

Invasiveness of plant pathogens depends on the spatial scale of host distribution

Article

Accepted Version

Mikaberidze, A., Mundt, C. C. and Bonhoeffer, S. (2016) Invasiveness of plant pathogens depends on the spatial scale of host distribution. Ecological Applications, 26 (4). pp. 1238-1248. ISSN 0051-0761 doi: https://doi.org/10.1890/15-0807 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/86101/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/15-0807

Publisher: Ecological Society of America

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Invasiveness of plant pathogens depends on the spatial scale of host distribution

Alexey Mikaberidze^{*,1}, Christopher C. Mundt², Sebastian Bonhoeffer¹

*alexey.mikaberidze@env.ethz.ch, Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich, CHN H 75.1, Universitaetstrasse 16, 8092, Zurich, phone: +41 44 632 26 02
¹Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich
²Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University

Abstract

2	Plant diseases often cause serious yield losses in agriculture. A pathogen's invasiveness can
3	be quantified by the basic reproductive number, R_0 . Since pathogen transmission between host
4	plants depends on the spatial separation between them, R_0 is strongly influenced by the spatial
5	scale of the host distribution.
6	We present a proof of principle of a novel approach to estimate the basic reproductive
7	number, R_0 , of plant pathogens as a function of the size of a field planted with crops and its
8	aspect ratio. This general approach is based on a spatially-explicit population dynamical model.
9	The basic reproductive number was found to increase with the field size at small field sizes and
10	to saturate to a constant value at large field sizes. It reaches a maximum in square fields and
11	decreases as the field becomes elongated. This pattern appears to be quite general: it holds for
12	dispersal kernels that decrease exponentially or faster as well as for fat-tailed dispersal kernels
13	that decrease slower than exponential (i.e. power-law kernels).
14	We used this approach to estimate R_0 in wheat stripe rust (an important disease caused by
15	Puccinia striiformis), where we inferred both the transmission rates and the dispersal kernels
16	from the measurements of disease gradients. For the two largest datasets, we estimated R_0 of P.
17	striiformis in the limit of large fields to be of the order of 30. We found that the spatial extent
18	over which R_0 changes strongly is quite fine-scaled (about 30 m of the linear extension of the
19	field). Our results indicate that in order to optimize the spatial scale of deployment of
20	fungicides or host resistances, the adjustments should be made at a fine spatial scale. We also
21	demonstrated how the knowledge of the spatial dependence of R_0 can improve
22	recommendations with regard to fungicide treatment.
23	Keywords: basic reproductive number, disease control, disease gradient, dispersal,
24	epidemiology, host-pathogen interaction, mathematical model, plant disease, population
25	dynamics, spatial scales

²⁶ 1 Introduction

When plant pathogens succeed in infecting their hosts, they colonize the host tissue and deprive 27 hosts of resources and energy. This often leads to serious yield losses in agriculture (Strange and 28 Scott, 2005). Disease-resistant crop varieties and chemicals (fungicides or antibiotics) are widely 29 used to control infectious diseases of plants. But both of these control measures are highly 30 vulnerable to pathogen adaptation: pathogens evolve to overcome host resistances and to become 31 insensitive to fungicides (McDonald and Linde, 2002). In order to devise effective and durable 32 strategies of disease control (Mundt, 2014), a thorough understanding of basic epidemiological 33 properties of plant pathogens with the help of appropriate mathematical models is necessary. 34 The spread of infectious diseases depends on the contact structure, a network in which each host is 35 a node and has a number of weighted, directional links to other hosts. The strength of each link 36 represents the probability of transmission from one host to another. In infectious diseases of 37 humans and animals contact structures are determined by networks of social contacts. Plant 38 pathogens spread over global scales of countries and continents by natural means and through 39 networks of trade and exchange (Brown and Hovmoller, 2002; Shaw and Pautasso, 2014). 40 However, at a local scale of a single field of crop plants or several adjacent fields, plant pathogens 41 spread primarily through passive dispersal of infectious propagules through air, water or soil 42 between immobile plants. Insect pests may disperse both actively and passively between hosts 43 plants (Mazzi and Dorn, 2012). In both of these cases, the probability of transmission between 44 hosts depends on the geographical distance between them. Hence, the contact structure is 45 determined by the spatial scales of pathogen dispersal and the spatial scales of the host population. 46 Full information on the contact structure is difficult to obtain and to analyze. Several global 47 measures are used to characterize networks of contacts, such as the average degree, i. e. the average 48

number of links per host. Yet, a better measure that characterizes the disease spread is its basic 49 reproductive number, R_0 , defined intuitively as "the average number of secondary cases of 50 infection generated by one primary case in a susceptible host population" (Anderson and May, 51 1986). Mathematically, it is given by the dominant eigenvalue of the next generation operator 52 (Heesterbeek, 2002). Hence, the basic reproductive number is a quantity with a clear biological 53 meaning that characterizes reproductive fitness of the pathogen. In deterministic models, it 54 determines the invasion threshold: if $R_0 > 1$ the disease will spread in the population, otherwise at 55 $R_0 < 1$ the pathogen will eventually die out. Therefore, R_0 can be used to estimate the critical 56 proportion of the host population that needs to be immunized (i. e. vaccinated) in order to eradicate 57 the disease (Anderson and May., 1991). Also, R_0 often allows one to estimate the final 58 (equilibrium) disease level. 59

Much attention has been devoted to estimation of R_0 for infectious diseases of humans and animals 60 (Anderson and May., 1991; Fraser et al., 2009; Hampson et al., 2009). Several studies discuss R_0 in 61 the context of infectious diseases of plants (Gubbins et al., 2000; Park et al., 2001; Parnell et al., 62 2005; van den Bosch et al., 2008; van den Berg et al., 2011), and in (Montarry et al., 2010) was 63 estimated for potato late blight. But only one study provided estimates for wheat stripe rust 64 (Segarra et al., 2001) based on measurements of the apparent infection rate r (the rate of growth of 65 the disease proportion over time, assuming logistic growth (Vanderplank, 1963)). Another approach 66 is to estimate R_0 by fitting the solution of a population dynamics model of disease spread to an 67 empirical disease progress curve (i.e. the plot of the proportion of disease over time). However, this 68 appears to be difficult, because we expect R_0 to depend on the spatial scales of the host population. 69 In an agricultural setting, crop plants are usually arranged in nearly rectangular fields. Each field is 70 characterized by its area S and aspect ratio α . Hence, R_0 should depend on S and α , provided that 71 the planting density is fixed. Given the wide variation in field sizes and shapes across individual 72

fields and growing regions, countries and continents, a useful estimate for R_0 should also capture the dependence on the field size and shape. But measuring disease progress curves in many fields with different sizes and shapes requires enormous efforts and resources.

In this study we propose a novel way to estimate the basic reproductive number R_0 as a function of 76 field size and shape. This approach uses a spatially explicit population dynamics model formulated 77 as a system of integro-differential equations. In this approach, estimation of R_0 requires knowledge 78 of a dispersal kernel, a function that describes dispersal properties of the pathogen. In general, 79 estimation of dispersal kernels is a highly non-trivial problem, as often only limited 80 spatially-resolved disease data is available. Here, we estimate dispersal kernels using disease 81 gradient measurements in which the amount of disease is characterized as a function of the distance 82 from a localized source of initial inoculum. These measurements are only possible for some plant 83 disease systems, while in other cases only limited disease data can be obtained. In these cases, 84 more elaborate statistical methods are necessary to estimate dispersal kernels (Filipe et al., 2012; 85 Gibson et al., 2006). 86

To provide a proof of principle for this method of estimating R_0 , we applied it to wheat stripe rust 87 (an important pathogen of wheat caused by *Puccinia striiformis* (Wellings, 2011)), since disease 88 gradients for this pathogen were thoroughly measured over large distances (Sackett and Mundt, 89 2005*a*; Cowger et al., 2005). Using these data, we estimated R_0 as a function of the field size and 90 shape. From this dependence we determined the ranges of field sizes and shapes over which R_0 91 exhibits a considerable change. The advantage of this approach is that, by measuring the disease 92 gradient over a large enough distance in a single experiment, one captures the information on the 93 dependence of R_0 on the field size and aspect ratio. In this way, more useful information can be 94 extracted from disease gradient data than thought previously. 95

96 2 Methods

⁹⁷ We assume that the hosts are continuously distributed across the rectangular field with the

dimensions d_x and d_y . The field area is $S = d_x d_y$ and its aspect ratio is $\alpha = d_x/d_y$, so that α close to zero refers to long, narrow fields, while $\alpha = 1$ represents a square field. We trace the densities of healthy hosts H(x, y, t), infected hosts I(x, y, t) and removed hosts R(x, y, t) in space and time using the system of integro-differential equations

$$\frac{\partial H(x,y,t)}{\partial t} = r_H H(x,y,t) \left[1 - H(x,y,t)/K\right] - \beta \lambda(x,y) H(x,y,t), \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial I(x,y,t)}{\partial t} = \beta \lambda(x,y) H(x,y,t) - \mu I(x,y,t).$$
⁽²⁾

$$\frac{\partial R(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = \mu I(x, y, t).$$
(3)

Here, the force of infection $\lambda(x, y)$ at a location x, y is determined by integrating over all possible sources of infection:

$$\lambda = \int_{0}^{d_x} du \int_{0}^{d_y} dv \,\kappa(x, y, u, v) I(u, v, t).$$
(4)

In obtaining Eqs. (1)-(3) we assumed that the characteristic time scale of spore dispersal is much 110 shorter than the characteristic time scales associated with other stages of the pathogen life cycle 111 and, hence, the density of spores is proportional to the density of the infectious host tissue (see 112 Appendix A.4 in Supporting Information for more details). We also neglected the latent 113 compartment consisting of hosts that are infected but not yet infectious, in spite of the fact that 114 average duration of latent infection was estimated to be around 10 days in wheat stripe rust 115 (van den Bosch et al., 1988; Sache and Vallavieille-Pope, 1993). This simplification is justified 116 because here we focus on determining R_0 , the basic reproductive number, at the starting phase of 117

the epidemic. This phase corresponds to the beginning of the growing season of wheat, when the
senescence of leaves (natural death of hosts) is negligibly small. Under these conditions, latently
infected hosts are likely to survive and be transformed into infectious hosts. Hence, the basic
reproductive is not affected by latency. At the same time, latency of stripe rust played an important
role in distinguishing the primary disease gradients from subsequent pathogen generations when
collecting the data (Sackett and Mundt, 2005*a*) that we analyze here.

The quantities H(x, y, t), I(x, y, t) and R(x, y, t) represent the areas of the corresponding host 124 tissue per unit land area. The host tissue could be leaves, stems or grain, depending on the specific 125 host-pathogen interaction. Healthy hosts H(x, y, t) grow logistically with the rate r_H and the 126 "carrying capacity" K, which may imply limited space or nutrients. We consider a single growing 127 season and neglect leaf senescence. Furthermore, healthy hosts may be infected by the pathogen 128 and transformed into infected hosts with the rate $\beta\lambda(x,y)$. The transmission rate β is a compound 129 parameter given by the product of the sporulation rate of the infected tissue and the probability that 130 a spore causes new infection. Infected host tissue loses its infectivity at a rate μ , where μ^{-1} is the 131 average infectious period. In this way, infected hosts I(x, y, t) are continuously transformed into 132 removed hosts R(x, y, t). Here, R(x, y, t) quantifies the amount of host tissue that was previously 133 infected, but subsequently lost its ability to produce infectious spores and cannot be re-infected. To 134 complete the initial-value problem, the initial conditions for the system of Eqs. (1)-(3) can be 135 defined as, $H(x, y, t = 0) = H_0(x, y)$, $I(x, y, t = 0) = I_0(x, y)$, $R(x, y, t = 0) = R_0(x, y)$. Most 136 of the results of this study were obtained from the solution of the eigenvalue problem [Eq. (6) 137 below] that does not require initial conditions. The results in Sec. 3.3 were obtained using an 138 approximate spatially uniform model. Accordingly, in Sec. 3.3 we used spatially uniform initial 139 conditions: $H(x, y, t = 0) = H_0$, $I(x, y, t = 0) = I_0$, R(x, y, t = 0) = 0. The border condition 140 requires H(x, y, t) = 0 for all x, y that lie outside the field. An approximate version of the model 141

Eqs. (1)-(3), in which the host densities were assumed to be homogeneous in space, was used in
several previous studies of plant disease epidemics (Hall et al., 2007; van den Bosch and Gilligan,
2008; Mikaberidze et al., 2014*b*).

The integral in Eq. (4) is weighted using $\kappa(x, y, u, v)$, the dispersal kernel (or contact distribution (Mollison, 1977)) that characterizes dispersal properties of the pathogen. The dispersal properties as well as the environmental conditions are assumed to be the same along the field. Moreover, dispersal is assumed to be isotropic, meaning that a spore has the same probability to move in any direction along the two-dimensional field. The latter assumption can be problematic when strong winds prevail in a certain direction and may be the cause of discrepancy with the empirical findings. In this case, the dispersal kernel is only determined by the distance

152
$$r = \sqrt{(x-u)^2 + (y-v)^2}$$
(5)

between the source and the target of infection, i. e. $\kappa(x, y, u, v) = \kappa(r)$. For aerially dispersed plant diseases, $\kappa(r)$ is defined as a probability density function for an infectious spore to land at a distance *r* from its source (Nathan et al., 2012).

In order to determine the basic reproductive number, R_0 , we perform the linear stability analysis of the disease-free equilibrium H(x, y, t) = K, I(x, y, t) = 0, R(x, y, t) = 0 of the system Eqs. (1)-(2). Essentially, we examine whether small deviations from the disease-free equilibrium grow or die out. This leads to the eigenvalue problem for the Fredholm equation of the second kind (see Appendix A.1 for the derivation)

$$R_{0\infty} \int_0^{d_x} du \int_0^{d_y} dv \,\kappa(r) w(u,v) = \sigma w(x,y),\tag{6}$$

where $R_{0\infty} = \beta K/\mu$ is the basic reproductive number in the limit of a very large field. Definition

of $R_{0\infty}$ here, requires that $\mu > 0$. This holds for wheat stripe rust, where the infectious period was 163 estimated to be $\mu^{-1} \approx 30$ days (Sache and Vallavieille-Pope, 1993). Also, this infectious period is 164 shorter than the duration of the growing season of wheat. By solving the eigenvalue problem 165 Eq. (6), we can find the eigenvalues σ_i and eigenfunctions $w_i(x, y)$ that satisfy the Eq. (6). The 166 dominant eigenvalue σ_d determines the basic reproductive number, i. e. $R_0 = \sigma_d$. 167 An approximate expression for the basic reproductive number for the model Eqs. (1)-(2) can be 168 found by applying its intuitive definition (Anderson and May, 1986) and averaging over the spatial 169 coordinates. This leads to the expression: 170

171
$$R_{0c}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\beta K}{\mu} \int_0^{d_x} dx \int_0^{d_y} dy \,\kappa(x, y, x_0, y_0), \tag{7}$$

where we set H(x, y, t = 0) = K, $I(x, y, t = 0) = I_{tot0}\delta(x - x_0)\delta(y - y_0)$, R(x, y, t = 0) = 0. 172 Here, the approximate basic reproductive number depends on the position x_0, y_0 of the initial 173 inoculum, in contrast to the exact basic reproductive number determined from Eq. (6), which is 174 independent on the position of the initial inoculum. The approximate basic reproductive number in 175 Eq. (7) does not yield the invasion threshold at $R_{0c}(x_0, y_0) = 1$ (Diekmann et al., 1990). However it 176 may serve as a useful approximate expression, since the calculation according to Eq. (7) is often 177 much simpler than the solution of the eigenvalue problem Eq. (A.3). We found (Appendix A.2) that 178 the approximate expression for the basic reproductive number Eq. (7) generally underestimates the 179 actual R_0 , because it neglects the contribution of the subsequent generations of infection. But it 180 holds well in the two limiting cases: at small field sizes (i.e. when $d \ll a$) and at large field sizes 181 (i. e. when $d \gg a$). 182

 R_0 is computed by numerically solving the eigenvalue problem in Eq. (6) for different values of the field dimensions d_x and d_y that characterize the field size and shape. Before performing this calculation, we estimated the dispersal kernel $\kappa(r)$ and the compound parameter $R_{0\infty}$ from experimental data (Sackett and Mundt, 2005*a*; Cowger et al., 2005) [see Appendix A.3 for the details of the estimation procedure].

In these experiments, winter wheat cultivar Jacmar was planted in three replicate plots measuring 188 6.1 m wide by 128 to 171 m long, oriented parallel to the dominant wind direction (see Fig. 1 in 189 (Sackett and Mundt, 2005a)). Small areas of experimental plots (foci) were artificially inoculated 190 by pathogen spores (0th generation). These spores give rise to lesions in the focus (first generation) 191 that further produce spores, which are dispersed through the air. This results in infection outside of 192 the focus, producing the second generation of pathogen lesions. The corresponding disease severity 193 (the proportion of the leaf area infected) is measured as a function of the distance r from the focus. 194 We are confident that the observed disease patterns result primarily from the artificial inoculum in 195 the focus, rather than natural infection, because stripe rust levels were overall very light in Oregon 196 in 2002 (Long, 2003). Further, disease levels declined consistently with distance and often reached 197 zero at the farther distances from the inoculum source, which would not be expected if there was 198 significant inoculum from outside of the plots. 199

When considering fungicide treatment (Sec. 3.3), we take into account the effect of the fungicide on the transmission rate of the pathogen using the expression

$$\beta(D) = \beta_0 \left[1 - \varepsilon(D) \right],\tag{8}$$

where the fungicide efficacy, $\varepsilon(D)$, is given by the Hill's function

202

$$\varepsilon(D) = \varepsilon_{\rm m} \frac{D}{D + D_{50}} \tag{9}$$

²⁰⁵ and describes the proportion by which the transmission rate is reduced by the fungicide. In Eq. (9),

²⁰⁶ D is the fungicide dose, $\varepsilon_{\rm m}$ is the maximum fungicide effect at high doses, and D_{50} is the dose at ²⁰⁷ which half of the maximum effect is achieved.

208 3 Results

3.1 Dependence of the basic reproductive number on the field size

The basic reproductive number, R_0 , is shown in Fig. 1 as a function of the linear extension d of a 210 square field for three different dispersal kernels (Gaussian, exponential and modified power-law). 211 These three functional forms are often used to describe dispersal gradients in plant diseases (Fitt 212 et al., 1987; Frantzen and Bosch, 2000; Sackett and Mundt, 2005a), but also in other taxonomic 213 groups, for example, in pollen, seeds, seedlings, beetles, moths and butterflies (Nathan et al., 2012). 214 These three functions represent the three classes of dispersal kernels: "thin-tailed" (Gaussian) that 215 decrease faster than exponential, exponential, and "fat-tailed" that decrease slower than exponential 216 (power-law). "Thin-tailed" and exponential kernels give rise to travelling epidemic waves with a 217 constant velocity, while the "fat-tailed" kernels result in accelerating epidemic waves (Mollison, 218 1977; Medlock and Kot, 2003; Cowger et al., 2005; Sackett and Mundt, 2005b). 219 For all the three types of dispersal kernels that we considered, the basic reproductive number first 220

increases as a function of the field size d and then, eventually, saturates to a constant value (Fig. 1). Thus, we find that the qualitative dependence of R_0 , a more basic epididemiological parameter than the epidemic velocity, on the field size is quite robust with respect to the functional form of the dispersal kernel. In particular, it is not affected much by the nature of the tails of the dispersal kernel. Moreover, we expect this behaviour to hold for any dispersal kernel, as long as it a monotonically decreasing function of the distance r.

²²⁷ The initial growth of R_0 versus d follows a quadratic function (see Eq. (A.10)). It occurs because in

this range, the field size is much smaller than the dispersal radius a (a characteristic length scale of pathogen dispersal), i. e. $d \ll a$. Therefore, by making the field larger, more spores will land within the field and lead to new infections. In other words, in this range the field size is the limiting factor for the pathogen fitness. On the contrary, when the field size is much larger than the dispersal radius, i. e. $d \gg a$, the basic reproductive number becomes independent of d. Here, pathogen does not become fitter on a larger field, because its fitness is now limited by the range of dispersal and not by the size of the field.

While the three curves in Fig. 1 exhibit a universal qualitative behaviour, they differ in the rate at 235 which the saturation occurs at large field sizes. The Gaussian dispersal kernel decreases faster with 236 the distance r than the exponential dispersal kernel. As a result, R_0 grows and saturates as a 237 function of the field size d faster for the Gaussian than for the exponential. The result for the 238 power-law dispersal kernel is difficult to compare with the results for other kernels, since the power 239 law lacks a meaningful characteristic length scale. Asymptotically, at large field sizes R_0 240 approaches the constant value slower in the case of the power-law dispersal kernel than for the 241 other two kernels. However, at small field sizes, R_0 as a function of d may grow faster or slower for 242 the power-law kernel as compared to the other two kernels, depending on the values of the 243 parameters r_0 and b. In Fig. 1, we present an example when the R_0 for the power law first grows 244 faster than that for the Gaussian or exponential dispersal kernels, but subsequently its growth slows 245 down and becomes slower than for the Gaussian and exponential (as expected from the asymptotic 246 behavior of the corresponding dispersal kernels). 247

3.2 Case study: dependence of the basic reproductive number on the field size and shape for wheat stripe rust

We infer the dependence of the basic reproductive number, R_0 , on the field size and shape from the 250 detailed measurements of primary disease gradients of wheat stripe rust (Sackett and Mundt, 251 2005*a*; Cowger et al., 2005). The outcome of this measurement is shown in Fig. 2 for the two 252 largest datasets (Hermiston 2002 and Madras 2002, downwind) obtained in this experiment. These 253 two datasets were chosen because they contained measurements over large enough distances that 254 allowed us to obtain sound fits. Disease severity strongly depends on the distance r: the value is 255 largest closer to the focus and decreases monotonically with r. The data can be fitted well by the 256 modified power-law function (solid curve in Fig. 2) 257

$$\kappa_{\rm PL2}(r) = \kappa_0 \left(r_0^2 + r^2 \right)^{-b/2}.$$
(10)

258

In contrast, exponential and Gaussian functions provide poor fits (dashed and dotted curves in
Fig. 2). (For more details on fitting see Appendix A.3.1 and Fig. 6 in the Electronic Supplementary
Materials).

Disease gradients, measured in this way, contain information on the three key processes in the 262 pathogen life-cycle: spore production, aerial movement of spores, and infection of healthy host 263 tissue. We assume that the rate of spore production and the probability to infect healthy host tissue, 264 once the spore has landed on it, are homogeneous across the field, i. e. do not depend on the 265 distance r between the source and the target of infection [Eq. (5)]. Hence, the compound parameter 266 $R_{0\infty} = \beta K/\mu$ that characterizes these processes does not depend on the distance r. Therefore, the 267 aerial movement of spores is the only process that depends on the distance r. Further, we assume 268 that there is a large enough number of spores produced and the probability of infection is large 269

enough such that the recorded disease severity is proportional to the spore concentration in the air. Under these assumptions, our estimate for the dispersal kernel $\kappa(r)$ is the modified power-law function [Eq. (10)] fitted to the disease gradient data and normalized as a probability density function (i. e. such that its integral over the whole two-dimensional space equals to unity [Appendix A.3.2]). We also estimated the parameter $R_{0\infty}$ from the disease gradient data (see Appendix A.3.3) and obtained the value $R_{0\infty} = 35 \pm 3$ for the Hermiston 2002 downwind dataset; and the value $R_{0\infty} = 23 \pm 4$ for the Madras 2002 downwind dataset.

Using our estimates for the dispersal kernel, $\kappa(r)$, and the parameter $R_{0\infty}$ we solved the eigenvalue 277 problem Eq. (6) numerically for different field sizes and shapes. In this way, we obtained the 278 dependence of the basic reproductive number R_0 on the field size (Fig. 3) and its aspect ratio 279 (Fig. 4). In Fig. 3, R_0 first grows steeply versus the linear extension of a square field and saturates 280 towards the asymptotic value $R_{0\infty}$ for large fields. The basic reproductive number is about two 281 times larger for the parameter values corresponding to Hermiston 2002 dataset, than for the case of 282 Madras 2002 dataset. This difference stems from the difference in the asymptotic values $R_{0\infty}$ and 283 also from different shapes of the disease gradients (cf. panel (a) and (b) in Fig. 2). 284

The asymptotic value, $R_{0\infty}$, (indicated by the horizontal dashed line in Fig. 3), is approached faster in the case of Hermiston 2002 dataset (solid curve in Fig. 3), than for Madras 2002 dataset (dashed curve in Fig. 3). The reason for this is a different exponent of the power-law function that best fits

the corresponding disease gradients (b = 3.04 for Hermiston 2002, Eq. (A.15), and b = 2.23,

Eq. (A.16)). The disease gradient in Madras 2002 decreases slower due a lower exponent.

In Fig. 4, R_0 exhibits a saturating growth as the field aspect ratio α is increased from 0.01 to 1.

Hence, the square fields, with $\alpha = 1$, are most conducive for the disease growth. The basic

reproductive number grows faster and saturates at larger values of α in smaller fields (cf. dotted,

²⁹³ dashed, dash-dotted and solid curves in Fig. 4).

A number of empirical studies have reported that, in agreement with our results, smaller plots 294 resulted in lower disease levels in wheat stripe rust (Mundt et al., 1996), wheat brown rust 295 (*Puccinia recondita* f. sp. *tritici*) (Bowen et al., 1984), potato late blight (Paysour and Fry, 1983) 296 and Valdensia heterodoxa on Vaccinium myrtillus (Strengbom et al., 2006). However, in a more 297 recent study in wheat stripe rust (Sackett and Mundt, 2009) that used considerably larger plot sizes, 298 the plot size did not affect the epidemic velocity. Our estimation framework predicts moderate 299 differences in the values of R_0 between larger square plots and smaller elongated plots used in 300 experiments (Sackett and Mundt, 2009) (cf. the white and gray circles in both panels of Fig. 4). 301 This is expected to result in higher epidemic velocities in larger plots compared to smaller plots, 302 according to theoretical arguments (Keeling and Rohani, 2008). We suggest two possible 303 explanations for this discrepancy. First, strong wind with a prevailing direction along the axis of the 304 elongated plot was observed in the experimental setting (Sackett and Mundt, 2009), but in our 305 model isotropic dispersal was assumed. The differences in R_0 between smaller elongated plot and a 306 larger square plot that we predict using the model are possibly masked by the wind. This is because 307 the wind may increase the pathogen's R_0 in the smaller elongated plot by preventing the spores to 308 land outside the plot. Second, a moderate difference of 20-30 % that we predict for epidemic 309 velocities may be difficult to detect given the level of experimental uncertainties. 310

311 3.3 Effect of the plot size on the fungicide dose-response

Control of stripe rust greatly relies on fungicides. Field experiments, in which disease severity is measured as a function of the fungicide dose, inform strategies of fungicide treatement. These experiments are typically performed on rather small fields, in the range of 20-60 m². The outcomes are used to choose appropriate fungicide doses (Paveley et al., 1998).

³¹⁶ How do the sizes of experimental plots affect the outcomes of dose-response measurements? What

implications does it have for disease control? Figure 5 illustrates the effect of the plot size on the 317 fungicide dose-response as predicted by the model. Severity of stripe rust [panel (a)] and the 318 pathogen's basic reproductive number, R_0 , [panel (b)] are shown versus the fungicide dose for two 319 field sizes: 60 m^2 (solid) and 90 m^2 (dashed). We fitted the solid curve in Fig. 5 (a) to the 320 dose-response data (Bounds et al., 2012) (filled triangles), while the other three curves in Fig. 5(a,b) 321 were devised from it using theoretical considerations. In particular, we chose to use a non-spatial 322 approximation to the full solution of the system of Eq. (1)-(3), which assumes homogeneous 323 mixing and more specifically assumes that the disease severity changes over time according to a 324 logistic function [Eq. (A.49) in Appendix A.5]. This choice is justified, because there is no 325 availability of empirical data on spatial dynamics within individual field-plots in fungicide 326 dose-response experiments. Nevertheless, the effect of the spatial extension is incorporated through 327 the dependence of the basic reproductive number, R_0 , on the field size that was determined above. 328 We also assumed that the field size and the fungicide affect the basic reproductive number 329 independently, hence their effects enter as multiplicative factors. (Please refer to Appendix A.5 for 330 details). 331

Disease severity strongly depends on both the fungicide dose and the field size (cf. solid and dashed curves in Fig. 5(a)). R_0 also depends on both the fungicide dose and the field size (cf. solid and dashed curves in Fig. 5(b)), but the changes in R_0 are less pronounced than changes in disease severity. Hence, in this parameter regime of large R_0 -values, moderate changes in R_0 may lead to considerable changes in disease severity.

³³⁷ What do these insights mean for disease control? First, achieving good disease control in a ³³⁸ relatively small plot ($\leq 100 \text{ m}^2$) does not guarantee good control in larger fields. For example, in ³³⁹ the 60 m² plot, disease severity of < 5% was reached at a fungicide dose D = 0.25 [solid curve in ³⁴⁰ Fig. 5(a)]. But in a larger field of 90 m² this dose was able to reduce the severity only down to about

30 % [dashed curve in Fig. 5(a)]. Therefore, typical dose-response measurements that are 341 performed in the range of field sizes of 30-60 m² may strongly underestimate the disease severity in 342 larger fields used by growers. As a result, recommendations with regard to fungicide dosage and 343 other control options based on dose-response measurements in small fields may be unreliable. 344 However, a considerable increase in sizes of experimental plots seems impractical, because it 345 would greatly increase the costs. Moreover, even when using larger plots, one would still not be 346 certain that the plot is large enough to reach saturation. Here, we propose a more economical 347 alternative. Using the dependence of the basic reproductive number, R_0 , on the plot size in the 348 absence of fungicides, this approach allows to extrapolate a dose-response curve, measured for a 349 particular plot size, to other plot sizes. Figure 5 illustrates possible outcomes. Based on the 350 empirical dose-response curve for the 60 m² plot and the dependence of R_0 on the plot size for 351 stripe rust that we determined above in Sec. 3.1 (Fig. 3), we found the dependence of R_0 on the 352 fungicide dose for different field sizes [Fig. 5(b)]. After that, we computed dose-response curves at 353 different field sizes: an example for a somewhat larger field of 90 m² is shown as a dashed curve in 354 Fig. 5(a). Thus, the scenario shown in Fig. 5 illustrates how the knowledge of the basic reproductive 355 number can inform strategy of fungicide application. These results should not be considered as 356 quantitatively exact, because we combined the fungicide dose-response data and disease gradient 357 data from different locations. But we believe they illustrate a useful principle and reflect correctly 358 important qualitative trends. 359

360 4 Discussion

We found that the basic reproductive number, R_0 , of crop pathogens depends on the size and geometry of the field planted with host plants using a single-field, single-season epidemic model.

 R_0 increases with the field size at small field sizes and saturates to a constant values at large field 363 sizes. The value of R_0 reaches its maximum in square fields and decreases as the field becomes 364 elongated, while retaining the same area. This is because for smaller and more elongated fields, a 365 larger number of pathogen spores will land outside the field and not reach host plants. This pattern 366 appears to be quite general: it holds for dispersal kernels that decrease exponentially or faster (i.e. 367 Gaussian kernels) as well as for "fat-tailed" dispersal kernels that decrease slower than exponential 368 ones (i.e. power-law kernels). We expect the same qualitative behavior for any dispersal kernel, 369 provided that it is a monotonically decreasing function of the distance r between the source and the 370 target of infection. 371

As expected, this qualitative picture also holds for the dispersal kernels estimated in wheat stripe 372 rust. The asymptotic values of the basic reproductive number at large field sizes ($R_{0\infty} = 35 \pm 3$ for 373 Hermiston 2002, $R_{0\infty} = 23 \pm 4$ for Madras 2002 dataset) are noticeably smaller than the estimate 374 of around 60 that was obtained (Segarra et al., 2001) from the measurements of the apparent rate of 375 infection r (van den Bosch et al., 1988). This difference may result from differences in wheat 376 cultivars, pathogen strains and environmental conditions in these field experiments. 377

We assumed that the pathogen dispersal is isotropic with the dispersal kernel estimated from the 378 downwind disease gradient. This is a major simplification that will affect the dependence of R_0 on 379 field size and field geometry. Anisotropy of the dispersal kernels was detected in *P. striiformis* 380 Soubeyrand et al. (2007) and Mycosphaerella fijiensis Rieux et al. (2014), an important pathogen of 381 banana trees. However, we expect that this assumption does not influence much our estimates of 382 $R_{0\infty}$. This is because $R_{0\infty}$ corresponds to the situation when the field is large enough, such that it 383 does not limit pathogen dispersal. Hence, our $R_{0\infty}$ estimates are determined by 384 spatially-independent estimates of the transmission rate that we obtained from downwind disease 385 gradients.

18

The estimates for $R_{0\infty}$ that we obtained for wheat stripe rust are considerably larger than typical 387 estimates for the basic reproductive number for human or animal diseases. For example, the 388 relatively large values of R_0 were estimated for childhood diseases such as measles (14-18) and 389 pertussis (5-18) (Anderson and May., 1991), the estimates for the "swine flu" influenza H1N1 were 390 in the range 1.4-1.6 (Fraser et al., 2009), the estimates for rabies were in the range 1-2 (Hampson 391 et al., 2009). A possible exception is malaria, where the estimates of R_0 between one and more 392 than 3000 were reported (Smith et al., 2007). The R_0 determines the critical proportion p_c of the 393 host population that needs to be immunized in order to eradicate the disease $(p_c = 1 - 1/R_0)$ 394 (Anderson and May., 1991). For example, our estimate for the wheat stripe rust of $R_0 \simeq 30$ yields 395 the critical proportion $p_c \simeq 0.97$. This may be one of the factors to explain why it is so difficult to 396 eradicate rusts, while there are cases of dangerous human diseases (for example, small pox) that 397 were eradicated with the help of vaccination programmes (Anderson and May., 1991). This 398 difference in the values of R_0 may result from a different biology of hosts (animals versus plants), 399 or, alternatively, it could be due to different nature of the diseases, i. e. systemic diseases in the case 400 of humans and animals versus local lesion diseases in the case of wheat stripe rust. To determine 401 which of these two explanations is more plausible, one needs to estimate R_0 for systemic disease of 402 plants and local lesion (i.e. skin diseases) of animals. This difference may also be caused by the 403 characteristic features of host populations in agroecosystems, where genetically uniform hosts are 404 planted with high densities in a homogeneous environment. Hence, it would be interesting to 405 compare the R_0 of crop pathogens with the R_0 of plant pathogens in natural ecosystems. To make 406 these comparisons valid, one needs to include seasonal cycles of hosts. In the case of annual crops 407 like wheat, this means the consideration of the "between-season" R_0 , in addition to the 408 "within-season" R_0 discussed in this study. 409



sizes and aspect ratios, over which R_0 changes considerably. We found that the R_0 of wheat stripe 411 rust exhibits a large change at a fine spatial scale: when the linear dimension of a square field 412 increases from zero to about thirty meters (Fig. 3). The most substantial change of R_0 as a function 413 of the field aspect ratio occurs between aspect ratios of 0.01 and 0.2. These results suggest, that 414 decreasing field sizes and elongating fields may not be a practical measure to control wheat stripe 415 rust, because the beneficial effect of lowering the disease levels is in this case unlikely to outweigh 416 the economical costs associated with using smaller and longer fields. But this method could be 417 feasible for controlling other diseases of crops or pests (for example, western corn rootworm that 418 can disperse over longer distances (Carrasco et al., 2010) than wheat stripe rust). We hope that our 419 study will stimulate more detailed empirical characterization of transmission rates and dispersal 420 kernels for different crop pathogens over long enough distances, such that the framework proposed 421 here could be used to infer how the R_0 depends on the spatial scales of the host population. 422 Although similar ideas about possibilities to control plant diseases by adjusting field size and 423 geometry were explored mathematically in (Fleming et al., 1982), their framework based on 424 reaction-diffusion models was not capable of including realistic dispersal kernels. Hence, they 425 could not estimate the spatial scales at which the pathogen fitness changes considerably. 426 The experiments in Hermiston 2002 and Madras 2002 used the same planting density, the same 427 wheat cultivar and the same pathogen race was used for initial inoculation. But the environmental 428 conditions were somewhat different in these two locations. In particular, the inoculation was 429 substantially more successful in Hermiston than in Madras. Hence, we can largely attribute the 430 difference in the disease gradients between these two datasets and the resulting difference in the 431 estimated values of the basic reproductive number to the difference in the environmental 432 conditions. In contrast, in natural epidemics the variation in the outcomes of pathogen dispersal can 433 also result from the genetic variation in pathogen and host population (Tack et al., 2013). 434

Therefore, it would be interesting to explore the effect of simulataneously adjusting the spatial
scales and introducing genetic diversity to the host population by using host mixtures or multiline
cultivars (Mundt, 2002; Mikaberidze et al., 2014*a*)

From another point of view, our findings could be helpful for choosing the minimum plot sizes and 438 aspect ratios for field experimentation that focuses on transmission properties of plant pathogens. 439 For the experimental plots to be representative of larger fields used by growers in terms of the 440 pathogen's basic reproductive number, the plot size and aspect ratio should be chosen such that they 441 correspond to the start of the saturation of the dependency of R_0 on the field size (Fig. 3) and aspect 442 ratio (Fig. 4). Thus, our results indicate that in the case of wheat stripe rust, the plot area at which 443 saturation starts is about 0.25 ha and the aspect ratio should be at least 0.2 (this corresponds 444 approximately to a $20 \text{ m} \times 110 \text{ m}$ elongated plot, or, alternatively, a $50 \text{ m} \times 50 \text{ m}$ square plot). In 445 Sec. 3.3 we presented a specific scenario illustrating that the knowledge of the spatial dependence 446 of the basic reproductive number, R_0 , can inform fungicide treatment strategies. Our analysis 447 revealed that in the range of plot sizes typically used to measure the fungicide dose-response curves 448 $(20-60 \text{ m}^2)$, both the disease severity and the basic reproductive number depend strongly on the 449 field size. We proposed a method to extrapolate the dose-response curves measured in small plots 450 to larger plots based on the knowledge of R_0 . 451

Our results could also help to manage fungicide resistance. Several different fungicides may be applied over smaller, elongated patches within a larger field. In a future study, we plan to determine conditions, when this spatial arrangement of fungicide applications gives the sensitive strain a selective advantage over different resistant strains. This may only work for asexually reproducing pathogens, such as wheat stripe rust outside the Himalayan region and surrounding areas. This strategy allows one to keep the overall field size large enough to be economically advantageous, but requires availability of several different fungicides that have little or no cross-resistance. The same

reasoning applies also for the case of break-down of disease resistance in host plants. In this case,
host cultivars with different disease resistances should be arranged in smaller, elongated patches
within a larger field. Favorable arrangements of these patches with different fungicides and host
cultivars that would reduce selection for fungicide resistance and minimize break-down of host
defences can be investigated using dynamical simulations of the population dynamics model based
on Eqs. (1)-(3).

So far we discussed disease control on the level of a single field of crops. But in practice, major 465 crops such as wheat are grown in cultivated landscapes that consist of many fields. Consider the 466 situation when the total area of the landscape and its proportion allocated for wheat cultivation are 467 fixed. Under these constraints, what is an optimal arrangement of wheat plots across the landscape 468 in terms of disease control? Our finding that pathogen fitness decreases in smaller and more 469 elongated fields can be used to optimize the spatial structure of cultivated landscapes in the case 470 when every individual field is far enough from other fields such that inter-field pathogen 471 transmission is negligible. This is only possible when the area allocated for wheat cultivation 472 occupies only a moderate fraction of the total landscape area. But if the area allocated for wheat 473 constitutes a large fraction of the total landscape area, making fields smaller will increase their 474 number and bring them closer to each other. Also, elongated fields may lead to better connectivity 475 between fields in terms of pathogen dispersal. These effects will likely increase the pathogen's 476 basic reproductive number over the landscape scale. We expect that the trade-off between the 477 pathogen transmission within individual fields and between different fields will lead to intermediate 478 optimum field sizes and aspect ratios. To quantify these optima, epidemic models need to include 479 both the scale of single fields and the regional landscape scale (Parnell et al., 2006; Papaïx et al., 480 2014). Our study lays a solid foundation for future modeling work in this direction. 481

482 Acknowledgements

AM and SB gratefully acknowledge financial support by the ERC advanced grant PBDR 268540 483 "The population biology of drug resistance: Key principles for a more sustainable use of drugs". 484 The contributions of CM were supported by NIH grant R01GM96685 through the NSF/NIH 485 Ecology and Evolution of Infectious Disease Program. The authors would like to thank Kathryn 486 Sackett for providing estimates of the apparent infection rate, data on disease gradients from 487 replicate plots and helpful discussions. AM is grateful to Bruce McDonald and Roland Regoes for 488 many valuable discussions. AM and SB are grateful to members of the Theoretical Biology group 489 at the ETH Zurich for fruitful discussions and brainstorming. 490

References

- ⁴⁹² Anderson, R. M., and R. M. May. 1986. The invasion, persistence and spread of infectious diseases
- ⁴⁹³ within animal and plant communities. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of
- London. Series B, Biological sciences **314**:533–70.
- Anderson, R. M., and R. M. May. 1991. Infectious diseases of humans. Oxford University Press.
- ⁴⁹⁶ Bounds, P., J. Blake, B. Fraaije, D. Parsons, S. Knight, F. Burnett, J. Spink, and J. McVittee. 2012.
- ⁴⁹⁷ Fungicide performance on winter wheat. Home-Grown Cereals Authority, UK, Project Report
 ⁴⁹⁸ 488.
- Bowen, K., P. Teng, and A. Roelfs. 1984. Negative Interplot Interference in Field Experiments with
 Leaf Rust of Wheat. Phytopathology 74:1157–61.
- ⁵⁰¹ Brown, J. K. M., and M. S. Hovmoller. 2002. Aerial dispersal of pathogens on the global and
- ⁵⁰² continental scales and its impact on plant disease. Science (New York, N.Y.) **297**:537–41.

- ⁵⁰³ Carrasco, L., T. Harwood, S. Toepfer, A. MacLeod, N. Levay, J. Kiss, R. Baker, J. Mumford, and
- J. Knight. 2010. Dispersal kernels of the invasive alien western corn rootworm and the
- effectiveness of buffer zones in eradication programmes in Europe. Annals of Applied Biology
 156:63–77.
- ⁵⁰⁷ Cowger, C., L. D. Wallace, and C. C. Mundt. 2005. Velocity of spread of wheat stripe rust ⁵⁰⁸ epidemics. Phytopathology **95**:972–82.
- ⁵⁰⁹ Diekmann, O., J. A. P. Heesterbeek, and J. A. J. Metz. 1990. On the definition and the computation
- of the basic reproduction ratio R0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous
- ⁵¹¹ populations. Journal of Mathematical Biology **28**:365–382.
- ⁵¹² Filipe, J. A. N., R. C. Cobb, R. K. Meentemeyer, C. A. Lee, Y. S. Valachovic, A. R. Cook, D. M.
- ⁵¹³ Rizzo, and C. A. Gilligan. 2012. Landscape epidemiology and control of pathogens with cryptic
- and long-distance dispersal: sudden oak death in northern Californian forests. PLoS
- computational biology **8**:e1002328.
- ⁵¹⁶ Fitt, B. D. L., P. H. Gregory, A. D. Todd, H. A. McCartney, and O. C. Macdonald. 1987. Spore
- ⁵¹⁷ Dispersal and Plant Disease Gradients; a Comparison between two Empirical Models. Journal of
 ⁵¹⁸ Phytopathology 118:227–242.
- ⁵¹⁹ Fleming, R., L. Marsh, and H. Tuckwell. 1982. Effect of field geometry on the spread of crop
 ⁵²⁰ disease. Protection Ecology **4**:81.
- Frantzen, J., and F. V. D. Bosch. 2000. Spread of organisms: can travelling and dispersive waves be distinguished ? Basic and Applied Ecology **91**:83–91.
- ⁵²³ Fraser, C., C. A. Donnelly, S. Cauchemez, W. P. Hanage, M. D. Van Kerkhove, T. D.
- Hollingsworth, J. Griffin, R. F. Baggaley, H. E. Jenkins, E. J. Lyons, T. Jombart, W. R. Hinsley,

- N. C. Grassly, F. Balloux, A. C. Ghani, N. M. Ferguson, A. Rambaut, O. G. Pybus,
- H. Lopez-Gatell, C. M. Alpuche-Aranda, I. B. Chapela, E. P. Zavala, D. M. E. Guevara,
- 527 F. Checchi, E. Garcia, S. Hugonnet, and C. Roth. 2009. Pandemic potential of a strain of
- ⁵²⁸ influenza A (H1N1): early findings. Science (New York, N.Y.) **324**:1557–61.
- Gibson, G. J., W. Otten, J. A. N. Filipe, A. Cook, G. Marion, and C. A. Gilligan. 2006. Bayesian
 estimation for percolation models of disease spread in plant populations. Statistics and

⁵³¹ Computing **16**:391–402.

- ⁵³² Gubbins, S., C. A. Gilligan, and A. Kleczkowski. 2000. Population dynamics of plant-parasite
- interactions: thresholds for invasion. Theoretical Population Biology **57**:219–33.
- Hall, R. J., S. Gubbins, and C. A. Gilligan. 2007. Evaluating the performance of chemical control
 in the presence of resistant pathogens. Bulletin of mathematical biology 69:525–37.
- Hampson, K., J. Dushoff, S. Cleaveland, D. T. Haydon, M. Kaare, C. Packer, and A. Dobson. 2009.
- Transmission dynamics and prospects for the elimination of canine rabies. PLoS Biology 7:e53.
- Heesterbeek, J. 2002. A Brief History of R 0 and a Recipe for its Calculation. Acta Biotheoretica
 5:189–204.
- 540 Keeling, M. J., and P. Rohani, 2008. Spatial Models. Chapter 7, page 266 in Modeling Infectious
- 541 Diseases in Humans and Animals. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Long, D., 2003. Estimated small grain losses from rust in 2002
- http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/ad_hoc/36400500Smallgrainlossesduetorust/2002loss/02rustloss.pdf.
- 544 Mazzi, D., and S. Dorn. 2012. Movement of insect pests in agricultural landscapes. Annals of
- 545 Applied Biology **160**:97–113.

546	McDonald, B. A., and C. Linde. 2002. Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and
547	durable resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology 40 :349–79.

⁵⁴⁸ Medlock, J., and M. Kot. 2003. Spreading disease: integro-differential equations old and new.

⁵⁴⁹ Mathematical Biosciences **184**:201–222.

⁵⁵⁰ Mikaberidze, A., B. McDonald, and S. Bonhoeffer. 2014*a*. Developing smarter host mixtures to

control plant disease. Plant Pathology in press DOI:0.1111/ppa.12321, e-print arXiv:1402.2788.

⁵⁵² Mikaberidze, A., B. A. McDonald, and S. Bonhoeffer. 2014b. Can high risk fungicides be used in

mixtures without selecting for fungicide resistance? Phytopathology **104**:324–331.

⁵⁵⁴ Mollison, D. 1977. Spatial Contact Models for Ecological and Epidemic Spread. Journal of the

⁵⁵⁵ Royal Statistical Society. Series B **39**:283.

⁵⁵⁶ Montarry, J., F. M. Hamelin, I. Glais, R. Corbi, and D. Andrivon. 2010. Fitness costs associated

with unnecessary virulence factors and life history traits: evolutionary insights from the potato

⁵⁵⁸ late blight pathogen Phytophthora infestans. BMC evolutionary biology **10**:283.

⁵⁵⁹ Mundt, C. C. 2002. Use of multiline cultivars and cultivar mixtures for disease management.

Annual review of phytopathology **40**:381–410.

⁵⁶¹ Mundt, C. C. 2014. Durable resistance: A key to sustainable management of pathogens and pests.

⁵⁶² Infection, genetics and evolution: journal of molecular epidemiology and evolutionary genetics

- in infectious diseases **in press**.
- ⁵⁶⁴ Mundt, C. C., L. S. Brophy, and S. C. Kolar. 1996. Effect of genotype unit number and spatial ⁵⁶⁵ arrangement on severity of yellow rust in wheat cultivar mixtures. Plant Pathology **45**:215–222.

- ⁵⁶⁶ Nathan, R., E. Klein, J. J. Robledo-Arnuncio, and E. Revilla, 2012. Dispersal kernels: review.
- ⁵⁶⁷ Chapter 15, page 187 *in* J. Clobert, M. Baguette, T. G. Benton, and J. M. Bullock, editors.
- ⁵⁶⁸ Dispersal ecology and evolution. Oxford Univ. Press.
- ⁵⁶⁹ Papaïx, J., S. Touzeau, H. Monod, and C. Lannou. 2014. Can epidemic control be achieved by
- altering landscape connectivity in agricultural systems? Ecological Modelling **284**:35–47.
- ⁵⁷¹ Park, A. W., S. Gubbins, and C. A. Gilligan. 2001. Invasion and persistence of plant parasites in a
 ⁵⁷² spatially structured host population. Oikos **94**:162–174.
- ⁵⁷³ Parnell, S., C. Gilligan, and F. van den Bosch. 2005. Small-scale fungicide spray heterogeneity and

the coexistence of resistant and sensitive pathogen strains. Phytopathology **95**:632–9.

- Parnell, S., F. van den Bosch, and C. Gilligan. 2006. Large-scale fungicide spray heterogeneity and
 the regional spread of resistant pathogen strains. Phytopathology **96**:549–55.
- ⁵⁷⁷ Paveley, N., M. Hims, and D. Stevens. 1998. Appropriate fungicide doses for winter wheat and

matching crop management to growth and yield potential. Home-Grown Cereals Authority, UK,
Project Report 166.

Paysour, R., and W. Fry. 1983. Interplot interference: A model for planning field experiments with
 aerially disseminated pathogens. Phytopathology **73**:1014.

Rieux, A., S. Soubeyrand, F. Bonnot, E. K. Klein, J. E. Ngando, A. Mehl, V. Ravigne, J. Carlier,

and L. De Lapeyre De Bellaire. 2014. Long-distance wind-dispersal of spores in a fungal plant
 pathogen: Estimation of anisotropic dispersal kernels from an extensive field experiment. PLoS
 ONE 9.

Sache, I., and C. Vallavieille-Pope. 1993. Comparison of the wheat brown and yellow rusts for

- monocyclic sporulation and infection processes, and their polycyclic consequences. Journal of
 phytopathology 138:55–65.
- Sackett, K. E., and C. C. Mundt. 2005*a*. Primary disease gradients of wheat stripe rust in large field
 plots. Phytopathology **95**:983–91.
- ⁵⁹¹ Sackett, K. E., and C. C. Mundt. 2005*b*. The effects of dispersal gradient and pathogen life cycle
- ⁵⁹² components on epidemic velocity in computer simulations. Phytopathology **95**:992–1000.
- Sackett, K. E., and C. C. Mundt. 2009. Effect of plot geometry on epidemic velocity of wheat
 yellow rust. Plant Pathology 58:370–377.
- Segarra, J., M. J. Jeger, and F. van den Bosch. 2001. Epidemic dynamics and patterns of plant
 diseases. Phytopathology **91**:1001–10.
- Shaw, M. W., and M. Pautasso. 2014. Networks and Plant Disease Management: Concepts and
 Applications. Annual Review of Phytopathology 52:in press.
- 599 Smith, D. L., F. E. McKenzie, R. W. Snow, and S. I. Hay. 2007. Revisiting the basic reproductive
- number for malaria and its implications for malaria control. PLoS Biology **5**:e42.
- ⁶⁰¹ Soubeyrand, S., J. Enjalbert, A. Sanchez, and I. Sache. 2007. Anisotropy, in density and in
- distance, of the dispersal of yellow rust of wheat: experiments in large field plots and estimation.
- ⁶⁰³ Phytopathology **97**:1315–24.
- 604 Strange, R. N., and P. R. Scott. 2005. Plant disease: a threat to global food security. Annual
- Review of Phytopathology **43**:83–116.
- ⁶⁰⁶ Strengbom, J., G. Englund, and L. Ericson. 2006. Experimental scale and precipitation modify
- effects of nitrogen addition on a plant pathogen. Journal of Ecology **94**:227–233.

- Tack, A., J. Hakala, T. Petäjä, M. Kulmala, and A. Laine. 2013. Genotype and spatial structure
- shape pathogen dispersal and disease dynamics at small spatial scales. Ecology **95**:703–714.
- van den Berg, F., N. Bacaer, J. S. J. Metz, C. Lannou, and F. van den Bosch. 2011. Periodic host
- absence can select for higher or lower parasite transmission rates. Evolutionary Ecology

25:121–137.

- van den Bosch, F., H. Frinking, J. Metz, and J. Zadoks. 1988. Focus expansion in plant disease. III:
 Two experimental examples. Phytopathology **78**:919–925.
- van den Bosch, F., and C. A. Gilligan. 2008. Models of fungicide resistance dynamics. Annu. Rev.
 Phytopathol. 46:123–47.
- van den Bosch, F., N. McRoberts, F. van den Berg, and L. Madden. 2008. The Basic Reproduction
 Number of Plant Pathogens: Matrix Approaches to Complex Dynamics. Phytopathology
 98:239–249.
- ⁶²⁰ Vanderplank, J. E. 1963. Plant Diseases: Epidemics and Control. Academic Press, New York.
- Wellings, C. R. 2011. Global status of stripe rust: a review of historical and current threats.
 Euphytica 179:129–141.

Supplemental Material

624 Ecological Archives

Appendix A "Mathematical methods and estimation techniques for determination of the basic
 reproductive number" is available online:

	Description	Dimension
Variables		
H(x, y, t)	Density of healthy host tissue	dl
I(x, y, t)	Density of infected host tissue	dl
Parameters		
d_x , d_y	Linear dimensions of the field along x and y	m
a	Characteristic spatial scale of pathogen dispersal (dispersal radius)	m
β	Transmission rate	days ⁻¹
μ^{-1}	Average infectious period	days
r_H	Growth rate of healthy host tissue	$days^{-1}$
K	"Carrying capacity" of the healthy host tissue	dl
$R_{0\infty}$	Basic reproductive number in the limit of a very large field	dl
Functions		
$\kappa(r)$	Dispersal kernel	m^{-1}
$R_0(d_x, d_y)$	Basic reproductive number	dl
$\lambda(x,y)$	The force of infection [Eq. (4)]	

Table 1: Variables and parameters

Figure 1. Basic reproductive number R_0 as a function of the field size d for the two-dimensional field according to the numerical solution of Eq. (6) (solid green) using (i) the Gaussian [Eq. (A.21) at n = 2, a = 10 m], (ii) the exponential [Eq. (A.21) at n = 1, a = 10 m] and (iii) the power law dispersal kernel [Eq. (A.19) at $r_0 = 1$ m, b = 2.1]. Model parameters: $R_{0\infty} = \beta K/\mu = 2$.

Figure 2. Disease severity of wheat stripe rust is plotted as a function of the distance from focus, according to outcomes of field experiments (Sackett and Mundt, 2005*a*; Cowger et al., 2005). Two datasets, Hermiston 2002 downwind (left panel) and Madras 2002 downwind were fitted with the exponential function [Eq. (A.21) with n = 1, dashed curve], the Gaussian function [Eq. (A.21) with n = 2, dotted curve] and the modified power-law function [Eq. (A.19), solid curve].

Figure 3. Basic reproductive number R_0 as a function of the field size d of a square field calculated [by solving numerically the eigenvalue problen Eq. (6)] using the modified power-law dispersal kernel [Eq. (10)] fitted in Fig. 2 to disease gradient datasets (i) Hermiston 2002 downwind (solid curve), and (ii) Madras 2002 downwind (dashed curve) obtained in (Sackett and Mundt, 2005*a*; Cowger et al., 2005). Horizontal dashed lines show the asymptotic values of the basic reproductive number at large field sizes, $R_{0\infty}$, for Hermiston 2002 (upper line) and Madras 2002 (lower line) datasets. Error bars represent 95 % confidence intervals for $R_{0\infty}$ estimates (see Appendix A.3).

Figure 4. Basic reproductive number R_0 as a function of the field aspect ratio d_x/d_y (the field area $S = d_x d_y$ was kept the same). The calculation was performed numerically using the power-law dispersal kernels fitted to disease gradient data (Fig. 2) from Hermiston 2002 (upper panel) and Madras 2002 (lower panel) datasets obtained in (Sackett and Mundt, 2005*a*; Cowger et al., 2005). Different curves show the R_0 for different field areas: S = 4 ha (yellow solid), S = 1 ha (blue dashed), S = 0.37 ha (red dash-dotted), S = 0.04 ha (orange dotted).

- ⁶⁴⁹ Figure 5. Dependence of stripe rust severity (panel (a)) and the basic reproductive number, R_0 ,
- (panel (b)) on the fungicide dose (epoxiconazole) for two different sizes of square fields:
- $_{651}$ $S = 60 \text{ m}^2$ (blue, solid) and $S = 90 \text{ m}^2$ (red, dashed).



Figure 1



Figure 2





Figure 4



Figure 5