. Sequencing was carried out on the MiSeg Illumina platform. 586

Analysis of sequencing data was carried out on a remote linux server provided 587 by the University of Surrey with QIIME2 (36) installed. Zipped read files were uploaded 588 to the linux server and converted into a QIIME artefact (gime tools import) and a 589 summary was generated (giime demux summarize). Quality control was carried out 590 using DADA2 (37) and the ends of reads were trimmed at position 15 and 220 of the 591 reads (giime dada2 denoise-single). A rooted phylogenetic was generated for diversity 592 analyses (giime alignment mafft, giime alignment mask, giime phylogeny fasttree and 593 giime phylogeny midpoint-root). Diversity core metrics were generated at a sampling 594 595 depth of 47,000 reads (gime diversity core-metrics-phylogenetic) and boxplots displaying alpha diversity (measure as observed OTUs) were generated (gime 596 diversity alpha-group-significance). The reference database greengenes (38) was 597 downloaded and the taxanomic classifiers were trained on this specific sample 598 preparation and sequencing parameters (giime feature-classifier classify-sklearn). 599 Taxa summary barplots were generated (giime taxa barplot). 600

601

602 Mann-Whitney U tests were used to assess whether read numbers for identified bacterial phyla were statistically different when comparing gastrointestinal content to 603 the gut model and time points of the model. Regression models were built with the 604 605 mean SCFA/BCFA concentration and bacterial phyla count for the each vessel of the concordance study at T-1, T0 and T5. Correlations identified by the models were 606 deemed to be significant when  $R^2 = 0.5$  and p < 0.05. Venn diagrams were generated 607 to visualise how many identifiable and uniquely named OTUs were shared between 608 the vessels of the three biological replicate gut models and their corresponding 609 610 gastrointestinal compartments.

611 Raw sequencing reads analysed in this manuscript have been submitted to the 612 European Nucleotide Archive and can be found in project ERP107630.

613

#### 614 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank the staff at the Veterinary Pathology Centre and the University of Surrey for help with equine gastrointestinal content sample acquisition during *post-mortem* examinations. This project was funded by the Petplan Charitable Trust and the University of Surrey.

619

#### 620 **REFERENCES**

- Dougal K, Harris PA, Edwards A, Pachebat JA, Blackmore TM, Worgan HJ, et
   al. A comparison of the microbiome and the metabolome of different regions of
   the equine hindgut. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2012;82(3):642–52.
- 2. Costa MC, Silva G, Ramos R V., Staempfli HR, Arroyo LG, Kim P, et al.

625		Characterization and comparison of the bacterial microbiota in different
626		gastrointestinal tract compartments in horses. Vet J [Internet]. 2015;205(1):74-
627		80. Available from:
628		http://dx.doi.org.idpproxy.reading.ac.uk/10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.03.018
629	3.	Proudman CJ, Hunter JO, Darby AC, Escalona EE, Batty C, Turner C.
630		Characterisation of the faecal metabolome and microbiome of Thoroughbred
631		racehorses. Equine Vet J. 2015;47(5):580–6.
632	4.	Costa MC, Stämpfli HR, Allen-Vercoe E, Weese JS. Development of the faecal
633		microbiota in foals. Equine Vet J. 2016;48(6):681–8.
634	5.	Ericsson AC, Johnson PJ, Lopes MA, Perry SC, Lanter R. A Microbiological
635		Map of the Healthy Equine Gastrointestinal Tract. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):1-
636		17.
637	6.	Costa MC, Arroyo LG, Allen-Vercoe E, Stämpfli HR, Kim PT, Sturgeon A, et al.
638		Comparison of the fecal microbiota of healthy horses and horses with colitis by
639		high throughput sequencing of the V3-V5 region of the 16s rRNA gene. PLoS
640		One. 2012;7(7):e41484.
641	7.	Leng J, Proudman C, Darby A, Blow F, Townsend N, Miller A, et al.
642		Exploration of the Fecal Microbiota and Biomarker Discovery in Equine Grass
643		Sickness. J Proteome Res. 2018;17(3):1120–8.
644	8.	Macfarlane GT, Macfarlane S, Gibson GR. Validation of a three-stage
645		compound continuous culture system for investigating the effect of retention
646		time on the ecology and metabolism of bacteria in the human colon. Microb
647		Ecol. 1998;35(2):180–7.

9. Walton GE, Heuvel EGHM Van Den, Kosters MHW, Rastall RA, Tuohy KM,

Gibson GR. A randomised crossover study investigating the effects of galactooligosaccharides on the faecal microbiota in men and women over 50 years of
age. Br J Nutr. 2012;107:1466–75.

- Grimaldi R, Cela D, Swann JR, Vulevic J, Gibson GR, Tzortzis G, et al. In vitro
  fermentation of B-GOS : Impact on faecal bacterial populations and metabolic
  activity in autistic and non-autistic ... In vitro fermentation of B-GOS : impact on
  faecal and non-autistic children. FEMS Micro Ecolo. 2017;93(2):1–10.
- 11. Grimaldi R, Gibson GR, Vulevic J, Giallourou N, Castro-mejía JL, Hansen LH,
- et al. A prebiotic intervention study in children with autism spectrum disorders
  (ASDs). Microbiome. 2018;6(133):1–13.
- Lowman RS, Theodorou MK, Hyslop JJ, Dhanoa MS, Cuddeford D. Evulation
  of an in vitro batch culture technique for estimating the in vivo digestibility and
  digestible energy content of equine feeds using equine faeces as the source of
  microbial inoculum. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 1999;80(1):11–27.
- 13. Desrousseaux G, Santos AS, Pellikaan WF, Van der Poel AFB, Cone JW,

664 Guedes CM V, et al. Effect of collection time on the fermentative activity of

665 microbes in equine faeces. Anim Feed Sci Technol [Internet]. 2012;178(3–

- 666 4):183–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2012.09.016
- 14. Biddle AS, Black SJ, Blanchard JL. An In Vitro Model of the Horse Gut
- 668 Microbiome Enables Identification of Lactate-Utilizing Bacteria That
- Differentially Respond to Starch Induction. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):1–13.
- 15. Andoh A, Tsujikawa T, Sasaki M, Mitsuyama K, Suzuki Y, Matsui T, et al.
- Faecal microbiota profile of Crohn's disease determined by terminal restriction

672 fragment length polymorphism analysis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther.

673 2009;29(1):75–82.

- 16. Moore-Colyer M, O'Gorman DM, Wakefield K. An In Vitro Investigation into the
- 675 Effects of a Marine-Derived, Multimineral Supplement in Simulated Equine
- 676 Stomach and Hindgut Environments. J Equine Vet Sci [Internet].
- 677 2014;34(3):391–7. Available from:
- 678 http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0737080613004735
- 17. Murray JAMD, Bice RKT, Moore-Colyer MJS. The effect of particle size on the
- in vitro fermentation of different ratios of high-temperature dried lucerne and
- sugar beet pulp incubated with equine faecal inocula. Anim Feed Sci Technol
- 682 [Internet]. 2010;162(1–2):47–57. Available from:
- 683 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.09.001
- 18. Murray JAMD, McMullin P, Handel I, Hastie PM. The effect of freezing on the
- 685 fermentative activity of equine faecal inocula for use in an in vitro gas
- 686 production technique. Anim Feed Sci Technol [Internet]. 2012;178(3–4):175–
- 687 82. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2012.09.013
- 19. Murray JMD, McMullin P, Handel I, Hastie PM. Comparison of intestinal
- contents from different regions of the equine gastrointestinal tract as inocula
- 690 for use in an in vitro gas production technique. Anim Feed Sci Technol
- [Internet]. 2014;187:98–103. Available from:
- 692 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2013.10.005
- 693 20. Murray JAMD, Scott B, Hastie PM. Fermentative capacity of equine faecal
- inocula obtained from clinically normal horses and those predisposed to
- laminitis. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2009;151(3–4):306–11.

696	21.	Abdouli H, Attia S Ben. Evaluation of a two-stage in vitro technique for
697		estimating digestibility of equine feeds using horse faeces as the source of
698		microbial inoculum. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2007;132(1–2):155–62.
699	22.	Elghandour MMY, Vázquez Chagoyán JC, Salem AZM, Kholif AE, Martínez
700		Castaneda JS, Camacho LM, et al. In Vitro fermentative capacity of equine
701		fecal inocula of 9 fibrous forages in the presence of different doses of
702		Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Equine Vet Sci [Internet]. 2014;34(5):619–25.
703		Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2013.11.013
704	23.	Hobden MR, Martin-morales A, Guérin-deremaux L, Wils D, Costabile A,
705		Walton GE, et al. In Vitro Fermentation of NUTRIOSE $\ensuremath{\mathbb{B}}$ FB06 , a Wheat
706		Dextrin Soluble Fibre , in a Continuous Culture Human Colonic Model System.
707		PLoS One. 2013;8(10):1–7.
708	24.	Costabile A, Walton GE, Tzortzis G, Vulevic J, Charalampopoulos D, Gibson
709		GR. Effects of Orange Juice Formulation on Prebiotic Functionality Using an In
710		Vitro Colonic Model System. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):1–12.
711	25.	Pérez-López E, Cela D, Costabile A, Mateos-Aparicio I, Rupérez P. In vitro
712		fermentability and prebiotic potential of soyabean Okara by human faecal
713		microbiota. Br J Nutr. 2016;116(6):1116–24.
714	26.	Liu Y, Gibson GR, Walton GE. A three-stage continuous culture approach to
715		study the impact of probiotics , prebiotics and fat intake on faecal microbiota
716		relevant to an over 60 s population. J Funct Foods [Internet]. 2017;32:238–47.
717		Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2017.02.035

- 718 27. Gharbia SE, Shah HN. Pathways of glutamate catabolism among
- Fusobacterium species. J Gen Microbiol. 1991;137(5):1201–6.

720	28.	Rogers AH, Chen J, Zilm PS, Gully NJ. The Behaviour of Fusobacterium
721		nucleatum Chemostat-grown in Glucose- and Amino Acid-based Chemically
722		Defined Media. Anaerobe. 1998;4(2):111–6.
723	29.	Macrae JC, Armstrong DG. Enzyme Method for Determination of Alpha-
724		Lunked Glucose Polymers in Biological Materials. J Sci Food Agric.
725		1968;19(10):578.
726	30.	Fuller KW. Automated Determination of Sugars. Tech Symp. 1966;11(57).
727	31.	Macfarlane GT, Englyst HN. Starch utilization by the human large intestinal
728		microflora. J Appl Bacteriol. 1986;60(3):195-201.
729	32.	Zhao G, Nyman M, Jönsson JÅ. Rapid determination of short-chain fatty acids
730		in colonic contents and faeces of humans and rats by acidified water-extraction
731		and direct-injection gas chromatography. Biomed Chromatogr.
732		2006;20(8):674–82.
733	33.	Escalona EE, Leng J, Dona AC, Merrifield CA, Holmes E, Proudman CJ, et al.
734		Dominant components of the Thoroughbred metabolome characterised by 1H-
735		nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy: A metabolite atlas of common
736		biofluids. Equine Vet J. 2015;47(6):721–30.
737	34.	Daims H, Bruhl A, Amann R, Schleifer K, Wagner M. The Domain-specific
738		Probe EUB338 is Insufficient for the Detection of all Bacteria: Development
739		and Evaluation of a more Comprehensive Probe Set. Syst Appl Microbiol.
740		1999;444(22):434–44.
741	35.	Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Iyons D, Lozupone CA,
742		Turnbaugh PJ, et al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of

- 744 2011;108(1):4516–22.
- 36. Bolyen E, Rideout J, Dillon M, Bokulich N, Abnet C, Al-Ghalith G, et al. QIIME
- 2: Reproducible, interactive, scalable, and extensible microbiome data
- science. PeerJ Prepr. 2018;6:e27295v2.
- 748 37. Callahan BJ, Mcmurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP.
- DADA2: High resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat
  Methods. 2016;13(7):581–3.
- 751 38. Mcdonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, Desantis TZ, Probst A, et al.
- An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and
- evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. ISME J [Internet].
- 754 2012;6(3):610–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.139
- 755

#### 756 **Figures**



Figure 1: Demonstration of biological functionality of the gut models in the
concordance study. SCFA/BCFA production of the three separate gut models
inoculated with feces from three different horses during the concordance study
(shown as the mean and standard deviation of the three vessels of the model). The
SFCA/BCFAs measured were: A) acetate, B) propionate, C) butyrate, D) isobutyrate
and E) valerate. T, turnover; V, vessel.



**Figure 2:** Biochemical variation (measured by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy) between the gut contents of donor horses and *in-vitro* gut model supernatant from the concordance study. A) PCA scores plot for PC1 and PC2 of the model ( $R^2 = 0.64$ ). B)The loading plot for PC1, describing 50 % of the total variance associated with higher concentration of acetate in model supernatant at time points T1 – T5 and C) the loading plot for PC2 describing 14 % of the total variance, associated with higher acetate concentration in gut contents. PC, principle component; T, turnover.

771

772





gut models from the concordance study. The key indicates whether bacterial phyla
are: identified in both gastrointestinal and gut model samples at T5 (black), only in
gastrointestinal samples (blue) or only in gut model samples (red).

C, cecum; RVC, right ventral colon; LVC, left ventral colon; V, vessel; T, turnover; M,
model.



**Figure 4:** Venn diagram showing the total number of distinct OTUs that are unique and shared between samples taken from the areas of the equine large intestine and their corresponding vessels from the gut models. These models were from the concordance study used to capture individual variation.



**Figure 5:** Demonstration of repeatability of biological function between models. SCFA/BCFA production (measured by gas chromatography) in each vessel of the models was inoculated with the same feces (repeatability study). Each line represents the level of a SCFA/BCFA in a single vessel of one of the two gut models of the repeatability study. The SFCA/BCFAs measured were: A) acetate, B) propionate, C) butyrate, D) isobutyrate and E) valerate. T, turnover; R, technical replicate; V, vessel; M, model.



**Figure 6:** Demonstration of the repeatability of model bacterial communities. Taxonomic assignments (phyla from 16S bacterial gene sequencing) from the repeatability study; two models inoculated with feces from the same horse. M, model; V, vessel; T, turnover.

796



Figure 7: Three stage, *in vitro*, fermentation model designed to replicate the microbiota of the equine cecum, right ventral colon and left ventral colon (modified

817 from MacFarlane *et al.* 1998).



Figure 8: Schematic showing the sampling scheme and experimental design for A) concordance study to demonstrate capture of inter-individual variation and B) repeatability study to demonstrate consistency of models inoculated with the same feces.

822



#### 823 Supplementary Figures

Figure S1: The average percentage of ADF (acid detergent fiber) and starch within the gut content from five areas of the equine gastrointestinal system from three horses (1, 2 and 3 in Table S1). Error bars show standard deviation of the values within each group.

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S2: Comparison of metabolic profiles of *ex* vivo gut content and the gut model 828 at steady state (T5). Multivariate models built with the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra from samples 829 of GI content and samples from all vessels of the three separate gut models in the 830 concordance study (inoculated with feces from different horses). A PCA model ( $R^2$  = 831 0.64) was built and A) scores plot and B) the loading plot for PC1 (representing 48 % 832 of the total variance in the dataset) was visualised. C) The correlation coefficient plot 833 from the OPLS-DA model built with these spectra ( $Q^2Y = 0.82$ ). PC, principle 834 component; DEG, diethylene glycol; TMA, trimethylamine. 835

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S3:** Alpha rarefaction boxplots showing bacterial diversity of the gastrointestinal contents/feces and the time points of the gut models of: A) the concordance study and B) the repeatability study.

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S4:** Relative abundance of bacterial A) classes and B) orders identified in samples from the GI content and all vessels the three gut models of the concordance study (inoculated with feces from three different horses). The key indicates whether bacterial phyla are: identified in both gastrointestinal and gut model samples at T5 (black), only in gastrointestinal samples (blue) or only in gut model samples (red). C, cecum; RVC, right ventral colon; LVC, left ventral colon; V, vessel; T, turnover.

846

847

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure S5:** Demonstration of the repeatability of the metabolic profiles of the gut models from the repeatability study. PCA model constructed with the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra gained from the all vessels of the two gut models inoculated with the feces from the same horse ( $R^2 = 0.75$ ). A) The PCA scores plot for this model and B) the loading plot for PC1 (representing 63 % of the total variance in the dataset). T, turnover; PC, principle component; M, model.

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S6: Relative abundance of bacterial A) classes and B) orders identified in samples from all vessels the two gut models of the repeatability study (inoculated with feces from the same horse).C, cecum; RVC, right ventral colon; LVC, left ventral colon; V, vessel; T, turnover; M model.