

The moderating role of corporate reputation and employee-company identification on work-related outcomes of job insecurity resulting from workforce localization policies

Article

Supplemental Material

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Table 1-4

Ali, I., Ali, M., Grigore, G., Molesworth, M. and Jin, Z. (2020) The moderating role of corporate reputation and employee-company identification on work-related outcomes of job insecurity resulting from workforce localization policies. Journal of Business Research, 117. pp. 825-838. ISSN 0148-2963 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.02.060 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/82037/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.02.060

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

Table 1: Measurement model results.

Constructs	Code	Items	S.L	S.E	<i>t</i> -value	a	C.R	AVE	VIF
					a, b	α	C.K	c	
Step I: Results of t	the assessment o	of measurement model for first order constructs	1						
Corporate									2.59
reputation						0.94	0.95	0.69	
		This company contributes actively and							
		voluntarily to the social improvement,							
	CR1	economic and the environmental of society.	0.83	0.04	22.35				
		This company stands behind its products							
		and services with good price and good							
	CR2	quality that meet consumer.	0.85	0.02	34.67				
		This company treats customers courteously,							
		communicates with them and takes care of							
	CR3	their safety and health.	0.75	0.04	17.58				
		This company generates respect, admiration							
	CR4	esteem and confidence among people.	0.87	0.02	42.85				
		This company is recognized, has excellent							
		leadership, is innovative, and seeks constant							
	CR5	overcoming.	0.82	0.03	26.80				
		This company looks like a good company to							
	CR6	work, already be by its infrastructure such	0.84	0.03	33.57				

		as its working environment, benefits and							
		good treats with its employees.							
		This company is a company with values that							
		obeys the laws, transparent and respects							
	CR7	people and the environment.	0.83	0.03	30.22				
		This company supports good causes that							
	CR8	benefits society and environment.	0.85	0.02	38.19				
Employee-company									2.48
identification						0.84	0.89	0.58	
		When someone criticizes my company, it							
	ECI1	feels like a personal insult.	0.84	0.03	28.01				
		I am very interested in what others think							
	ECI2	about my company.	0.37	0.16	2.32				
		When I talk about this company, I usually							
	ECI3	say "we" rather than "they."	0.83	0.04	21.54				
	ECI4	This company's success is my success.	0.80	0.04	20.45				
		When someone praises this company, it							
	ECI5	feels like a personal compliment.	0.82	0.04	21.24				
		If a story in the media criticized this							
	ECI6	company, I would feel embarrassed.	0.79	0.05	14.77				
Job Insecurity						0.82	0.90	0.74	2.22
	J-In1	Chances are I will soon lose my job.	0.87	0.03	32.49				

	J-In2*	I am sure I can keep my job.							
	J-In3	I feel insecure about the future of my job.	0.79	0.05	14.58				
		I think I might lose my job in the near							
	J-In4	future.	0.91	0.01	62.00				
Job engagement			0.70	0.09	7.26	0.72	0.82	0.54	2.03
	EE-JE1	I really "throw" myself into my job.	0.71	0.11	6.76				
		Sometimes I am so into my job that I lose							
	EE-JE2	track of time.	0.72	0.09	7.83				
		This job is all consuming; I am totally into							
	EE-JE3	it.	0.80	0.07	11.40				
		My mind often wanders and I think of other							
	EE-JE4*	things when doing my job.							
	EE-JE5	I am highly engaged in this job.	0.70	0.10	7.32				
Organization									2.50
engagement						0.81	0.87	0.57	
		Being a member of this organization is very							
	EE-OE1	captivating.	0.74	0.06	12.71				
		One of the most exciting things for me is							
		getting involved with things happening in							
	EE-OE2	this organization.	0.70	0.09	8.17				
		I am really not into the "goings-on" in this							
	EE-OE3*	organization.							

		Being a member of this organization make							
	EE-OE4	me come "alive."	0.76	0.05	13.80				
		Being a member of this organization is							
	EE-OE-5	exhilarating for me.	0.75	0.05	13.90				
	EE-OE-6	I am highly engaged in this organization.	0.82	0.03	29.56				
Emotional									1.95
Exhaustion						0.82	0.88	0.64	
		I feel emotionally drained from customer							
	JB-EE1	service work.	0.67	0.11	6.18				
	JB-EE2	I feel used up by the end of the workday.	0.77	0.07	10.50				
		I feel fatigued when I get up in the							
	JB-EE3	morning.	0.86	0.03	24.66				
		I feel burned out from customer service							
	JB-EE4	work.	0.88	0.02	35.57				
Depersonalization						0.78	0.86	0.60	2.00
		I have become more callous (heartless)							
	JB-Dep1	toward customers.	0.66	0.11	5.92				
		I feel that I treat customers as if they were							
	JB-Dep2	impersonal "objects".	0.79	0.06	13.57				
		I worry about being callous (heartless)							
	JB-Dep3	toward people.	0.77	0.06	13.14				

		I have become callous (heartless) toward							
	JB-Dep4	people.	0.87	0.03	33.12				
Intent to quit Job			0.75	0.03	22.10	0.68	0.83	0.62	1.70
	ITQ1	I frequently think of quitting my job.	0.84	0.04	20.85				
		I am planning to search for a new job during							
	ITQ2	the next 12 months.	0.89	0.02	49.76				
		If I have my own way, I will be working for							
	ITQ3	this organization one year from now.	0.61	0.10	5.88				
Control variables	Designation	Employee's designation	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Education	Employee's education	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Experience	Employee's experience	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Step II: Results of th	e assessment o	f measurement model after generating second	order o	construc	rt .				
Employee									1.00
engagement						0.78	0.90	0.91	
		Job engagement	0.88	0.03	26.91				
		Organization engagement	0.93	0.01	70.33				
Job burnout						0.76	0.89	0.90	1.33
		Depersonalization	0.91	0.02	44.85				
		Emotional Exhaustion	0.88	0.03	29.32				

Note: * The item is problematic and so removed from final analysis. S.L = Standard loadings; S.E = Standard error; ^a Test-statistics are obtained by 5000 Bootstrap runs; ^b Absolute t-values > 1.96 are two-tailed significant at 5 percent; α = Cronbach's Alpha; C.R = Composite

reliability; AVE = Average variance extracted; ^c Percentage of variance of item explained by the latent variable; **VIF = Variance inflation factor shows collinearity.**

Table 2: Mean, standard deviations, correlations and discriminant validity results.

	Mean	S.D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Corporate reputation	3.54	0.90	0.83	0.71	0.24	0.63	0.25	0.46	0.03	0.08	0.15	0.10
2. Employee-company												
identification	3.64	1.34	0.71**	0.76	0.30	0.67	0.30	0.39	0.04	0.12	0.02	0.09
3. Job insecurity			-									
	3.03	0.82	0.24**	-0.30**	0.86	0.13	0.59	0.58	0.25	0.35	0.09	0.15
4. Employee engagement	3.37	0.54	0.57**	0.60^{**}	-0.12	0.90	0.20	0.37	0.07	0.18	0.11	0.13
5. Job burnout	3.26	0.65	-0.21*	-0.26**	0.53**	-0.06	0.89	0.57	0.09	0.23	0.10	0.11
6. Intent to quit job			-									
	3.01	0.94	0.46**	-0.39**	0.58**	-0.34**	0.50**	0.79	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.11
7. Employee's designation	3.04	1.28	-0.03	-0.04	-0.25**	0.06	-0.09	0.04	1.00^{\dagger}	0.39	0.29	0.06
8. Employee's education	2.31	0.89	0.08	0.12	-0.35**	0.17	-0.22	-0.05	0.39**	1.00^{\dagger}	0.22	0.09
9. Employee's experience	2.84	0.81	0.15	0.02	-0.09	0.10	-0.08	-0.09	0.29**	0.22**	1.00^{\dagger}	0.04
10. Corporate hypocrisy (Marker												0.80
variable)	3.14	0.65	0.04	-0.06	-0.16	-0.10	-0.09	-0.08	0.01	0.03	0.03	

Note: p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; *The AVE value is not meaningful criterion for single-item measures. S.D = Standard deviation; Diagonal and italicized elements are the square roots of the AVE (average variance extracted).

Below the diagonal elements are the correlations between the constructs values.

Above the diagonal elements are the HTMT values.

 Table 3: Structural model results.

Structural path	Path coefficient	Boot S.E	t-value (bootstrap)	95% Confidence interval	Conclusion
Control variables paths					
Designation → Employee engagement	$0.07^{\rm \; n.s}$	0.06	1.21	(0.00, 0.17)	
Education → Employee engagement	$0.11^{\text{ n.s}}$	0.06	1.10	(0.02, 0.22)	
Experience → Employee engagement	0.02^{*}	0.04	2.17	(0.00, 0.06)	
Designation → Employee burnout	0.06^{*}	0.06	1.74	(0.00, 0.16)	
Education → Employee burnout	-0.04 ^{n.s}	0.06	-0.75	(-0.12, 0.00)	
Experience → Employee burnout	-0.04*	0.05	-1.97	(-0.11, 0.00)	
Designation → Intentions to quit	$0.14^{\mathrm{n.s}}$	0.07	0.50	(0.04, 0.26)	
Education → Intentions to quit	$0.13^{\text{ n.s}}$	0.07	0.74	(0.03, 0.24)	
Experience → Intentions to quit	-0.06 ^{n.s}	0.05	-1.16	(-0.16, 0.00)	
Direct effect					
Job insecurity → Employee engagement	0.13*	0.08	1.68	(0.01, 0.26)	H1; supported
Job insecurity → Employee burnout	0.50^{**}	0.08	6.41	(0.35, 0.61)	H2; supported
Job insecurity → Intentions to quit	0.57***	0.07	8.72	(0.46, 0.67)	H3; supported
Moderating effect					
Job insecurity × Corporate reputation → Employee engagement	$-0.02^{\text{ n.s}}$	0.06	-0.34	(-0.06, 0.00)	H4a; not supported
Job insecurity × Corporate reputation → Employee burnout	0.19^{**}	0.07	2.76	(0.07, 0.31)	H4b; supported
Job insecurity × Corporate reputation → Intentions to quit	0.26^{**}	0.09	2.76	(0.11, 0.42)	H4c; supported
Job insecurity \times Employee-company identification \rightarrow					H5a; not supported
Employee engagement	$0.04^{\rm \ n.s}$	0.07	0.60	(0.00, 0.12)	
Job insecurity \times Employee-company identification \rightarrow					H5b; supported
Employee burnout	0.15^{*}	0.09	1.67	(0.00, 0.30)	
Job insecurity \times Employee-company identification \rightarrow					H5c; not supported
Intentions to quit	$0.03^{\text{ n.s}}$	0.07	0.40	(-0.17, 0.11)	
$\overline{SRMR \text{ composite model} = 0.07}$					
R^2 Employee engagement = 0.43; Q^2 Employee engagement = 0.28					

 $R^{2}_{\text{Employee burnout}} = 0.37; \quad Q^{2}_{\text{Employee burnout}} = 0.24$ $R^{2}_{\text{Intentions to quit}} = 0.52; \quad Q^{2}_{\text{Intentions to quit}} = 0.45$ $Note: \quad |t| \ge 1.65 \text{ at p } 0.05 \text{ level}; \quad |t| \ge 2.33 \text{ at p } 0.01 \text{ level}; \quad |t| \ge 3.09 \text{ at p } 0.001 \text{ level}; \quad |t| = Not \text{ significant (based on } t(4999), \text{ one-tailed}$ test).

 R^2 = Determination coefficients; Q^2 = Predictive relevance of endogenous (omission distance=7).

Threshold for R^2 value ≥ 0.25 (weak); ≥ 0.50 (moderate); ≥ 0.75 (substantial). Threshold for Q^2 value ≥ 0 indicate predictive relevance.

 Table 4: Conditional process analysis.

	Path coefficient Boot S.E		t-value (bootstrap)	95% Confidence interval					
(A) Conditional effect of job insecurity of	n employee bur	nout at the v	alues of corpora	te reputation					
Low; M - 1.0037 S.D (2.54)	0.35	0.11	3.10	(0.13, 0.57)					
Moderate; M (3.54)	0.54	0.09	6.25	(0.37, 0.71)					
High; $M + 1.0037 \text{ S.D } (4.54)$	0.74	0.12	6.28	(0.50, 0.97)					
(B) Conditional effect of job insecurity of	n intentions to d	quit at the va	lues of corporate	e reputation					
Low; M - 1.0037 S.D (2.54)	0.52	0.09	5.91	(0.34, 0.69)					
Moderate; M (3.54)	0.59	0.08	7.40	(0.43, 0.74)					
High; $M + 1.0037 \text{ S.D } (4.54)$	0.66	0.10	6.50	(0.46, 0.86)					
(C) Conditional effect of job insecurity of identification	(C) Conditional effect of job insecurity on employee burnout at the values of employee-company								
Low; M - 1.0037 S.D (2.64)	0.32	0.15	2.12	(0.02, 0.62)					
Moderate; M (3.64)	0.47	0.10	4.69	(0.27, 0.67)					
High; M + 1.0037 S.D (4.64)	0.62	0.12	5.22	(0.39, 0.86)					

Note: Values for corporate reputation/employee-company identification (moderators) are the mean and plus/minus one standard deviation (S.D) from mean.

Appendix I: Demographic characteristics of the sample

Information about respondents			Information about sampling firms		
Measurement	No.	%	Measurement	No.	%
(a) Education	_ , 3•	, 0	(a) Origin of company	2,00	, •
High school	23	17.0	Saudi	82	60.7
Bachelors	59	43.7	Non-Saudi	53	39.3
Master	45	33.3	(b) Number of employees		
PhD	4	3.0	Less than 100 employees	47	34.8
Professional	4	3.0	101 - 500	50	37.0
(b) Experience			More than 500	38	28.1
< 1 year	7	5.2			
2-5 years	31	23.0			
6-10 years	77	57.0			
11-15 years	16	11.9			
> 15 years	4	3.0			
(c) Designation					
Support staff	24	17.8			
Clerical	11	8.1			
Officer	61	45.2			
Junior manager	14	10.4			
Middle level manager	25	18.5			
(d) Income (Saudi Riyals per month)					
< 5000 SAR	24	17.8			
5000 - 9,999	41	30.4			
10,000-14,999	40	29.6			
15000 - 19,999	16	11.9			
> 20,000 SAR	14	10.4			
(e) Gender					
Male	90	66.7			
Female	45	33.3			
(f) Nationality					
Pakistan	9	6.7			
India	17	12.6			
Bangladesh	3	2.2			
Egypt	24	17.8			
Yemen	11	8.1			
Philippine	8	5.9			
Lebanese	8	5.9			
French	4	3.0			
Sudan	13	9.6			
Jordan	14	10.4			
Syrian	6	4.4			
Others	20	12.6			