

# Dietary intake, nutritional status and mental wellbeing of homeless adults in Reading, UK

Article

Accepted Version

Fallaize, R., Seale, J. V., Mortin, C., Armstrong, L. and Lovegrove, J. A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7633-9455 (2017) Dietary intake, nutritional status and mental wellbeing of homeless adults in Reading, UK. British Journal of Nutrition, 118 (9). pp. 707-714. ISSN 0007-1145 doi: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114517002495 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/74507/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007114517002495

Publisher: Cambridge University Press

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



# CentAUR

# Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

#### Dietary intake, nutritional status and mental wellbeing of homeless adults in Reading, UK1-4

Rosalind Fallaize<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Josephine V. Seale<sup>1\*</sup>, Charlotte Mortin<sup>1</sup>, Lisha Armstrong<sup>1</sup>, Julie A. Lovegrove<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 266, Reading, RG6 6AP, UK.

<sup>2</sup>School of Life and Medical Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, UK.

\*R Fallaize and J Seale are joint first authors

**Corresponding Author:** Julie A. Lovegrove, Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 266, Reading, RG6 6AP, UK. Tel: +44(0)118 378 6418, Fax: +44(0)118 931 0080. E-mail: j.a.lovegrove@reading.ac.uk

Running Head: Nutrition in homeless compared to housed adults

**Keywords:** homelessness, mental health, nutrient intake, dietary methodology, nutrition intervention

**Abbreviations:** CVD, cardiovascular disease; DRV, dietary reference value; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; FETA, FFQ EPIC tool for analysis; NSP, nonstarch polysaccharides; PHQ-SADS, patient health questionnaire: somatic anxiety depressive symptoms; RNI, recommended nutrient intake; %E, percentage of total energy intake.

#### 1 Abstract

2 Malnutrition has been reported in the homeless, yet the specific nutritional issues faced by each 3 homeless community are unclear. This is in part due to nutrient intake often being compared to 4 dietary reference values as opposed to a comparative housed population. Additionally, the 5 complex interplay between nutrient intake, reward mediated behaviour and mental illness has 6 frequently been overlooked. This observational study aimed to compare the dietary intake, 7 nutritional status and mental wellbeing of homeless and housed adults. Homeless (n=75) and 8 matched housed (n=75) adults were recruited from the Reading region (UK). Nutrient intake was 9 determined using the EPIC Norfolk Food Frequency Questionnaire. The Patient Health 10 Questionnaire: Somatic Anxiety Depressive Symptoms (PHQ-SADS) assessed for signs indicative 11 of mental illness. Demographic, behavioural and physiological information was collected using 12 closed-ended questions and anthropometric measurements. Overall, dietary intake was poorer in 13 homeless adults who reported higher intakes of salt (8.0g vs. 6.4g, P=0.017), SFA (14.6% vs. 14 13.0%, P=0.002) and alcohol (5.3% vs. 1.9%, P<0.001) and lower intakes of fibre (13.4g vs. 16.3g, 15 P<0.001), vitamin C (79mg vs. 109mg, P<0.001) and fruit (96g vs. 260g, P<0.001) than housed 16 adults. Smoking, substance misuse and PHQ-SADS scores were also higher in the homeless 17 group (P<0.001). Within the homeless population, street homeless (n=24) had lower SFA (13.7%) 18 vs.15.0%, P=0.010), calcium (858mg vs. 1032mg, P=0.027) and milk intakes (295g vs. 449g, P=0.001) than hostel residents (n=51), which may reflect the issues with food storage in street 19 20 homeless individuals. This study highlights the disparity between nutritional status in homeless and 21 housed populations and the need for dietary intervention in the homeless community.

#### 22 Introduction

23 Homelessness is a global issue. In England, over 68,500 households in 2015 were classified as homeless <sup>(1)</sup> and a further 3,569 were considered rough sleepers <sup>(2)</sup>. The lack of stable 24 25 accommodation, in conjunction with a low or absent income, raises challenges for the homeless, 26 one of which is access to food, although this is likely to differ between rough sleepers and those in 27 temporary accommodation. However despite the widespread prevalence of homelessness, relatively few studies have sought to determine the nutritional status of these individuals, a 28 29 situation that may partly reflect the difficulty in collecting data from this transient population. 30 Despite this, several themes have emerged from studies to date, including a high SFA, low fruit and vegetable diet <sup>(3-6)</sup>, elevated serum cholesterol <sup>(7)</sup> and low levels of vitamin B-6, calcium and 31 iron<sup>(7; 8)</sup>, although findings have not been consistent between countries. For example, lower skin-32 33 fold thickness and muscle mass measurements have demonstrated 'wasting' in homeless communities in Germany and the US (1989-2001) <sup>(4; 7; 8)</sup>, whereas others have found a proportion 34 of homeless that, according to their BMI, are overweight or obese in the US (2012-2013) <sup>(9; 10)</sup>. 35 36 In the presence of physiological stresses arising from exposure to harsh environmental 37 conditions, the absence of a nutritionally balanced diet is likely to have a detrimental impact on the health of a homeless individual. Elevated levels of acute and chronic disease (11; 12), increased 38 visits to emergency departments <sup>(13)</sup> and an average age of death of 47 years in the UK <sup>(14)</sup> 39 40 highlight the additional challenges faced by the homeless community. Cardiovascular disease (CVD), for which diet is a key modifiable factor, is reported as the leading cause of mortality in 41 homeless adults between 45-65 years. (15; 16). 42

A higher prevalence of mental illness (e.g. depression, anxiety) in the homeless compared to the general population has also been reported <sup>(12; 17)</sup>. Reward mediated behaviors such as problematic alcohol use, smoking and substance misuse are also frequently described <sup>(4; 12)</sup>. The reported substitution of food with alcohol by alcoholic homeless individuals <sup>(4)</sup> and the use of money for illicit substances as opposed to food in homeless drug addicts <sup>(12)</sup> demonstrates the potential nutritional consequences arising from reward mediated behavior. Addictive substances may also impact upon the absorption, metabolism and/or requirements for nutrients <sup>(18; 19)</sup>. Habitual diets and culture limit the extent to which nutrient intake of the homeless is comparable between countries or regions <sup>(20)</sup>. Furthermore, studies have often failed to account for the impact of physical and mental wellbeing on dietary intake; do not use a control group and have widespread reliance on dietary reference values (DRV), which is potentially misleading. Comparing homeless and housed individuals within the same region would overcome this issue, providing a more accurate assessment of homeless intake in the specific location. However such studies are currently lacking.

57 The aim of the present research was to compare nutritional status, dietary intake and mental 58 wellbeing in a group of homeless with age and gender-matched housed individuals (control group) 59 in Reading, UK. A secondary aim was to establish the marginal impact of homeless status (e.g. 60 street homeless vs. hostel residents) on these parameters.

61

#### 62 Methods

#### 63 Participants and study design

64 In this cross-sectional observation study homeless (n=75) and housed (n=75) men and women were recruited. During recruitment, the two groups were broadly matched on the basis of age 65 range (18-29, 30-39, 40-49, >50yrs), gender and ethnicity. In order to capture homeless individuals 66 67 at the more extreme stages of homelessness this study only included individuals 'living rough' on 68 the street and those in the initial stages of housing (supported living). Street homeless were 69 recruited from a church drop-in centre that provides hot meals. Two hostels (charity and council 70 led) were used to recruit individuals residing in 'stage 1' accommodation. In addition to 71 accommodation for adults previously living on the street, the charity-led hostel also provides 'in 72 house' meals. Recruitment posters were displayed in each venue by staff, and residents/clients 73 recruited on a first-come basis. Housed volunteers were recruited at random through a volunteer 74 database at the Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition, Reading, and via posters displayed at 75 Reading central library and around the Reading University campus. The University of Reading 76 School of Chemistry, Food and Pharmacy Research Ethics Committee (Approval number: 20/14) 77 and The Salvation Army Ethics Committee granted ethical approval for the study. All participants 78 gave informed written consent prior to participation.

79 Data Collection

Each participant completed three questionnaires during a single research session. A room was provided at each venue for the purpose of the study and one of four trained researchers and a volunteer from the specific venue were present during each session. Each volunteer was provided with the option of either completing the questionnaires themselves or being asked the questions by the researcher. For those opting to self-complete the questionnaires, responses were checked and verified prior to departure. Questionnaires took between 60-90 minutes to complete. Volunteers were reimbursed for their participation in the study via a £10 shopping voucher.

87 *Questionnaires* 

88 To assess nutrient intake, the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition 89 (EPIC)-Norfolk FFQ was used. The FFQ is a validated semi-quantitative questionnaire consisting of 130 food and drink items <sup>(21; 22)</sup>. For each item the participant is required to choose one out of 9 90 91 possible frequency options ranging from 'never/less than once a month' to '6+ per day'. FFQs are 92 typically completed with reference to the preceding 6 months to 1 year. However to ensure 93 reported nutrient intake related to the time an individual was homeless, participants were asked to 94 complete the FFQ with reference to the last month only, as some had been homeless for one to 95 two months. FFQ EPIC tool for analysis (FETA) software was used to determine daily nutrient 96 levels from the FFQ responses, which is based upon McCance and Widdowson's The Composition of Foods (5<sup>th</sup> edition) and its supplements <sup>(23)</sup>. Energy, macronutrients (including sub-classes of fats 97 98 and carbohydrates), key vitamins and minerals (associated with public health concerns), and 14 99 food groups (alcoholic beverages, cereal and cereal products, egg and egg dishes, fats and oils, 100 fish and fish products, fruit, meat and meat products, milk and milk products, non-alcoholic 101 beverages, nuts and seeds, potatoes, soups and sauces, sugars; preserves and snacks, and 102 vegetables) were reported in the present analysis.

The validated Patient Health Questionnaire: Somatic Anxiety and Depressive Symptoms (PHQ-SADS) was used to determine the presence of symptoms related to a mental health condition <sup>(24)</sup>. It combines three questionnaires to screen for the presence of depression (PHQ-9), anxiety (GAD-7) and somatization (PHQ-15) disorders. Each part of the questionnaire resulted in a score from which individuals are categorized as 'none', 'mild', 'moderate' or 'severe' with relation to the
presence of depressive, anxiety and/or somatic symptoms.

A 'Health and Lifestyle' questionnaire formulated specifically for the present study was used to
record demographic data as well as information about smoking, alcohol and substance abuse. The
questionnaire consisted predominantly of quantitative questions requiring a yes/no answer.
Individuals were also asked about their appetite, meal frequency and the amount of money spent
on food using questions, as per previous studies on nutritional status and homelessness <sup>(7)</sup>.

114 Physiological measurements

115 A stadiometer (Seca 213, Seca medical measuring systems) and calibrated electrical scales 116 (Seca 877, Seca medical measuring systems) were used to measure height and weight 117 respectively using standard operating procedures. BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/ height (m<sup>2</sup>) and classified in accordance with the WHO guidelines <sup>(25)</sup>. The mean of three handgrip strength 118 119 measurements of the participant's dominant hand using a hand-held dynamometer (Takei 5001, 120 Takei Scientific Instruments Co.) provided a non-invasive measure of general muscle strength <sup>(26)</sup>. 121 Blood pressure measurements were taken in triplicate using an automated blood pressure monitor 122 (M10-IT, Omron healthcare Ltd.). In order to assess CVD risk, the online 'QRISK 2-2015 Web 123 Calculator' was used to estimate the 10-year risk of developing CVD <sup>(27)</sup>.

124 Statistical analysis

135

125 The study was powered using previous comparison of energy intake (kJ) in homeless and domiciled male youths in Toronto <sup>(28)</sup>. Using G\*Power <sup>(29)</sup>, it was estimated that 68 participants 126 127 would be required in each group for a 2385kJ energy difference with s SD of 6408kJ ( $\alpha$  level 128 P=0.05, 1- $\beta$  power 0.85). Estimated samples sizes based on differences in total fat (g), protein (g), 129 vitamin B6 (mg) and calcium (mg) were lower (range n=12-32 per group). To allow for a 10% 130 dropout or incomplete data collection a total of 75 participants were recruited per group. 131 Means and standard deviations were used to describe parametric distributed data, and medians 132 and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for non-parametric distributed data. Counts and percentages 133 were used for categorical variables. Homeless and housed groups were broadly matched for their 134 gender, age range and ethnic category during the data collection stage. Data were checked for

normality of distribution, and where possible skewed variables were transformed using log<sub>10</sub>.

Parametric data were analysed using general linear models (GLM) and non-parametric using
Independent samples Mann-Whitney U tests for the comparison of continuous variables. For
categorical variables chi-squared tests were used to assess for differences between the two
groups. *P*<0.05 was classified as significant. Data were analysed using SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM,</li>
UK).

141

#### 142 **Results**

#### 143 Participant characteristics

144 Demographic information of the homeless (n=75) and housed (n=75) groups is shown in Table 145 1. The mean age was 38 (SD 11) years (range 19-59 years) for the homeless and 38 (SD 11) 146 years for the housed participants (range 20-59 years). Ethnicity and gender distributions were 147 matched between groups. With regards to education, there was a significant difference in 148 attainment between groups (P<0.001); whilst the majority of homeless individuals had achieved 149 secondary education or lower education (O-Level's/GCSE's and primary education), the majority of 150 the housed group had attained above secondary level with 25% (n=19) reporting higher degrees 151 compared to 4% (n=3) in the homeless group. Homeless individuals consisted of those sleeping 152 rough on the street (n=24) or residing in Hamble Court (n=22) or Salvation Army (n=29) hostels. All 153 housed individuals lived in private sector accommodation consisting of rented, mortgaged or 154 owned property.

155 Responses of both groups to questions regarding reward mediated behaviour, meal 156 consumption and cooking facilities are shown in **Table 2**. Significantly more homeless compared to 157 housed individuals reported that they smoked (P<0.001) and/or had taken illicit substances within 158 the preceding month (P<0.001). There was no significant difference between the number of 159 individuals who reported consuming alcohol, although significantly more of the homeless (35%, 160 n=18) compared to housed (8%, n=5) individuals who consumed alcohol reported intakes above 161 the recommended weekly intake of 14 units (UK) for men and women respectively (P<0.001, data 162 not shown). The majority of homeless individuals reported consuming 1 or 2 meals per day in 163 contrast to the housed that predominantly reported 3 meals daily (P < 0.001). In addition, 164 significantly less homeless participants reported having enough to eat, a good appetite and

165 cooking facilities (all comparisons, P<0.001). For the homeless individuals reporting cooking 166 facilities, the majority only had access to a microwave in a communal living space whereas all 167 housed participants reported a full kitchen in their accommodation. A greater proportion of 168 homeless individuals reported receiving less than £150 (\$200) and spending less than £50 (\$67) 169 on food per week compared to housed individuals (all comparisons, P<0.001).

170 Physiological and psychological characteristics

There was no significant difference in BMI between the two groups as shown in **Table 3**. However, a greater number of homeless (66.6%) had a BMI<24.9kg/m<sup>2</sup>, with 5.3% classified as underweight (BMI <18.5m<sup>2</sup>), whereas half (50.6%) of the housed group were classified as overweight and obese (BMI>25kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and none as underweight. Despite this 4% more homeless adults (21.3% vs. 17.3% for housed) were also classified as obese (BMI>30kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The homeless had a significantly higher mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (*P*=0.008) and mean QRISK-2 score (*P*=0.009) compared to the housed, with no significant difference in systolic BP (SBP) or

178 handgrip strength.

179 Homeless individuals scored significantly higher than the housed group for the presence of

somatic (PHQ-15), anxiety (GAD-7) and depressive (PHQ-9) symptoms (all comparisons,

181 *P*<0.001) with a mean classification of 'mild' (score range 5-9) for each condition (**Table 3**). In total,

182 24% (n=18) of homeless adults reported mental illness diagnoses (depression, n=11;

schizophrenia, n=1, multiple diagnoses, n=5; undisclosed diagnosis, n=1) and 4% (n=3) of housed
adults (all depression).

185 When comparing street homeless (n=24) with first-stage living hostel residents (n=51), no

186 significant differences were observed for weight, BMI, SBP, DBP or PHQ-SADS scores (Table 6).

187 There was a trend for higher handgrip strength in street homeless participants compared to first-

188 stage living hostel residents (*P*=0.058), although the difference failed to reach significance. Mean

duration of street homelessness was 5.4 (SD 6.8) months and hostel residency 9 months (SD 9.3).

190 Nutritional intake

191 Homeless individuals reported a significantly higher mean daily intake of total fat (*P*=0.049),

192 SFA (P=0.002), MUFA (P=0.026) and alcohol (P<0.001), as a percentage of energy intakes,

193 compared to the housed group (**Table 4**). In contrast, carbohydrate (*P*<0.001) and protein

194 (P=0.011) accounted for a significantly lower percentage of energy in the homeless group. Mean 195 daily intake of non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) was significantly lower in homeless compared to 196 homed individuals (P<0.001). Further comparison of daily NSP intake with the UK recommended level of 18g  $^{(30)}$  highlighted that the majority of homeless (*n*=58, 77%) and homed (*n*=46, 61%) 197 198 individuals had an intake below 18g (data not shown). Removal of over-reporters (n=2, homeless 199 adults) did not alter the statistical findings (data not shown). Whilst total energy intake did not differ 200 between street homeless and hostel residents, mean SFA intake (%TE) was significantly higher for 201 hostel residents (P=0.010).

202 Micronutrient data (Table 4) demonstrated a significantly higher mean daily intake of salt in the 203 homeless compared to housed group (P=0.014). In contrast, vitamin C intake was significantly 204 lower in the homeless compared to housed (P=<0.001). Daily intake for the majority of individuals 205 in both the homeless and homed groups was found to meet or exceed the RNI (32) for most of the 206 micronutrients measured including vitamin C. In contrast, 58 (77%) homeless and 54 (72%) homed 207 individuals had below the LRNI for selenium (Figure 1). Approximately half of the homeless (n=39, 208 52%) and homed (n=38, 51%) groups failed to meet the zinc LRNI. Although the majority of 209 homeless (n=40, 53%) and homed (n=41, 55%) individuals met the iron LRNI, these were 210 predominantly men. Consequently for women, 13 out of 15 homeless and all of the 15 women in 211 the homed group failed to reach the iron LRNI of 14.8mg (data not shown). In contrast to iron, the 212 majority of homeless (n=61, 81%) and homed individuals (n=67, 89%) reported a sodium intake 213 above the LRNI of 1600mg. Of these individuals 45 (60%) homeless and 42 (56%) homed 214 consumed above the recommended maximum salt level of 6q.

Division of FFQ data into food groups is shown in **Table 5**. The mean daily homeless diet consisted of significantly higher amounts of alcoholic beverages (P<0.001), fats/oils (P=0.023), meat and meat products (P=0.037) and potatoes (P=0.035). In contrast, the homeless compared to homed diet was composed of a significantly lower amount of fruit and nuts and seeds (P's<0.001), and vegetables (P=0.022). Removal of individuals reporting mental health diagnoses (n=21) resulted in a loss of significant difference in intake of fats/oils (P=0.18) between the groups; no other findings were altered. Calcium, iodine and riboflavin intakes were all significantly lower in street homeless compared with first-stage living hostel residents (P<0.05) (**Table 6**). Despite this, hostel residents were found to consume significantly greater quantities of milk (P=0.001) and potato (P=0.012), and less soups and sauces (P=0.047). There was also a trend for greater sugary snack consumption in hostel residents (P=0.052).

As a sensitivity analysis, data analysis was repeated in males only (n=120) and in participants reporting 'white' ethnicity (n=122). The identified significance differences were similar following removal of females, although just a tendency for a lower vitamin B6 intake in the homeless was observed (P=0.078). Analysis in only white participants led to an additional significant difference for PUFA (5.51% ± 1.41 homeless, 5.91% ± 1.36 housed, P=0.031).

232

### 233 Discussion

234 The present study compared dietary intake, nutritional status and mental wellbeing of homeless 235 and housed adults in Reading. Our findings suggest that homeless adults have a higher risk of 236 cardiovascular disease and incidence of anxiety and depressive symptoms, and poorer dietary and 237 nutrient intake than housed adults. Homeless diets were characterised by high consumption of 238 meat and meat product, fats and oils and alcoholic beverages, and significantly lower intakes of 239 fruits, vegetables, nuts and seed than housed comparators. Street homeless were at particular 240 risk of calcium and iodine deficiency, and had a significantly lower intake of milk and milk products than hostel residents. 241

242 Whilst no significant difference in energy intake was observed between homeless and housed 243 adults, 27% of homeless reported not having 'enough to eat' and 38% reporting having  $\leq$  one 244 meals per day. There was also a trend (P=0.080) for a lower BMI in the homeless group. In the 245 present study, both homeless and housed intakes of total fat and SFA exceeded the UK recommended intakes (total fat, 34% total energy; SFA, 10% total energy)<sup>(30)</sup>. However, homeless 246 247 adults reported significantly a higher intake of these fats, as observed previously in the homeless community<sup>(7; 32)</sup>. This may be attributed to their greater intakes of meat and meat products (e.g. 248 249 sausages, minced beef and processed sliced meat) and fats and oils (e.g. butter). SFA intake was

also significantly higher in hostel residents than street homeless, which supports previous data that
 charitable meal provision is weighted towards sugar and fat energy <sup>(33)</sup>. Homeless adults reported a
 significantly lower intake of carbohydrate and protein derived energy.

Englyst NSP intake was below the recommended daily intake of 18g/day <sup>(30)</sup> in both housed 253 254 and homeless groups. However, significantly lower intakes of NSP were reported in the homeless 255 group (no difference between street homeless and first-stage living hostel residents), which may be 256 due to their lower fruit and vegetable intake. A diet low in fruit, vegetables and fibre has been reported previously in the homeless community <sup>(3-5)</sup>. In the present study, a greater disparity in fruit 257 258 intake between homeless and housed participants than vegetables was observed (170% vs.19% 259 higher in housed respectively); this may reflect the type of meals (hot meals including vegetables 260 <sup>(36)</sup>) available to the homeless population and lack of fresh fruit provided. In line with a low fruit 261 intake, a significantly lower intake of vitamin C was observed in the homeless, supporting previous studies (6; 31). However the majority of homeless individuals still met or exceeded the daily vitamin C 262 263 RNI of 40mg.

Intakes of calcium, iodine and riboflavin were significantly lower in street homeless compared with hostel residents, who consumed significantly more milk and milk products and potato. This may be due to hostel residents having access to cold food storage facilities and regular cooked meals, which has been associated with nutritional advantages in the US <sup>(35)</sup>. Inadequate calcium intakes have been observed previously in UK single homeless adults<sup>(36)</sup>.

Alcohol was a significant source of energy in the homeless group, as reported previously <sup>(6)</sup>. Furthermore, a greater percentage of homeless had B vitamin intakes below the LRNI and, given that chronic alcohol use is associated with malabsorption and reduced utilization of B vitamins <sup>(38)</sup>, this is likely to be underestimated. Early clinical thiamin (vitamin B1) deficiency, which causes the alcohol–linked neurological disorder Wernicke–Korsakoff syndrome, has been observed previously in homeless men <sup>(39)</sup> and prophylactic oral thiamine is advised for harmful or dependent drinkers at risk of malnutrition <sup>(40)</sup>.

The significantly higher salt intake in the present homeless population represents an
established risk factor for the development of hypertension <sup>(41)</sup> although, despite a significantly
higher diastolic level in the homeless group, mean blood pressure measurements were within the

279 normal range <sup>(42)</sup>. However, the significantly higher QRISK-2 score in the homeless group indicates 280 that the homeless group are at a greater risk of developing CVD within the next 10 years. Hand 281 grip strength, a low value of which has been associated with increased mortality in adults > 50 years <sup>(26; 43)</sup>, was significantly greater in street-homeless compared to hostel residents; although 282 283 this is likely to be most reflective of increased physical activity. Significantly more homeless 284 compared to housed reported smoking and substance misuse in the present study, as documented 285 previously <sup>(4; 12)</sup>. Furthermore, a significantly greater number of homeless that consumed alcohol reported an intake above recommended levels, which is consistent with previous data <sup>(6)</sup>. 286

287 Homeless adults had significantly higher scores for each PHQ-SADS component compared to 288 housed group, which corresponds with the high levels of mental illness reported in the homeless 289 community versus the general population <sup>(12)</sup>. Within the homeless community, street sleepers are more likely to experience depression <sup>(44)</sup>, as observed in the present study whereby street 290 291 homeless had higher scores for the depressive component (PHQ-9) of the PHQ-SADS compared 292 with hostel residents. It is currently unclear as to whether mental illness precedes homelessness or 293 homelessness induces/ exacerbates the occurrence of mental illness and the role, if any, nutrition 294 has to play in these conditions. The higher numbers of homeless compared to housed reporting a 295 poor appetite, in the presence of the increased levels of mental illness, may reflect the depressive influence of mental conditions on appetite <sup>(45)</sup>, which warrants further investigation. 296

297 The current study has a number of limitations. The high male to female ratio is consistent with other studies and reflects the preponderance of males in the homeless population <sup>(12)</sup>. 298 299 However, male dominance and potential selection bias due to reliance on services accessed by the homeless to attain participants limit the generalizability of the results <sup>(48)</sup>. In addition, 300 comparison with the most recent (2011) Census in Reading (74.8% white)<sup>(49)</sup>, suggests that white 301 302 individuals may have been over-represented in this sample (81% white). The significant difference 303 between the educational status of the homeless and housed groups may represent an uncontrolled 304 confounding factor given that higher educational status has been associated with a 'healthier' diet 305 <sup>(50)</sup>. The EPIC FFQ has been validated for the assessment of nutrient intake in different populations 306 <sup>(22; 51)</sup>, is less burdensome that weighed intake dairies and was consequently considered 307 appropriate for the current research. However, due to the transient nature of the homeless

308 population, participants were asked to report dietary intake over the previous month (i.e. shorter-309 term intake), which may have been challenging individuals with fluid dietary patterns. Memory 310 recall may be further confounded in the homeless community whereby greater incidences of 311 reward mediated behaviour, mental illness and alcohol related brain damage are reported. 312 Objective assessment of energy expenditure, food intake and nutritional status, using biomarkers, 313 would help to confirm the observed differences. Finally, the grouping of hostels may be 314 confounding due to differences in storage facilities and the provision of food. For example, whilst breakfast and dinner were provided by the charity-led hostel, residents in the council-led hostel 315 316 were self-catered. Further analysis regarding the impact of meal provision on nutritional status in 317 first-stage living hostels is therefore warranted.

318 The often limited and infrequent access to food by homeless individuals means that the 319 provision of nutritionally sufficient meals is of utmost importance. However, determining which 320 nutritional issues are specific to a homeless community is required in order to determine suitable 321 intervention strategies. Previous studies have aimed to address poor dietary intake in homeless populations through recipe modification at food aid organisations (33) and implementation of 322 educational programs <sup>(21; 32; 46)</sup>. Decreasing the total and SFA content of meals and increasing fruit 323 324 availability in the hostels surveyed would help to address some of the issues identified in Reading. 325 Milk supplementation in street-homeless adults could also help to address calcium, iodine and 326 riboflavin insufficiencies. Whilst beyond the scope of this study, exploration of Food Bank usage, which has increased in the UK (47), may also assist in the identification of suitable interventions for 327 328 the local area.

The findings of this study highlight the vulnerability of homeless adults in Reading, who have reduced mental wellbeing, a higher risk of CVD and a poorer dietary intake compared with the housed population. Further objective data is warranted, but the results clearly highlight the need for intervention aimed at improving mental wellbeing and nutritional status in this group.

333

#### 334 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Matt Farrow (Crime Reduction Initiatives), Laura Carey (the
Salvation Army, Willow House) and the Churches in Reading drop in centre for assistance in the

337	recruitment of homeless	participants and	Sarah Hargreaves	(Hugh Sincla	ir Unit of Human
-----	-------------------------	------------------	------------------	--------------	------------------

338 Nutrition) for assisting in the recruitment of housed participants.

339

#### 340 Financial Support

341 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit

342 sectors.

343

## 344 Conflict of Interest

345 None

346

### 347 Authorship

348 JAL and RF designed the research protocol; RF, JS, CM and LA collected homeless data; JS

349 collected homed data; JS and RF analysed data and drafted the manuscript. All authors have read

and approved the final manuscript. This research received no specific grant from any funding

agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### REFERENCES

Department for Communities and Local Government (2016) Statutory homelessness:
 October to December Quarter 2015 England.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/509763/Statut ory\_Homelessness\_Statistical\_Release\_October\_to\_December\_2015.pdf (accessed June 2016)

Department for Communities and Local Government (2016) Rough Sleeping Statistics:
 Autumn 2015 England.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/503015/Rough \_Sleeping\_Autumn\_2015\_statistical\_release.pdf (accessed June 2016)

3. Rushton CM, Wheeler E (1993) The dietary intake of homeless males sleeping rough in Central London. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **6**, 443-456.

4. Langnäse K, Müller MJ (2001) Nutrition and health in an adult urban homeless population in Germany. *Public Health Nutr* **4**, 805-811.

5. Hickey C, Downey D (2003) *Hungry for Change: Social Exclusion, Food Poverty and Homelessness in Dublin; a Pilot Research Study*: Focus Ireland.

6. Darmon N, Coupel J, Deheeger M *et al.* (2001) Dietary inadequacies observed in homeless men visiting an emergency night shelter in Paris. *Public Health Nutr* **4**, 155-161.

7. Luder E, Boey E, Buchalter B *et al.* (1989) Assessment of the nutritional status of urban homeless adults. *Public Health Rep* **104**, 451.

 8. Wolgemuth JC, Myers-Williams C, Johnson P *et al.* (1992) Wasting malnutrition and inadequate nutrient intakes identified in a multiethnic homeless population. *J Am Diet Assoc* 92, 834-839.

9. Koh KA, Hoy JS, O'Connell JJ *et al.* (2012) The hunger–obesity paradox: obesity in the homeless. *J Urban Health* **89**, 952-964.

10. Tsai J, Rosenheck RA (2013) Obesity among chronically homeless adults: is it a problem? *Public Health Rep* **128**, 29.

11. Hwang SW (2001) Homelessness and Health. Can Med Assoc J 164.

12. Homeless Link (2015) The unhealthy state of homelessness: health audit results 2014.

13. Kushel MB, Vittinghoff E, Haas JS (2001) Factors associated with the health care utilization of homeless persons. *JAMA* **285**, 200-206.

14. CRISIS (2011) Homelessness: A silent killer

http://www.crisis.org.uk/data/files/publications/Homelessness a silent killer.pdf. (accessed June 2016)

15. Hibbs JR, Benner L, Klugman L *et al.* (1994) Mortality in a cohort of homeless adults in Philadelphia. *N Engl J Med* **331**, 304-309.

16. Hwang SW, Orav EJ, O'Connell JJ *et al.* (1997) Causes of death in homeless adults in Boston. *Ann Intern Med* **126**, 625-628.

17. Krausz RM, Clarkson AF, Strehlau V *et al.* (2013) Mental disorder, service use, and barriers to care among 500 homeless people in 3 different urban settings. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* **48**, 1235-1243.

18. Lykkesfeldt J, Christen S, Wallock LM *et al.* (2000) Ascorbate is depleted by smoking and repleted by moderate supplementation: a study in male smokers and nonsmokers with matched dietary antioxidant intakes. *Am J Clin Nutr* **71**, 530-536.

19. German Nutrition Society (DGE) (2015) New Reference Values for Vitamin C Intake. *Ann Nutr Metab* **67**, 13-20.

20. Kubisová D, Adámková V, Lánská V *et al.* (2007) Higher prevalence of smoking and lower BMI, waist circumference, cholesterol and triacylglyceride levels in Prague's homeless compared to a majority of the Czech population. *BMC Public Health* **7**, 1.

21. Sprake EF, Russell JM, Barker ME (2014) Food choice and nutrient intake amongst homeless people. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **27**, 242-250.

22. Bingham SA, Welch AA, McTaggart A *et al.* (2001) Nutritional methods in the European prospective investigation of cancer in Norfolk. *Public Health Nutr* **4**, 847-858.

23. Mulligan AA, Luben RN, Bhaniani A *et al.* (2014) A new tool for converting food frequency questionnaire data into nutrient and food group values: FETA research methods and availability. *BMJ open* **4**, e004503.

24. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB *et al.* (2010) The patient health questionnaire somatic, anxiety, and depressive symptom scales: a systematic review. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* **32**, 345-359.

25. World Health Organisation (WHO) expert committee (1995) *Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

26. Lauretani F, Russo CR, Bandinelli S *et al.* (2003) Age-associated changes in skeletal muscles and their effect on mobility: an operational diagnosis of sarcopenia. *J Appl Physiol* **95**, 1851-1860.

27. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Vinogradova Y *et al.* (2008) Predicting cardiovascular risk in England and Wales: prospective derivation and validation of QRISK2. *BMJ* **336**, 1475-1482.

28. Tarasuk V, Dachner N, Li J (2005) Homeless youth in Toronto are nutritionally vulnerable. *J Nutr* **135**, 1926-1933.

29. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G *et al.* (2007) G\* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods* **39**, 175-191.

30. The Committee on Medical Aspects of Food and Nutrition Policy (COMA) (1991) Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients: report of the panel on dietary reference values of the committee on medical aspects of food policy: HMSO, London.

31. Malmauret L, Leblanc J, Cuvelier I *et al.* (2002) Dietary intakes and vitamin status of a sample of homeless people in Paris. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **56**, 313.

32. Food Standards Agency (2006) Research into food poverty and homelessness in Northern Ireland - final report.

33. Pelham-Burn SE, Frost CJ, Russell JM *et al.* (2014) Improving the nutritional quality of charitable meals for homeless and vulnerable adults. A case study of food provision by a food aid organisation in the UK. *Appetite* **82**, 131-137.

34. Public Health England (2014) National diet and nutrition survey: results from years 1-4 (combined) of the rolling programme (2008/2009-2011/12): executive summary. London: PHE Publications.

35. Wiecha JL, Dywer JT, Jacques PF *et al.* (1993) Nutritional and economic advantages for homeless families in shelters providing kitchen facilities and food. *J Am Diet Assoc* **93**, 777-783.

36. Evans NS, Dowler EA (1999) Food, health and eating among single homeless and marginalized people in London. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **12**, 179-199.

37. Drake MA (1992) The nutritional status and dietary adequacy of single homeless women and their children in shelters. *Public Health Rep* **107**, 312.

38. Hoyumpa A (1980) Mechanisms of thiamin deficiency in chronic alcoholism. *Am J Clin Nutr* **33**, 2750-2761.

39. Darnton-Hill I, Truswell A (1990) Thiamin status of a sample of homeless clinic attenders in Sydney. *Med J Aus* **152**, 5-9.

40. National Institute of Clinical Excellence (2010) Alcohol-use disorders: diagnosis and management of physical complications [CG100]. London: NICE publications.

41. Cook NR, Cutler JA, Obarzanek E *et al.* (2007) Long term effects of dietary sodium reduction on cardiovascular disease outcomes: observational follow-up of the trials of hypertension prevention (TOHP). *BMJ* **334**, 885.

42. National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) (2011) Hypertension: clinical management of primary hypertension in adults. NICE guidelines, CG127. London: NICE publications.
43. Stenholm S, Mehta NK, Elo IT *et al.* (2014) Obesity and muscle strength as long-term determinants of all-cause mortality—a 33-year follow-up of the Mini-Finland Health Examination Survey. *Int J Obes* 38, 1126-1132.

44. La Gory M, Ritchey FJ, Mullis J (1990) Depression among the homeless. *J Health Soc Behav*, 87-102.

45. Konttinen H, Männistö S, Sarlio-Lähteenkorva S *et al.* (2010) Emotional eating, depressive symptoms and self-reported food consumption. A population-based study. *Appetite* **54**, 473-479.

46. Johnson LJ, Myung E, McCool AC *et al.* (2009) Nutrition Education for Homeless
Women—Challenges and Opportunities: A Pilot Study. *J Foodservice Bus Res* 12, 155-169.
47. Loopstra R, Reeves A, Taylor-Robinson D *et al.* (2015) Austerity, sanctions, and the rise of food banks in the UK. *BMJ* 350, h1775.

48. Faugier J, Sargeant M (1997) Sampling hard to reach populations. *J Adv Nurs* **26**, 790-797.

49. Office for National Statistics (2012) 2011 Census: Key Statistics for Wales, March 2011 -Ethnic Group and Identity.

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populatione stimates/bulletins/2011censuskeystatisticsforwales/2012-12-11#ethnic-group-and-identity (accessed June 2017)

50. Friel S, Kelleher C, Nolan G *et al.* (2003) Social diversity of Irish adults nutritional intake. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **57**, 865-875.

51. McKeown NM, Day NE, Welch AA *et al.* (2001) Use of biological markers to validate selfreported dietary intake in a random sample of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer United Kingdom Norfolk cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr* **74**, 188-196.

52. Cade J, Burley V, Warm D *et al.* (2004) Food-frequency questionnaires: a review of their design, validation and utilisation. *Nut Res Rev* **17**, 5-22.

53. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) (2015) Carbohydrates and health. London: The Stationary Office.

Characteristics		Homeless		Housed	
Characteristics	n	%	n	%	
Gender					
Males	60	80	60	80	
Females	15	20	15	20	
Ethnicity					
White	61	81	61	81	
Mixed	7	9	7	9	
Indian/Pakistani/Bangladeshi	2	3	2	3	
Black/African/Caribbean	5	7	5	7	
Education					
Secondary education or below	64	85	12	16	
Above secondary education	11	15	63	84	
Housing status					
Living on the street	24	32	0	0	
Living in a hostel	51	68	0	0	
Living in private sector accommodation	0	0	75	100	

 Table 1: Demographic characteristics of homeless (n=75) and housed (n=75) adults

# Table 2: Responses by homeless (n=75) and housed (n=75) groups to behavioural

questions<sup>1</sup>

	Subjects responding 'yes'				
Behavioural questions		Homeless		used	P value
-	n	%	n	%	
Do you smoke? <sup>2</sup>	71	95	2	3	<0.001
Do you drink alcohol?	52	69	61	81	0.070
Do you take illicit drugs? <sup>2</sup>	46	61	1	1	<0.001
Do you have enough to eat?	55	73	75	100	<0.001
Do you have a good appetite?	51	68	71	95	<0.001
Are there any cooking facilities available for	31	41	75	100	<0.001
use?					
How many meals do you have per day? <sup>2</sup>					<0.001
0	2	2	0	0	
1	27	36	0	0	
2	35	47	21	28	
3	11	15	54	72	
How much money do you receive per week? <sup>2</sup>					<0.001
<£50	23	31	0	0	
£50-£149	45	60	12	16	
>£150	7	9	63	84	
How much money do you use to buy food per					<0.001
week? <sup>2</sup>					
<£20	53	70	4	5	
£20-49	20	27	37	49	
>£50	2	3	34	46	

<sup>1</sup>Data were analysed using chi-square tests comparing homeless and housed responses on each characteristic

<sup>2</sup>Analysed using Fisher's Exact test with Freeman-Halton extension for contingency tables greater than 2x2

#### Table 3: Physiological characteristics, PHQ-9 SADS and QRISK-2 scores for

Characteristics	Ho	Homeless		Housed		
Characteristics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	r value	
Weight, kg	73.3	15.4	77.5	14.6	0.19	
Height, m	1.74	9.0	1.73	8.8	0.63	
BMI, kg/m²	24.5	5.7	25.8	4.2	0.08	
Underweight (<18.49kg/m <sup>2</sup> , %)		5.3	(	0.0		
Healthy (18.5-24.9kg/m <sup>2</sup> , %)	(	61.3		8.0	-	
Overweight (25-29.9kg/m <sup>2</sup> , %)	12.0		33.3		-	
Obese (>30kg/m <sup>2</sup> , %)		21.3	17.3		-	
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	125.7	17.9	124.2	12.1	0.70	
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	78.2	12.0	73.6	8.2	0.008	
Hand grip strength, kg	36.4	8.4	37.5	9.2	0.60	
QRISK-2 Score (%) <sup>2</sup>	5.1	6.2	2.7	4.0	0.009	
GAD-7 <sup>3</sup>	6.0	5.9, 9.1	2.5	1,7, 3.3	<0.001	
PHQ-9 <sup>3</sup>	7.0	7.3, 10.9	2.0	1.9, 3.3	<0.001	
PHQ-15 <sup>3</sup>	6.0	5.5, 7.7	3.0	2.7, 3.9	<0.001	

homeless (n=75) and housed (n=75) adults<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Data were analysed using independent t-tests. PHQ-15, patient health questionnaire-15 for somatic symptoms; GAD-7, general anxiety disorders-7 for anxiety symptoms; PHQ-9, patient health questionnaire-9 for depressive symptoms.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated risk of developing CVD over the next 10 years.

<sup>3</sup>Values are medians (95% CI), data analysed using Independent samples Mann-Whitney U Test.

Table 4: FFQ derived daily energy and nutrient intake for homeless (n=75) and housed

adults (n=75)1

	Hom	neless	Но	Housed		
Nutrient	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	- P value	
Energy, kcal	2140	1121	1848	471	0.38	
Energy, kJ	8988	4700	7741	2016	0.39	
Total fat, % TE	37.2	6.3	34.9	5.3	0.049	
SFA, % TE	14.6	3.1	13.0	3.3	0.002	
MUFA, % TE	13.9	2.6	13.0	2.2	0.026	
PUFA, % TE	5.5	1.4	5.9	1.4	0.08	
Cholesterol, mg	357	204	274	114	0.020	
Total protein, % TE	16.7	4.0	18.2	3.5	0.011	
Total carbohydrate, % TE	43.4	9.3	48.1	6.9	<0.001	
Total Sugars, %TE	5.4	2.6	4.4	1.2	0.009	
Englyst Fibre (NSP), g	13.4	7.9	16.3	6.4	<0.001	
Alcohol, g	17.5	30.7	5.0	8.1	<0.001	
Alcohol, % TE	5.3	7.7	1.9	2.6	<0.001	
Calcium, mg	977	537	942	323	0.77	
Iron, mg	11.0	6.1	10.9	3.0	0.18	
Total folate, mcg	288	173	281	92	0.25	
lodine, mcg	148	78	146	44	0.35	
Sodium, mg	3186	1974	2573	764	0.17	
Salt, g	8.0	4.9	6.4	1.9	0.014	
Niacin, mg	22.8	11.9	22.5	6.0	0.21	
Selenium, mcg	60.7	42.0	61.2	19.2	0.083	
Vitamin A, mcg	1491	2107	1122	1252	0.85	
Thiamin, mg	1.5	0.8	1.5	0.4	0.24	
Riboflavin, mg	2.2	1.4	2.0	0.7	0.90	
Vitamin B6, mg	2.1	1.0	2.2	0.6	0.032	
Vitamin B12, mcg	8.4	9.1	6.4	4.5	0.58	
Vitamin C, mg	78.8	58.9	109.4	62.5	<0.001	
Vitamin D, mcg	3.5	3.6	3.0	1.7	0.74	
Vitamin E, mg	12.1	7.0	11.8	4.2	0.34	
Zinc, mg	9.7	4.9	9.3	2.4	0.53	

<sup>1</sup>Values are means  $\pm$  SDs, homeless (*n*=75) and housed (*n*=75). Data were analysed using independent t-tests. NSP, non-starch polysaccharide; %TE, percentage of total energy intake.

 Table 5: Daily intake of the 14 food groups derived from FFQ analysis for homeless (n=75)

and housed (n=75) adults<sup>1</sup>

	Home	Homeless		sed	Dualua
Food group	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P value
Alcoholic beverages, g	363	593	93.5	185	<0.001
Cereals and cereal products, g	235	178	240	109	0.076
Eggs and egg dishes, g	20.6	21.2	19.0	17.9	0.61
Fats and oils, g	23.6	20.5	16.0	11.2	0.023
Fish and fish products, g	41.2	63.7	40.3	27.8	0.052
Fruit, g	96	107	260	224	<0.001
Meat and meat products, g	157	109	111	54	0.037
Milk and milk products, g	400	241	385	198	0.80
Non-alcoholic beverages, g	790	710	710	438	0.83
Nuts and seeds, g	3.4	7.4	9.9	15.0	<0.001
Potatoes, g	94.0	67.0	66.3	50.3	0.035
Soups and sauces, g	61.4	61.1	56.1	55.3	0.76
Sugars; preserves and snacks, g	43.3	46.4	39.6	32.0	0.96
Vegetables, g	205	156	244	149	0.022

<sup>1</sup>Data analysed using GLM.

**Table 6:** Subject characteristics and nutritional intake for street homeless (n=24) and first-stage living hostel residents  $(n=51)^1$ 

	Street	homeless	Hostel	Hostel residents		
Characteristic	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P-value	
Gender (m/f)	21/3	-	39/12	-	-	
Age, years	38	11	38	11	0.99	
Weight, kg	74.7	16.0	73.9	16.7	0.78	
BMI, kg/m <sup>-2</sup>	23.9	4.6	25.1	6.3	0.42	
SBP	130.1	17.1	123.9	17.7	0.15	
DBP	81.4	9.5	76.9	12.6	0.096	
Hand-grip	39.7	8.2	35.3	8.3	0.058	
GAD-7 <sup>2</sup>	6.0	5.1, 12.6	6.0	5.3, 8.4	0.84	
PHQ-9 <sup>2</sup>	8.0	7.2, 15.2	7.0	6.2, 10.1	0.27	
PHQ-15 <sup>2</sup>	6.0	4.8, 9.9	6.0	5.2, 7.4	0.83	
Energy, kcal	2008	1388	2202	979	0.13	
Energy, kJ	8428	5814	9251	4114	0.13	
Fat. %TE	36.6	7.0	37.5	6.0	0.55	
SFA. %TE	13.7	3.1	15.0	3.1	0.010	
MUFA. %TE	14.0	2.9	13.8	2.5	0.86	
PUFA. %TE	5.7	1.6	5.4	1.3	0.56	
Protein, %TE	17.1	5.0	16.6	3.5	0.89	
CHO. %TE	41.5	11.2	44.5	8.2	0.19	
Sugars, g	94.1	60.8	121.8	70.3	0.15	
NSP. g	13.2	9.5	13.5	7.2	0.39	
Alcohol, g	23.9	40.2	14.5	25.0	0.39	
Calcium, mg	858	707	1032	433	0.027	
Iron, mg	10.8	7.8	11.0	5.3	0.41	
Total folate, mcg	226	167	304	174	0.10	
lodine, mcg	128	91	157	73	0.033	
Sodium, mg	3198	2531	3180	1680	0.42	
Salt, g	8.0	6.3	7.9	4.2	0.41	
Niacin, mg	22.3	13.8	23.0	11.0	0.43	
Selenium, mcg	62.3	49.8	59.9	38.2	0.63	
Vitamin A, mcg	1252	1647	1604	1647	0.18	
Thiamin, mg	1.34	0.86	1.55	0.84	0.10	
Riboflavin, mg	1.79	1.22	2.42	1.45	0.012	
Vitamin B6, mg	1.89	1.13	2.20	0.99	0.10	
Vitamin B12, mcg	7.3	7.5	9.0	9.8	0.13	
Vitamin C, mg	74.6	65.3	80.8	56.2	0.23	
Vitamin D, mcg	3.2	2.6	3.7	4.0	0.22	
Vitamin E, mg	11.4	7.8	12.4	6.6	0.25	
Zinc, mg	9.6	6.4	9.8	4.2	0.35	
Cereal and cereal products (g/dav)	229	225	238	154	0.22	
Egg and egg dishes (g/day)	17.4	16.4	22.1	23.1	0.36	

Fats and oils (g/day)	22.1	23.8	24.4	19.0	0.16
Fish and fish products (g/day)	30.4	30.3	46.4	74.1	0.16
Fruit (g/day)	97	131	95	96	0.87
Meat and meat products (g/day)	164	137	153	95	0.40
Milk and milk products (g/day)	295	226	449	234	0.001
Nuts & seeds (g/day)	5.2	9.3	2.5	6.2	0.08
Potato (g/day)	66.6	43.5	107.4	72.1	0.012
Soups and sauces (g/day)	90.4	78.7	47.7	45.6	0.047
Sugars; preserves and snacks (g/day)	30.8	26.2	49.2	52.5	0.052
Vegetables (g/day)	205	162	205	155	0.71

<sup>1</sup>Values are means  $\pm$  SDs, street homeless (*n*=24) and hostel residents (*n*=51). Data were analysed using GLM. NSP, non-starch polysaccharide; %TE, percentage of total energy intake.

<sup>2</sup>Data are medians (95% CI), analysed using Independent Samples Mann-Whitney U Tests.

**Figure 1:** Homeless and housed individuals with daily intake below LRNI for each micronutrient. Values are percentages (%) of individuals who did not meet the daily RNI for each micronutrient, homeless (*n*=75) and housed (*n*=75)