

Metaphysical and historical claims in the birth of tragedy

Book or Report Section

Published Version

Harloe, K. C. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0207-5212 (2008) Metaphysical and historical claims in the birth of tragedy. In: Dries, M. (ed.) Nietzsche on time and history. A collection of essays. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, pp. 271-285. ISBN 9783110190090 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/6933/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

Publisher: Walter de Gruyter

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading



Reading's research outputs online

Nietzsche on Time and History



Nietzsche on Time and History

Edited by Manuel Dries

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Walter de Gruyter · Berlin · New York

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Printed on acid-free paper which falls within the guidelines of the ANSI to ensure permanence and durability.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-3-11-019009-0

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

© Copyright 2008 by Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, 10785 Berlin, Germany. All rights reserved, including those of translation into foreign languages. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

> Printed in Germany Cover design: Martin Zech, Bremen. Printing and binding: Hubert & Co GmbH & Co KG, Göttingen.

If there is no goal in the whole of history of man's lot, then we must put one in: assuming, on the one hand, that we have need of a goal, and on the other that we've come to see through the illusion of an immanent goal and purpose. And the reason we have need of goals is that we have need of a will—which is the spine of us. 'Will' as the compensation of lost 'belief', i.e., for the idea that there is a divine will, one which has plans for us.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß Summer 1886-Spring 1887, KSA 12, 6[9]

We are still growing continually, our sense of time and place, etc., is still developing.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß April–June 1885, KSA 11, 34[124] authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

'Timeless' to be rejected. At a particular moment of a force, an absolute conditionality of the redistribution of all forces is given: it cannot stand still. 'Change' is part of the essence, and therefore so is temporality—which, however, just amounts to one more conceptual positing of the necessity of change.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß May–July 1885, KSA 11, 35[55]

Acknowledgements

The essays in this volume were first presented at the 15th International Conference of the Friedrich Nietzsche Society of Great Britain and Ireland, held at Peterhouse, Cambridge, in September 2005. I wish to take this opportunity to thank delegates and all those who participated in this event for the debates and critical discussions that shaped the research presented here.

I would further like to thank the Faculty of Philosophy and the Department of German at Cambridge for providing generous financial support. I am much indebted to Raymond Geuss, Simon Blackburn, Margaret Clare Ryan, and the Executive Committee of the Friedrich Nietzsche Society for their advice during the various stages of the editing of this volume.

Two of the contributions in this book are either drawn from or have appeared in full elsewhere, and this material appears with permission and my thanks. Raymond Geuss' article was previously published in his collection of essays *Outside Ethics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2005). It is reprinted here with permission of Princeton University Press. Lawrence J. Hatab's article is drawn in parts from the text of his book *Nietzsche's Life Sentence: Coming to Terms with Eternal Recurrence* (New York: Routledge University Press, 2005). I thank Routledge for permission to publish the essay.

The four excerpts of printed music of Wagner, Bizet, and Stravinsky in Jonathan R. Cohen's essay appear here with permission of Dover Publishing, Chester Music Limited (Music Sales) and Schott Music GmbH & Co. KG. Every effort has been made to trace and contact copyright holders. If there are any inadvertent omissions I apologize to those concerned and undertake to include suitable acknowledgements in future editions.

Finally, I would like to thank Walter de Gruyter Publishers for taking on this volume, Gertrud Grünkorn, Christoph Schirmer, and Jana Pokorny for their patient support, and Angela Blackburn for copyediting the final manuscript.

Contents

| Notes on Contributors Abbreviations and Translations | XI XIII |
|--|-------------|
| Nietzsche's Critique of Staticism Introduction to Nietzsche on Time and History Manuel Dries | 1 |
| Part I: Time, History, Method | |
| Nietzsche's Cultural Criticism and his Historical Methodolog Andrea Orsucci | y 23 |
| Thucydides, Nietzsche, and Williams | 35 |
| Raymond Geuss The Late Nietzsche's Fundamental Critique of Historical Scholarship Thomas H. Brobjer authors copy with permission by WdG 2008 Part II: Genealogy, Time, Becoming | 51 |
| Nietzsche's Timely Genealogy: An Exercise in Anti-Reductio Naturalism | onist 63 |
| <i>Tinneke Beeckman</i> From Kantian Temporality to Nietzschean Naturalism <i>R. Kevin Hill</i> | 75 |
| Nietzsche's Problem of the Past | 87 |
| John Richardson Towards Adualism: Becoming and Nihilism in Nietzsche's Philosophy Manuel Dries | 113 |
| Part III: Eternal Recurrence, Meaning, Agency | |
| Shocking Time: Reading Eternal Recurrence Literally <i>Lawrence J. Hatab</i> | 149 |
| Suicide, Meaning, and Redemption Paul S. Loeb | 163 |
| Nietzsche and the Temporality of (Self-)Legislation <i>Herman W. Siemens</i> | 191 |

Part IV: Nietzsche's Contemporaries

| Geschichte or Historie? Nietzsche's Second Untimely Meditation | ļ |
|--|-----|
| in the Context of Nineteenth-Century Philological Studies | 213 |
| Anthony K. Jensen | |
| 'An Uncanny Re-Awakening': Nietzsche's Renascence of the | |
| Renaissance out of the Spirit of Jacob Burckhardt | 231 |
| Martin A. Ruehl | |
| Part V: Tragic and Musical Time | |
| Metaphysical and Historical Claims in The Birth of Tragedy | 275 |
| Katherine Harloe | |
| Nietzsche's Musical Conception of Time | 291 |

| Jonathan R. Cohen | |
|------------------------|--|
| Index rerum et nominum | |

309

Notes on Contributors

- TINNEKE BEECKMAN is postdoctoral researcher for the Fund of Scientific Research, Flanders. She works for the Department of Philosophy, University of Brussels, Belgium.
- THOMAS H. BROBJER is Associate Professor in the Department of the History of Science and Ideas at Uppsala University, Sweden.
- JONATHAN R. COHEN is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Maine in Farmington, USA.
- MANUEL DRIES is Research Fellow at Wolfson College and the Faculty of Philosophy, University of Oxford, UK.
- RAYMOND GEUSS is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Cambridge, UK.
- KATHERINE C. HARLOE is a Career Development Fellow in Classics at St. Anne's College, University of Oxford, UKn by WdG 2008
- LAWRENCE J. HATAB is Louis I. Jaffe Professor of Philosophy at Old Dominion University, Virginia, USA.
- R. KEVIN HILL is Associate Professor of Philosophy at Portland State University, USA.
- ANTHONY K. JENSEN is a Visiting Assistant Professor in the Department of Philosophy at Xavier University in Cincinnati, Ohio, USA.
- PAUL S. LOEB is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Puget Sound, USA.
- ANDREA ORSUCCI is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Cagliari, Italy.
- JOHN RICHARDSON is Professor of Philosophy at New York University, USA.
- MARTIN A. RUEHL is University Lecturer of German at Sidney Sussex College, University of Cambridge, UK.
- HERMAN SIEMENS is University Lecturer in Philosophy at the University of Leiden, The Netherlands.

Abbreviations and Translations

Friedrich Nietzsche's published and unpublished writings (Nachlaß) are quoted according to the following abbreviations:

- A *The Anti-Christ*, cited by section number.
- AOM 'Assorted Opinions and Maxims' (vol. 2, pt 1, of *Human*, *All Too Human*), cited by section number.
- BAW *Historisch-kritische Gesamtausgabe. Werke*, ed. Hans Joachim Mette, 5 vols. (Munich: C. H. Beck, 1933–1940), cited by volume and page number.
- BAB *Historisch-kritische Gesamtausgabe. Briefe*, ed. Hans Joachim Mette, 4 vols. (Munich: C. H. Beck, 1933–1940), cited by volume and page number.
- BGE Beyond Good and Evil, icited by section number 2008
- BT *The Birth of Tragedy*, cited by section number and KSA page number.
- CV 'Five Prologues to Five Unwritten Books', cited by number and KSA page number.
- CW *The Case of Wagner*, cited by section number.
- D Daybreak, cited by section number.
- EH *Ecce Homo*, cited by section heading and (when applicable) number.
- EI 'On the Future of Our Educational Institutions', cited by section number.
- GM On the Genealogy of Morality, cited by essay and section number.
- GS *The Gay Science*, cited by section number.
- HA *Human, All Too Human*, cited by volume and section number.
- CV 'Five Prefaces to Five Unwritten Books', cited by preface number and KSA page number.
- KGB *Briefwechsel. Kritische Gesamtausgabe*, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1975–), cited by volume and page number.
- KGW Werke. Kritische Gesamtausgabe, established by Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari, ed. Wolfgang Müller-Lauter and Karl Pestalozzi (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1967–), cited by volume, part, and page number.

- KSA Sämtliche Werke. Kritische Studienausgabe, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1967–), cited by volume and page number. The Nachlaß is cited by date, KSA volume, notebook section, and fragment number.
- KSB *Sämtliche Briefe. Kritische Studienausgabe Briefe*, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1986–), cited by volume and page number.
- NCW Nietzsche contra Wagner, cited by section heading.
- OTL 'On Truth and Lying in a Non-Moral Sense', cited by KSA page number.
- PTAG 'Philosophy in the Tragic Age of the Greeks', cited by section number.
- TI *Twilight of the Idols*, cited by section heading and number.
- UM *Untimely Meditations*, cited by part and section number, and (when applicable) KSA page number.
- Z *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, cited by part, section heading, and (when applicable) number.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008 Note on Translations of Nietzsche's Works

The contributors to this volume have used different translations of Nietzsche's texts, often modified by the individual contributor. At the end of each essay the reader will find a list of the translations used. Where no such list has been provided the contributor has relied exclusively on his or her own translations. All translations from Nietzsche's Nachlaß are usually by the individual contributors, although other translations have been consulted whenever possible, notably *The Will to Power*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Random House, 1967), and *Writings from the Late Notebooks*, ed. Rüdiger Bittner, trans. Kate Sturge (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003).

Metaphysical and Historical Claims in The Birth of Tragedy

Katherine Harloe

What is The Birth of Tragedy about? From a contemporary critical perspective, the very attempt to pose this question may appear hopelessly naive. Even if the furthest reaches of the complex and varied history of the reception of Nietzsche's first book are ignored, debates among scholars over its coherence, content, and significance within Nietzsche's thought have shown no signs of abating, and Montinari's comment twenty-five years ago that 'the entire problem of interpretation of Nietzsche's philosophical firstling is still wide open' appears equally apposite today (Montinari 1980, p. 5). In this essay I wish to question an assumption which I believe has come increasingly to guide interpretation of The Birth of Tragedy, and which is common to many who hold very different substantive views of its content. This is the idea that it should be read primarily as a contribution to what was, admittedly, one of the major debates of German philosophy after Kant: that of the possibility of metaphysics. If this assumption is granted, the most important question to ask about Nietzsche's first book becomes whether or not he there asserts or denies the possibility of 'transcendent' knowledge of the ultimate nature of the world. Regardless of the substantive differences between the answers scholars have given to this question over the past few decades, agreement that The Birth of Tragedy is essentially an exercise in metaphysics has informed many influential readings.

Sometimes the assumption is very much a background presence in a discussion which focuses on different themes. Consider, for example, Alexander Nehamas' views as put forward in his book *Nietzsche: Life as Literature* (Nehamas 1985). His interpretation of Nietzsche places the doctrine of perspectivism centre-stage and is primarily concerned with the writings of the 1880s rather than the 1870s. *The Birth of Tragedy* is, however, mentioned in order to support the following observation:

Nietzsche seems to have believed that there are some ultimate facts, some noninterpretive truths, concerning the real nature of the world ... he denied that these facts could ever be correctly stated through reason, language, and science. Yet he also believed (and here the influence of Schopenhauer became dominant) that tragedy, primarily through the musically inspired, 'Dionysian' chorus, can intimate the final truth that the ultimate nature of the world is to 276

have no orderly structure: in itself the world is chaos, with no laws, no reason, and no purpose. (Nehamas 1985, pp. 42–43)

The Birth of Tragedy is here invoked as a document of Nietzsche's early faith in the possibility of metaphysics, and is thereby distinguished from the later writings, in which 'Nietzsche comes to deny the very contrast between things-in-themselves and appearance which was presupposed by his discussion of tragedy' (Nehamas 1985, p. 43). The assumption does rather more work in motivating the influential, deconstructive readings of The Birth of Tragedy offered by Paul de Man and Philippe Lacoue-Labarthe (de Man 1979, pp. 79–102; Lacoue-Labarthe 1971). As Henry Staten has convincingly shown, it is because de Man interprets The Birth of Tragedy as an attempt to depict an 'ontological hierarchy', according to which the Dionysian is genetically prior to the Apollonian, that his verdict on it as a text that is logocentric-and his consequent deconstruction-can operate (de Man 1979, pp. 83, 85; Staten 1990, pp. 187-216). More recently, James I. Porter has argued against the view that any metaphysical thesis is asserted in The Birth of Tragedy and in favour of reading it as an attempt 'to mimic and challenge-through a mixture of parody, irony, implausibility, and logical circularity the metaphysical banalities that the work superficially conveys' (Porter 2000a, p. 87). While his reconstruction of the content of Nietzsche's argument could not be more opposed to that of Nehamas or de Man, his reinterpretation of Nietzsche as an antimetaphysician nevertheless leaves the question of metaphysics in the foreground.

This first interpretative question is usually thought to be bound up closely with a second contested issue: the Schopenhauerianism of Nietz-sche's first book. The connection seems straightforward enough: *The Birth of Tragedy*'s elaboration of the Apollonian-Dionysian polarity conspicuously deploys Schopenhauerian language, and Schopenhauer's magnum opus, *The World as Will and Representation* (Schopenhauer 1969 [English]; 1949a and b [German])¹, offers a systematic metaphysics in the traditional sense of a set of interconnected claims about the ultimate nature of the world. We might, therefore, take *The Birth of Tragedy*'s Schopenhauerianism as an indicator of its metaphysical commitment: insofar as Nietzsche's position there may justly be characterized as Schopenhauerian, he is defending a metaphysical thesis. It is my contention that this apparently plausible inference is in fact mistaken, and rests upon an oversimplification of what 'Schopenhauer' could have represented for Nietzsche at the time of

¹ Translations from Schopenhauer's and Nietzsche's Nachlaß and letters are my own.

writing *The Birth of Tragedy*. Appreciating this leads us to recognize that *The Birth of Tragedy* may be 'Schopenhauerian' yet not 'metaphysical' in any straightforward sense.

My argument to this effect will proceed by means of a critique of one of the most recent attempts to give an overarching interpretation of *The Birth of Tragedy*: the aforementioned reading of Porter. Porter's discussion is important as it exposes of some of the puzzles and difficulties that arise when the interpretative question with which I began is answered in the affirmative. He is correct to insist that certain aspects of *The Birth of Tragedy*'s 'narrative structure'—its language, imagery, and train of argument call into question the notion that its author is 'uncritically enthralled to a metaphysics that ... [he] later abandoned' (Porter 2000a, p. 20). In reinterpreting *The Birth of Tragedy* as an 'attack on metaphysics' (ibid., p. 28), however, and equating this with an attack on Schopenhauer, Porter repeats what I suggest are a mistaken interpretative assumption and attendant oversimplification. By responding to his arguments, then, I hope to be able to indicate why both ways of answering the question of metaphysics in relation to *The Birth of Tragedy* miss what is really at issue.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

It would, of course, be impossible to provide an adequate response to Porter in the course of this essay. This is not just because his reading of The Birth of Tragedy is based on an detailed and broad-ranging consideration of Nietzsche's notebooks and early philological writings,² but also because he attributes to Nietzsche a deliberate strategy of what Quentin Skinner has termed 'oblique reference' (Skinner 1969, pp. 32-35). Put crudely, this is the writing of something one does not believe in order to disguise as well as to set out what one means to say. As Skinner points out, oblique strategies pose particular problems of interpretation, assessment of which requires close attention to the possible linguistic (textual) contexts of a particular work in order to decide whether its author is subverting or sustaining the ideas, generic conventions, topoi and so on, of his predecessors and contemporaries. Porter interprets The Birth of Tragedy as a subversive text; the immediate target of its critique is Wagnerian and Schopenhauerian metaphysics. My comments here are intended to draw attention to some aspects of the Schopenhauerian and Wagnerian linguistic

² In addition to Porter 2000a, the focus of my discussion here, this reading is extended in Porter 2000b.

context, overlooked by Porter, which I believe support a different interpretation.

One of the cornerstones of Porter's reading is his interpretation of the Dionysian as a 'pleat in the texture of appearance' (2000a, p. 49; see pp. 33-50, passim) and hence of metaphysics as something 'generated from within' appearance itself. Repeatedly in The Birth of Tragedy, Nietzsche seems to claim that the Dionysian is ontologically prior to the Apollonian-'the eternal and original artistic power that first calls the whole world of phenomena into existence' (BT 25, quoted in Porter 2000a, p. 36). This message is, however, undermined by passages such as the allusion to Lucretius in The Birth of Tragedy 1, which implies that all divinities, Dionysus included, are the product of dreams. Just as much as Apollo, then, who is explicitly associated with dreams and deception, the opening section of *The Birth of Tragedy* provides a hefty hint that Dionysus is illusory: an aspect of human psychology rather than a constituent of the deeper reality behind appearances. Nevertheless—and this is the flip-side of Porter's reading—Nietzsche tells us that such illusions cannot simply be done away with. They are the product of the deep-seated human need to project some higher meaning onto existence with permission by WdG 2008 These are noteworthy observations, but do they, as Porter thinks,

These are noteworthy observations, but do they, as Porter thinks, amount to a decisive move away from a Schopenhauerian or Wagnerian position? Let us consider the crucial passage where Nietzsche states that 'As Lucretius envisages it, it was in dream that the magnificent figures of the gods first appeared before the souls of men' (BT 1, KSA 1, p. 26). The sentence continues by quoting Wagner:

In dream the great image-maker saw the delightfully proportioned bodies of superhuman beings; and the Hellenic poet, if asked about the secrets of poetic procreation, would likewise have reminded us of dream and would have given an account much like that given by Hans Sachs in the *Meistersinger*:

My friend, it is the poet's task To mark his dreams, their meaning ask. Trust me, the truest phantom man doth know Hath meaning only dreams may show: The arts of verse and poetry Tell nought but dreaming's prophecy. (ibid.)

In the following paragraph, which continues the theme of dreaming, we are referred to Schopenhauer:

Philosophical natures even have a presentiment that hidden beneath the reality in which we live and have our being there also lies a second, quite different reality; in other words, this reality too is a semblance, and Schopenhauer actually states that the mark of a person's capacity for philosophy is the gift of feeling occasionally as if people and all things were mere phantoms or dream-images. (ibid.)

Porter is, I think, correct to interpret these passages as implicating the Dionysian and the supposedly higher reality it symbolizes in 'appearances', but how are we to read the specific allusions to Wagner and Schopenhauer in this context?

The immediate Schopenhauerian allusion is to a passage from his Nachlaß,³ but the theme is treated at greater length in volume 2 of *The World as Will and Representation*, in a chapter tellingly titled 'On Man's Need for Metaphysics' (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 160–187/1949b, pp. 175–209). There Schopenhauer talks of man as an *animal metaphysicum*, permanently afflicted by the desire for metaphysical knowledge. In the face of the evident suffering and misery of life, humans are compelled to wonder why the world exists. The desperate need for an answer to this question is, Schopenhauer says, the origin of all 'metaphysical' thought, both religious and philosophical:

Temples and churches, pagodas and mosques, in all countries and ages, in their splendour and spaciousness, testify to man's need for metaphysics, a need strong and ineradicable, which follows close on the physical. (1969, vol. 2, p. 162/1949b, p. 177)

The difference between religion and philosophy does not consist in the claim, common to both, to embody a truth beyond appearances, but rather in their mode of presentation. Religions provide a 'popular metaphysics' resting upon revelation, and can be true solely *sensu allegorico*. Philosophy, by contrast, appeals to thought and conviction and claims to be true *sensu proprio* (1969, vol. 2, pp. 166–168/1949b, pp. 183, 185). Nevertheless, both arise from humans' need, faced with the misery of life, to make 'metaphysical assumptions' about the existence of another world whose real character is separated by 'a deep gulf, a radical difference' from anything of which they can conceive (1969, vol. 2, p. 178/1949b, pp. 197, 198). Belief in metaphysical doctrines is, then, a human cognitive response to misery and helplessness in the face of existence, and both religion and philosophy, as forms of metaphysics, gain their content by a projection of the antithesis of the world of 'appearances' into an assumed beyond. In this

^{3 &#}x27;He who does not feel occasionally as if people and all things were mere phantoms or dream-images has no gift for philosophy. For it arises out of the contrast of individual things with the Idea of which they are the appearance' (Schopenhauer 1864, p. 295). An annotated copy of this work survives among Nietzsche's personal effects, although the date at which he purchased it is unknown (see Oehler 1942, p. 21).

chapter of *The World as Will and Representation*, Schopenhauer presents a view of the origins of metaphysical thought which is surprisingly similar to Porter's interpretation of the hidden message of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1.

The heavily annotated copy of *The World as Will and Representation* which survives among Nietzsche's personal possessions is part of the *Collected Works* edited by Julius Frauenstädt and published in 1873–1874, after the appearance of *The Birth of Tragedy* (Oehler 1942, p. 21). While there can be no doubt that Nietzsche read *The World as Will and Representation* extensively in the years 1865–1872, it is impossible to prove which chapters he studied most attentively. It is, however, extremely likely that he was familiar with the chapter discussed above, as it contains Schopenhauer's problematic and much-commented-upon claim that, unlike the systems of his predecessors, his metaphysics is *not* transcendent:

And although no one can recognize the thing-in-itself through the veil of the forms of perception, on the other hand everyone carries this within himself, in fact he himself is it; hence in self-consciousness it must be in some way accessible to him, although still only conditionally. Thus the bridge on which metaphysics passes beyond experience is nothing but just that analysis of experience into phenomenon and thing-in-itself in which I have placed Kant's greatest merit. For it contains the proof of a kernel of the phenomenon different from the phenomenon itself. It is true that this kernel can never be entirely separated from the phenomenon, and be regarded by itself as an ens extramundanum; but it is known always only in its relations and references to the phenomenon itself. The interpretation and explanation of the phenomenon, however, in relation to its inner kernel can give us information about it which does not otherwise come into consciousness. Therefore in this sense metaphysics goes beyond the phenomenon, i.e., nature, to what is concealed in or behind it $(τ \dot{o} μετ \dot{a} τ \dot{o} φυσικόν)$, yet always regarding it only as that which appears in the phenomenon, not independently of all phenomenon. Metaphysics thus remains immanent, and does not become transcendent; for it never tears itself entirely from experience, but remains the mere interpretation and explanation thereof, as it never speaks of the thing-in-itself otherwise than in its relation to the phenomenon. This, at any rate, is the sense in which I have attempted to solve the problem of metaphysics, taking into general consideration the limits of human knowledge which have been demonstrated by Kant. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 182-183/1949b, pp. 203-204)

This claim was interrogated by Rudolf Haym in his 1864 essay on Schopenhauer, which Nietzsche read in 1866.⁴

⁴ Nietzsche to Hermann Mushacke, 27 April 1866, KGB I 2, pp. 126–129; Nietzsche to Carl von Gersdorff, end-August 1866, KGB I 2, pp. 156–161 (see Barbera 1994).

The likely linguistic contexts of the appeal of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1 to Wagner complement this picture of congruence between Nietzsche's arguments and Schopenhauerian themes. The passage Nietzsche quotes centres around the paradoxical notion of the 'truest phantom' or 'illusion' (*wahrster Wahn*), and is taken from Act III of *Die Meistersinger*, in which *Wahn* is a prominent theme.⁵ It is therefore relevant to consider Wagner's letter to Ludwig II of Bavaria (Wagner 1911 [German]/1995 [English]), published in 1873 under the title *Über Staat und Religion*, which Nietzsche read in manuscript in 1869.⁶ In this letter, Wagner combines a Schopenhauerian metaphysical standpoint with a lengthy analysis of political and religious ideas as forms of *Wahn*, necessary illusions:

Blindness is the world's true essence, and not Knowledge prompts its movements, but merely a headlong impulse, a blind impetus of unique weight and violence, which procures itself just so much light and knowledge as will suffice to still the pressing need experienced at the moment. So we recognize that nothing really happens but what has issued from this not far-seeing Will that answers merely to the momentarily-expressed need. (1995, p. 10/1911, p. 8)

Humans are the unwitting instruments of this blind striving for existence, and both patriotism (which induces them to place the ends of state above their own egoistic goals) and religion (which counsels resignation in the face of the wretchedness of existence) are ruses by which they are induced to serve the ends of Will. This outlook leads Wagner to give the following analysis of religious feeling:

Its inmost kernel is denial of the world—i.e., recognition of the world *as a fleeting and dreamlike state reposing merely on illusion*—and struggle for Redemption from it, prepared-for by renunciation, attained by Faith. In true Religion a complete reversal thus occurs of all the aspirations to which the State had owed its founding and its organising: what is seen to be unattainable here, the human mind desists from striving-for upon this path, to ensure its reaching by a path entirely opposite. To the religious eye the truth grows plain that there must be another world than this, because the inextinguishable bent-to-happiness cannot be stilled within this world, and hence requires another world for its redemption. What, now, is that other world? So far as the conceptual faculties of human Understanding reach, and in their practical application as intellectual Reason, it is quite impossible to gain a notion that shall not

⁵ I am thinking in particular of Hans Sachs' famous *Wahn-monologue* at the end of act III, scene 1. The passage Nietzsche cites is from the beginning of act III, scene 2.

⁶ See Barbera 1994, p. 219 (no. 4). As late as 1873, Nietzsche thought fit to praise this work of Wagner's as 'in the highest sense "edifying" (Nietzsche to Gersdorff, 2 March 1873, KSB II 3, p. 131).

clearly show itself as founded on this selfsame world of need and change: wherefore, since this world is the source of our unhappiness, that other world, of redemption from it, must be precisely as different from the mode of cognisance whereby we are to perceive that other world must be different from the mode which shews us nothing but this present world of suffering and illusion. (1995, pp. 23–24/1911, pp. 20–21, emphasis mine)

Religious feeling is awesome in nature—Wagner calls it 'wonder-working' (*wunderwirkend*) and 'sublime' (*erhaben*) (1995, p. 25/1911, p. 21), but is nonetheless illusion for all that. In explicitly associating religious thought with illusion and dream, Wagner goes further than Schopenhauer does in the passages I have quoted, but both the language and the content of this recognizably Schopenhauerian train of thought foreshadow those aspects of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1 that Porter emphasizes.⁷

If Porter's argument that the Dionysian or the metaphysical originates as the compensatory fantasy of needy and suffering human beings is granted, it seems nevertheless that the elaboration of these thoughts in the opening sections of *The Birth of Tragedy* draws considerably on Schopenhauer's treatment of the same theme. It is, moreover, not merely Nietzsche's account of the *origins* of metaphysics that is Schopenhauerian in tenor. His discussion of the *resurgence* of the need for metaphysics in his contemporary era is also redolent of Schopenhauer. According to Nietzsche, this need is provoked anew by the eventual bankruptcy of the optimistic, 'Socratic' belief that science can provide a fully satisfactory explanation of the world (see especially BT 15, 18). The second half of Schopenhauer's chapter 'On Man's Need for Metaphysics' is likewise devoted to an extensive and scathing discussion of the ambitions of science to explain the world:

Naturalism, or the purely physical way of considering things, will never be sufficient, it is like a sum in arithmetic that never comes out. Beginningless and endless causal series, inscrutable fundamental forces, endless space, beginningless time, infinite divisibility of matter, and all this further conditioned by a knowing brain, in which alone it exists just like a dream and without which it vanishes—all these things constitute the labyrinth in which naturalism leads us incessantly round and round ... In fact, even if a man wandered through all the planets of all the fixed stars, he would still not have made one step in *metaphysics*. On the contrary, the greatest advance in *physics* will only

⁷ The connection between metaphysical 'knowledge' and dreams is treated at length in Schopenhauer's essay on spirit-seeing (Schopenhauer 1960 [German]/1974 [English]). This discussion inspired Wagner's 1870 centenary essay on Beethoven, which Nietzsche praises in the Preface to BT and in section 16 (KSA 1, pp. 23, 104).

make the need for a system of *metaphysics* felt more and more, since the corrected, extended, and more thorough knowledge of nature is the very knowledge that always undermines and finally overthrows the metaphysical assumptions that till then have prevailed. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 177-178/1949b, pp. 196-197)

Like Nietzsche, Schopenhauer is disdainful of the ambitions of science, and believes that it will eventually refute itself, provoking a return to metaphysical speculation. Not only are there general thematic parallels, but the very terms in which Nietzsche expresses the cultural importance of Socratism echo the cosmic imagery of Schopenhauer's contemptuous dismissal.8

An element of continuity with Schopenhauerian ideas is also, I would argue, implied by the imagery of veiling that Nietzsche uses to depict the insight offered by the Dionysian state:

Now, hearing this gospel of universal harmony, each person feels himself to be not only united, reconciled or merged with his neighbour, but one with him, as if the veil of maya had been torn apart, so that mere shreds of it flutter before the mysterious primordial unity. (BT 1, KSA 1, pp. 29-30; see too BT 15,

KSA 1, pp. 98–99; BT 24, KSA 1, p. 150) authors copy with permission by WdG 2008 Porter points out that the veracity of this vision is far from assured, suggesting that the subjunctive character of the 'as if'-clause and the continued fluttering of the tattered veil imply that the Dionysian vision does not provide immediate insight into the beyond (2000a, pp. 51-52). He concludes that this represents a critique of Schopenhauer; but again, there are Schopenhauerian precedents. We have already seen Schopenhauer speak of 'the veil of the forms of perception' in The World as Will and Representa-

⁸ 'For the first time, thanks to this universality, a common network of thought was stretched over the whole globe, with prospects of encompassing even the laws of the entire solar system' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 100). They also contain echoes of Wagner. Nietzsche characterizes the Socratic instinct for scientific knowledge as a 'sublime metaphysical illusion' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 99) and comments that without its influence, human energy would have been 'applied instead to the practical, i.e., egotistical goals of individuals and nations'. The 'wars of extinction' that would have ensued would have led to a generalized and suicidal pessimism of the kind which, Nietzsche claims, 'has existed throughout the entire world, wherever art has not appeared in one form or other, especially as religion or science, to heal and to ward off the breath of that pestilence' (BT 15, KSA 1, pp. 100; see also p. 102). Wagner had likewise argued that patriotic or political Wahn is still too close to individual egoism to be stable, and will collapse into war unless supplemented by the illusions of faith (1995, pp. 15–19/1911, pp. 12–14). Nietzsche's account of the way science functions as a form of illusion is thereby aligned with Wagner's discussion of religion.

284

tion II, chapter 17, when wrestling with the thorny issue of human beings' 'inner' experience of the thing-in-itself (1969, vol. 2, pp. 182–183, quoted above). He resorts to this metaphor again in the following chapter, this time to confess the impossibility of an unshrouded view:

Meanwhile it is to be carefully noted, and I have always kept it in mind, that even the inward observation we have of our own will still does not by any means furnish an exhaustive and adequate knowledge of the thing-in-itself ... in this inner knowledge, the thing-in-itself has indeed to a great extent cast off its veils, but still does not appear quite naked ... Accordingly we have to refer the whole world of phenomena to that one in which the thing-in-itself is manifested under the lightest of all veils, and still remains phenomenon only insofar as my intellect, the only thing capable of knowledge, still always remains distinguished from me as the one who wills, and does not cast off the knowledge-form of *time* even with inner perception. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 197, 198/1949b, pp. 220-221)⁹

These passages are taken from the second volume of *The World as Will and Representation*, which was added in the second edition of 1844 and forms a supplement to volume 1. There is no question that such statements are hard to reconcile with the confidence with which the thesis that the world is Will is presented in the first edition of Schopenhauer's work. It is nevertheless evident that the terms of what Porter sees a radical critique of Schopenhauer are available from Schopenhauer himself.

I have, I hope, succeeded in showing that allusions to these particular chapters of *The World as Will and Representation* are prominent at several points in *The Birth of Tragedy*. Nietzsche's selective allusions may fairly be said to emphasize this self-critical moment in Schopenhauer, but do they thereby amount to a wholesale rejection of whatever he may have understood the elder philosopher to stand for? An alternative interpretation is suggested by yet another apologia for the use of metaphysical language—this time from Nietzsche's own notebooks. The passage is from an early draft of Fragment 10[1], which survives labelled by Nietzsche as

⁹ The imagery of the veil has a long pedigree in German philosophical aesthetics, evoked by Kant Goethe, Schiller, Novalis, Hegel, and others. See Gombrich 1985 for some examples. The implication is always double-edged: a veil conceals as much as it reveals. It is this tradition that Nietzsche taps into with his remarks about the veiling and unveiling in BT 15 and in *The Gay Science* (GS Preface to the second edition 4, KSA 3, pp. 351–352; GS 57, KSA 3, pp. 421–422).

'Fragment of an extended form of "The Birth of Tragedy" written in the first weeks of the year 1871' (KSA 7, pp. 333ff.):

If I ventured in passing to speak of genius and of appearance as if a knowledge that exceeded every bound stood at my disposal and as if I were able to see out of the pure, great eye of the world, in what follows it will be explained that in using this figurative language [*Bildersprache*] I do not believe that I have stepped beyond anthropomorphic bounds. But who could endure existence without such mystical possibilities? (KSA 14, p. 541)

Porter states that Nietzsche's position in *The Birth of Tragedy* is 'not only that metaphysics is a fictional enterprise worthy of being shattered once and for all *but also that its resurrection is an inescapable and constitutional need deeply implanted in human nature*' (Porter 2000a, p. 9; emphasis mine). Although he recognizes that Nietzsche portrays metaphysical speculation as a matter of human need, his overall discussion of *The Birth of Tragedy* suggests that its argument is weighted heavily towards critique. In the passage above, however, we see Nietzsche underlining in poignant terms a conclusion that we have also seen Schopenhauer and Wagner emphasize: the need for a myth such as the metaphysical provides in order to endure existence. Nietzsche's acknowledgement of this need_together with its Schopenhauerian precedent, raises the possibility that *The Birth of Tragedy* deploys Schopenhauer not in parodic fashion, as a weapon with which to shatter all such illusions, but rather as a means of developing them in a new and superior form.¹⁰

This interpretation also coheres with Nietzsche's comments about the work of Friedrich August Lange. Porter argues that it was reading Lange that caused Nietzsche to apostatize from Schopenhauer (Porter 2000a, pp. 5, 9–16). Yet, in the same August 1866 letter to Gersdorff in which he praises Lange's *History of Materialism* as 'splendid and highly instructive' (KGB I 2, p. 159), Nietzsche draws a different conclusion: 'You see that even in the face of this most exacting critique our Schopenhauer remains for us, indeed, he almost becomes us even more.' What Lange's arguments show is, according to Nietzsche, that philosophy can only be a form of art, of which none other than Schopenhauer furnishes the highest example:

If philosophy is art, then even Haym may hide from Schopenhauer; if philosophy should be edifying, then I at least know no philosopher who edifies more than our Schopenhauer. (KGB I 2, p. 160)

My suggestion is therefore that Nietzsche draws upon Schopenhauer in *The Birth of Tragedy* as part of his attempt to foster a new form of Wagnerian

¹⁰ Note the echo in Nietzsche's 1873 praise of Wagner's 'On State and Religion' as 'highly "edifying", quoted in n. 6 above.

Wahn: an acknowledgement and indulgence of the need to find a higher meaning in existence, however illusory that meaning may be. This project may seem opposed to Schopenhauer's goal of presenting a system of metaphysics in the grand style, yet there are sufficient counter-currents in The World as Will and Representation to enable Nietzsche to enlist his predecessor in the service of this enterprise. Schopenhauer claims that his philosophy embodies a set of 'truths' (1969, vol. 2, p. 185/1949b, p. 206), yet not in the sense that it presents a system of conclusions derived deductively from true premises, nor because it relies on some form of privileged intuition. Rather, it is true in virtue of providing, in contrast to science, an 'understanding' (Verständniß), 'interpretation' (Auslegung), or 'deciphering' (Entzifferung) of the world of phenomena which is, so he claims, rich, satisfying and complete (1969, vol. 2 pp. 184-186/1949b, pp. 204-205). It is such a humanly satisfying interpretation of existence that, according to the arguments of The Birth of Tragedy, only art can provide. Nietzsche picks up on those elements of *The World as Will and Representation* which can be redeployed creatively in order to support this insight. The presentation of Schopenhauer which results from his refashioning is, admittedly, partial and one-sided. It may nevertheless be concluded that The Birth of Tragedy extends Schopenhauerian themes and concerns in order to hammer its message home.

The Birth of Tragedy's co-option of Schopenhauer extends further than this, however. Nietzsche does not stop at drawing upon his predecessor's arguments in order to announce the crisis of science; he also dramatizes this crisis and casts Schopenhauer in a leading role. He does so by constructing a narrative which has its beginnings in sixth-century Greece, and which locates Schopenhauer—along with Aeschylus, Sophocles, Euripides, Socrates, Kant, and Wagner—at points along a cultural development that will culminate in a new form of tragic art.¹¹ This chronology is putatively historical, but insofar as it may be characterized as a form of illusion that aims, via a representation of the past, to generate a constellation of

¹¹ This comment assumes that the 'rebirth' of tragedy Nietzsche envisages in *The Birth of Tragedy* is, indeed, a Wagnerian Renaissance. Although this has sometimes been questioned, it still seems to me the best way to make sense not only of *The Birth of Tragedy* but of the references to Wagner in Nietzsche's notes and letters of the early 1870s. The scope of the rebirth Nietzsche has in mind is, however, far too broad and indeed open-ended to encompass Wagner alone. Although Wagner is identified with the fulfilment of this ideal in *The Birth of Tragedy*, this is compatible with the view that he later retracted this association and, as occurred in *Ecce Homo*, disavowed *The Birth of Tragedy*'s Wagnerianism without disowning the 'hope' that speaks out from the work (EH III BT 1 and 4).

beliefs and attitudes that legitimate a particular form of cultural activity, it might more aptly be termed ideological. Its function is to alert its readers to the climacteric shift taking place in European culture and to raise their hopes for tragedy's rebirth.

Nietzsche's most general verdict on Schopenhauer's significance within this narrative comes in *The Birth of Tragedy* sections 18 and 19, when he is describing the disintegration of the Socratic-optimistic outlook:

The catastrophe slumbering in the womb of theoretical culture is gradually beginning to frighten modern man ... Meanwhile great natures with a bent for general problems have applied the tools of science itself, with incredible deliberation, to prove that all understanding, by its very nature, is limited and conditional, thereby rejecting decisively the claim of science to universal validity and universal goals. Thanks to this demonstration it has been recognized for the first time that it is a delusion [*Wahnvorstellung*] to believe that we can penetrate to the innermost essence of things by following the chain of causality. The hardest-fought victory was won by the enormous courage and wisdom of Kant and Schopenhauer, a victory over the optimism which lies hidden in the nature of logic and which in turn is the hidden foundation of our culture ... This insight marks the beginning of a culture which I now dare to describe as a tragic culture. Its most important feature dies in patting wisdom in place of science as its highest goal. (BT 18, KSA 1, pp. 117–118)

Let us recall then, how Kant and Schopenhauer made it possible for the spirit of German philosophy ... to destroy scientific Socratism's contented pleasure in existence by demonstrating its limits, and how this demonstration ushered in an incomparably deeper and more serious consideration of ethical questions and art, one which can be defined as the conceptual formulation of Dionysiac wisdom. In what direction does this mysterious unity of German music and German philosophy point, if not towards a new form of existence, the content of which can only be guessed at from Hellenic analogies? (BT 19, KSA 1, p. 128)

In these remarks, Schopenhauer is lauded (alongside Kant) for having demonstrated the bankruptcy of the Socratic attempt to view the world as amenable to human understanding. The philosophers are not praised for their residual hope for a form of knowledge that transcends the bounds of experience, but rather because of the demonstration their arguments furnish of those very bounds. Although it is Socrates whom Nietzsche dubs 'the vortex and turning point of so-called world history' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 100), in *The Birth of Tragedy* 18 and 19, Kant and Schopenhauer appear almost as important as actors on the world-historical stage. In finally discrediting Socratism, they clear the way for the replacement of corrosive scientism with a 'new form of existence': a renewed kind of artistic orientation to the world. Schopenhauer is significant in this story not as the last metaphysician, but rather as the philosopher who demonstrates the need for

288

a new myth and who anticipates its form.¹² In doing so, he merits praise as an augur of the rebirth of tragedy. His successor, both in this prophesying and in this anticipating, is Nietzsche himself.

This paper has tried to rehabilitate some claims about *The Birth of Tragedy* which may seem rather traditional: namely, the positive character of its appropriation of Schopenhauer and Wagner, and the importance of the (quasi-)historical structure of its argument. Being traditional does not, of course, amount to being mistaken, and I hope I have shown that such claims can be supported by crediting Nietzsche with a less naive reception of Schopenhauer than has sometimes been suggested. Nietzsche famously warns philosophers to be vigilant about the unnoticed and subtle commitments inherent in the grammar of our language (BGE 2, KSA 5, p. 54; TI "'Reason" in Philosophy' 5, KSA 6, p. 78), but the manner in which the areas and positions of long-running debates come to be defined may occasionally be just as insidious.¹³

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

References

Barbera, Sandro, 1994, 'Ein Sinn und unzählige Hieroglyphen. Einige Motive von Nietzsches Auseinandersetzung mit Schopenhauer in der Basler Zeit', in: Tilman Borsche / Federico Gerratana / Aldo Venturelli (eds.), Centauren-Geburten. Wis-

¹² As Nietzsche emphasizes in BT 16, it is Schopenhauer's analysis of the representational and expressive capacity of music which also provides an intimation of the kind of art by means of which the crisis can be overcome. Schopenhauer's writings suggest that a work of art which combines music with images or action can represent 'the innermost kernel preceding all form, or the heart of things' (Schopenhauer 1949a, p. 311, quoted by Nietzsche, BT 16, KSA 1, p. 106). This is, of course, the kind of representation Nietzsche characterizes as *myth*: 'the symbolic image ... with the highest degree of significance' (BT 16, KSA 1, p. 107). Regrettably, space considerations preclude any further discussion of this aspect of Nietzsche's appropriation of Schopenhauer here.

¹³ The research for this paper was begun when I was a Junior Postdoctoral Fellow in the Institute of Greece, Rome and the Classical Tradition at the University of Bristol, UK. I am grateful to the Institute Board for funding my research and to the Bristol Classical Seminar for their responses to an early presentation. I also owe thanks to Martin Ruehl, Raymond Geuss, Mike Levene, and Thomas Brobjer for their comments and questions on my initial conference paper, and to Nicholas Jardine and Dawn Phillips for subsequent constructive criticism.

senschaft, Kunst und Philosophie beim jungen Nietzsche, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, pp. 217-233.

- de Man, Paul, 1979, Allegories of Reading: Figural Language in Rousseau, Nietzsche, Rilke, and Proust, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Gombrich, E. H., 1985, 'The Symbol of the Veil: Psychological Reflections on Schiller's Poetry', in: Peregrine Horden (ed.), Freud and the Humanities, London: Duckworth, pp. 75–109.
- Lacoue-Labarthe, Philippe, 1971, 'Le detour', in: Poétique, 5, pp. 53-76.
- Montinari, Mazzino, 1980, Nietzsche lesen, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Nehamas, Alexander, 1985, Nietzsche: Life as Literature, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Oehler, Max, 1942, Nietzsches Bibliothek, Weimar: Gesellschaft der Freunde des Nietzsche-Archivs.
- Porter, James I., 2000a, The Invention of Dionysus: An Essay on The Birth of Tragedy, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Porter, James I., 2000b, Nietzsche and the Philology of the Future, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1864, Aus Arthur Schopenhauer's handschriftlichem Nachlaß. Abhandlungen, Anmerkungen, Aphorismen und Fragmente, ed. Julius Frauenstädt, Leipzig: F. U. Brockhaus.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1949a, Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung, Erster Band, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Sämtliche Werke. Nach der ersten, von Julius Frauenstädt besorgten Gesamtausgabe neu bearbeitet, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 3, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlagv with permission by WdG 2008.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1949b, Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung, Zweiter Band, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Sämtliche Werke, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 4, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlag.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1960, 'Versuch über das Geistersehn und was damit zusammenhängt', in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Parerga und Paralipomena. Kleine philosophische Schriften, Erster Band, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Sämtliche Werke, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 5, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlag, pp. 239–329.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1969, The World as Will and Representation, trans. E. F. J. Payne, 2 vols., New York: Dover.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1974, 'Essay on Spirit-Seeing and Everything Connected Therewith', in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Parerga and Paralipomena: Short Philosophical Essays, trans. E. F. J. Payne, vol. 1, Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp. 225– 309.
- Skinner, Quentin, 1969, 'Meaning and Understanding in the History of Ideas', in: History and Theory, 8, pp. 3–53.
- Staten, Henry, 1990, Nietzsche's Voice, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Wagner, Richard, 1911, 'Über Staat und Religion', in: Richard Wagner, S\u00e4mtliche Schriften und Dichtungen. Volksausgabe, Sechste Auflage, vol. 8, Leipzig: Breitkopf und H\u00e4rtel, pp. 3–29.
- Wagner, Richard, 1995, 'On State and Religion', in: Richard Wagner, Art and Politics, trans. William Ashton Ellis, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, pp. 5–34.

Translations

The Birth of Tragedy, ed. Raymond Geuss, trans. Ronald Speirs, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.

Index rerum et nominum

Α

Abel, G., 10, 18, 122, 132-134, 142-143 Absichtlichkeit, 130 absolute, V, 3-4, 10, 77, 114, 123-124, 128-129, 137-138, 155, 233, 306 Abstammungslehre, 28, 32 abstraction, 49 absurd, 69, 122, 155, 164-167, 187 acquaintance, 23, 214, 231 activity, 53, 65, 72, 130, 179, 198, 223-225, 235, 286 acts of intending, 95 actuality, 3, 79, 158 adaptation, 13, 28, 68, 70-72 adaptive-pragmatic, 9 Adorno, Th. W., 238, 264 adualism, 113-146. adualistic-dialetheic, 2, 9, 10-11 Aeschylus, 215, 219; 286's copy with permispellonian, 159; 234; 276-278 aesthetic, 160, 186, 199, 218, 232, 234, 239, 256, 306 aestheticism, 243 affect, 71-72, 84, 97, 129, 304 affirmation, 14–15, 113, 139, 149, 151-155, 161, 166-167, 180, 183-184, 195, 199–202 afterlife, 25, 165 Afterphilosophie, 247 agency, 10, 16, 85, 96, 102, 108, 125, 248 aggressive, 99, 258 agon, 203-209 agonal law, 209 agonistic, 15, 17, 151, 153-154, 161, 260 ahistorical, 241, 247 Ajax, 39 alchemy, 140 Alcibiades, 37 alienation, 196 alternatives, 9, 12, 136-137, 142 Altertumswissenschaft, 16, 213, 214 Alteuropa, 236 altruism, 68, 136 ambiguity, 1, 196-199 amor fati, 150

amoral, 257, 261 amoralism, 239 anaesthesia, 124 anarchists, 174 Anaxagoras, 137 Anderson, R. L., 176, 189 Andler, C., 232, 246, 264 anglo-analytic, 11 anomalous, 102, 120 anomaly, 14, 113 anthropocentric, 135 anthropological, 1, 8 anthropomorphic, 285 anti-humanist, 16, 233, 255, 264 Antike, 266 anti-liberal, 16, 233, 255 antiquarian, 16, 51, 213-226 antiquity, 16, 43, 44, 215–226, 233, 240, 243, 246-249, 252, 259 Antisemitismus, 269 antistoricismo, 227 appearance, 3-4, 24, 45, 79, 85, 120, 125, 151, 155, 164, 193, 201, 220, 231, 276-280, 284 approximation, 41, 121 Ardinghello, 233-234, 239, 267 argument from anxiety, 8 aristocratic, 16, 139, 251–256 Aristophanes, 38 Aristotle, 40, 47, 222 art, 45, 103, 122, 140, 159, 160, 177, 201-202, 220, 234, 237-238, 243, 248, 253, 256, 257, 258, 261, 263, 283, 285, 286, 287, 292, 295 artist, 55, 77, 121, 159, 201, 234, 238, 257 artistic, 15, 38, 55, 104, 159, 160, 201, 222, 234–235, 256–258, 278, 287, 291 artworks, 122 ascetic, 15, 54-56, 97, 100-101, 107, 138, 152, 164–166, 169–175, 181– 182, 260 asceticism, 15, 26-27, 154, 166 Aschheim, S. E., 262, 264 Asian, 30 asymmetrical, 132 atemporal, 2, 80

atheist, 257 Athenians, 42, 44 atom, 127, 129 atomistic, 196, 202, 209 Aufklärung, 269 Auflösungsprozess, 116 Augustine, 26-27, 155 Aunger, R., 72–73 autopoesis, 3 auto-sensitization, 4 awareness, 8, 79, 83, 106, 127, 133, 189

В

Bächtold, H., 254, 264 backwards-willing, 185-188 Baldwin, G., 233, 264 Barbera, S., 198, 210, 280–281, 288 Barkow, J., 64, 73 Barth, H., 232, 265 Bauer, S., 248, 254, 265, 270 Baumgarten, F. F. L263 265 copy with permiss 257-263 WdG 2008 Baumkultus, 25, 32 beauty, 24, 199, 233, 262-263 becoming, 3-8, 14, 75, 85, 91, 113-142, 151–155, 161, 164, 170, 191, 198, 200, 217, 224–226, 231, 250 Beeckman, T., IX, XI, 13, 18, 63-74 Beethoven, 243, 244, 282 being, 3-7, 14, 25, 42, 52, 54, 57, 64, 67-68, 71, 75-76, 78, 81, 83, 85, 89, 91, 102–103, 106, 108–110, 113-142, 151, 154, 159, 161, 167, 169, 172, 173, 176-178, 182, 184, 186, 187, 191–194, 197–200, 203, 215, 217, 227, 243, 246, 261, 278, 285, 288, 302, 305 benevolence, 109 Benz, E., 251, 265 Berg, Ch., 254, 265 Bergk, Th., 215 Bergmann, P., 241, 244, 265 Berkeley, 35, 49, 50, 82, 84, 264, 272 Berkowitz, P., 181, 189 Berlin, I., 40 Bernhardy, G., 216, 229 Bertram, E., 249, 265 besinnen, 4-5 Bewegungen, 269

Bewusstsein, 198 Biedermeier, 238 Bildungsbürger, 240 Bildungstrieb, 123 Bildungsvereine, 254 binary thinking, 3, 151 biology, 23, 67, 71–72 Bismarck, 239, 241, 270-271 Bizet, 37, 292-294 Blackmore, S., 70-73 blame, 239 blasphemous, 239, 257 Bluhm, H., 249, 265 body, 25, 32, 101, 125-126, 131, 160, 167, 194, 209, 304 Boeckh, A., 213–217, 221, 223, 226 Boeschenstein, H., 255, 265 Bohley, R., 251, 265 Borcherdt, H., 234, 265 Borchmeyer, D., 242, 244, 249, 265 Borgia, C., 233, 236, 238-239, 250, Boscovich, R., 82 both-and, 11 bourgeois, 234-240, 254, 260 Bowie, A., 121, 143 Boyd, R. 72, 74 Brahmanistic, 28 brain, 11, 13, 75-80, 282 Brecht, 234, 265 Brobjer, IX, XI, 13, 18, 51-60, 219, 227, 232, 241-242, 265, 288 Brose, K., 232, 265 Brown, G., 67, 73 brutality, 237, 239 Buddhism, 28, 127, 182 Bullen, B., 235, 266 Burckhardt, J., X, 16, 19, 30-32, 52, 58, 200, 231-272 Bürgerhumanismus, 240 burials, 25 Bursian, C., 216, 227 Byzantium, 91 C

cadence, 295, 296 Calder III, W. M., 227-228 Callebaut, W., 71, 73 Campioni, G., 213, 227, 242-245, 266 Camus, A., 15, 163–168, 171, 183, 186 - 189Cancik, H., 241, 244, 254, 266 Carmen, 292-295, 301 Cartesian, 8, 10, 48, 123 Catholic, 231, 250-251 causal, 8, 42, 46, 93, 97, 129, 132, 152, 155, 185, 282 causality, 75-76, 84, 97, 125, 130, 287 Cavell, S., 195, 210 centripetal, 202 Cesana, A., 244, 266 Cesare Borgia aestheticism, 262 C-fibres, 11 chaos, 2-3, 140, 178, 276, 297, 302, 304 chemical, 9, 125 Choephoren, 219 choral, 160, 244, 292 chorus, 222, 275 Christentum, 269-270, 272 christianisme, 25, 33 hors copy with permission consciousness, 4, 10, 11, 18, 46, 72, 76, Christianity, 12, 24–31, 47, 94, 239, 243, 250, 259-260 chronophile, 7 chronophobia, 4 Cicero, 44 circularity, 155, 185, 276 civilization, 12, 24, 25-30, 170, 233-264 Clark, M., 33, 111, 124, 143, 144, 188, 189 classic, 47, 71, 258, 292, 301 classical philology, 89, 213, 241, 244, 252 coercive, 207-208 coexistence, 12, 31-32 cognition, 10, 48, 78, 80, 81, 82, 93, 95, 96, 99, 134, 154, 156, 279 Cohen, J. R., X-XI, 17-18, 33, 291-307 Cohen, M. D., 215, 228 cohesion, 94, 139 comedy, 260 commands, 99, 165, 185, 189 communism, 254 Communist Manifesto, 235 community, 16-17, 25-27, 97, 135-136, 139, 201, 209

compassion, 139 competing powers, 207 competition, 101, 205 complementarity, 307 completeness, 204 complexity, 14, 26, 29, 67, 114, 131, 135, 217 composition, 3, 243, 296 Conant, J., 195, 210 conceptual, V, 28, 114, 150, 160, 281, 287 condottieri, 233, 237, 256 confederation, 127 conflict, 12, 35, 42, 48, 88, 111, 115, 153, 196, 199, 202, 208, 209, 217, 307 conformity, 194, 201 conscience, 92, 99, 136, 193 conscious, 4, 54, 69, 79, 92, 95, 99, 106, 129, 130–134, 142, 159, 165– 167, 185, 187–188 93, 123, 124, 128, 134, 165–166, 181, 185, 187, 280 conspirieren, 126 contentious contentment, 140 contest, 151, 203-207, 227 continental, 11, 135 continuum, 30, 125, 131–132 continuum-relations, 134 contradiction, 5, 9, 15, 52, 65, 73, 91, 105-106, 166, 191, 207 Conway, D., 195, 204, 210 Corcyra, 44–45 Cosmides, L., 73 cosmological, 14-15, 149, 154, 160, 185 cosmos, 59, 82, 186 counter-force, 14, 113, 117-118, 135, 141, 151, 209 counter-ideal, 15, 57, 169, 171–172, 180, 182 Craig, G., 234, 266 creative, 17, 127, 156–159, 175–176, 180, 192, 196, 201, 205, 208, 223-224, 247, 295 creativity, 73, 156, 206 creator, 85, 258 creator-god, 48

crime, 198, 256, 261 critical history, 51 crystallization, 16, 233, 292, 297 cultivation, 46 cult, 25-26 culture, 16, 26, 31-32, 39, 45, 47, 51, 53, 58, 63–65, 70–72, 90, 104, 119, 150, 158, 196, 199, 201, 203, 222, 226, 233, 235, 240-249, 252-256, 262-264, 286, 287 custom, 94, 97, 99, 170, 191 cycle, 188–189 cyclic repetition, 154-157

D

da Romano, E., 236 dancing, 65, 159, 295, 304 Danto, A. C., 123, 128, 143 Darwin, Ch., 13, 28, 64-73, 89, 93 Darwinism, 53, 66-72, 87, 92-93, 111 Darwinizing, 73 de Man, P., 17, 236 288 rs copy with permisedes, EvRA 47642008 death, 38, 40, 45, 104, 138, 150-152, 155, 164–170, 175, 181–188, 250, 260 death of God, 104, 151-152, 175 death of Socrates, 38 decadence, 41, 85, 119, 172, 174, 241 decadent, 15, 58, 124, 138, 168-169, 172 decay, 85, 209, 260, 292 deconstruction, 16, 173, 248, 276 deferral, 209 degenerating, 15, 119, 167, 169-171 deity, 85 Deleuze, G., 69, 73, 125, 143 democratic, 208, 253 democratization, 254 Demokratie, 269 Dennett, D., 68, 71-73 Derrida, J., 139, 143 desire, 3, 5, 40, 54, 69, 73, 88, 94, 97, 117, 124, 164, 170, 175, 179, 199, 201-202, 209, 222, 236, 250, 254, 279, 292, 296 despotic rulers, 236 destruction, 41, 44, 80, 85, 141, 156, 205, 222, 256

destructive, 18, 40, 119, 170, 182, 196, 198, 222, 304 Detwiler, B., 256, 266 Deussen, P., 28, 32 diachronic, 127, 192, 247 dialectical. 3 dialetheic, 10 Dialetheism, 9 difference-preserving, 11 differential, 70, 138 dilemma, 103, 135-136 Dionysian, 76, 124, 141, 159–160, 219, 234, 262, 275-283 Dionysus, 38, 278, 289 directednesses, 95 diremption, 196 disembodied, 185, 264 disgregation, 129, 135, 196, 209 disjunction, 3, 15, 120-121 dissatisfaction, 156, 164 diversity, 15, 202-206 dogmatic, 12, 48, 123 Dombowsky, D., 258, 266 Donnellan, B., 247, 266 doubleness, 138 doubt, 5, 56, 79, 122, 126, 170, 187, 241, 256, 260, 263, 280 Draper, J. W., 24, 32 dream, 177, 278, 281, 282 Dries, IX, XI, 1-19, 14, 18, 19, 113-**145**, 114, 135, 143, 144, 189 drives, 32, 54, 66, 92–110, 131, 152, 194, 222 dualism, 14, 114, 120-123, 130 dualistic, 113, 137, 138 duality, 49, 137, 139 Dühring, 56 duration, 65, 114, 123, 133-134 dwarf, 105, 182, 187 dynamic, 120, 126, 129, 151, 191, 195-196, 203, 205-206, 207

Ε

early modern, 231-236, 240, 244, 246, 253, 255, 257-259, 261, 263 earth, 56, 89, 153, 170, 174-175, 186, 201, 295 ecstatic nihilism, 116, 119, 183

educators, 197 efficacy, 94, 116, 123-124, 132, 222 egalitarian, 52, 203, 260 ego, 91 egocentric, 203 egoism, 234, 283 Egypt, 91 Egypticism, 85 Einheit, 128, 132, 202 einverleibt, 6, 133 either-or, 10, 135-140 elitism, 253, 255 emancipation, 194, 200, 202, 240, 244-245, 249 embodied, 25, 160, 167, 183, 239, 252 Emden, Ch. J., 115, 143 Emerson, W., 15, 195, 197, 210 emotional force, 159 emotions, 42 empirical, 10, 47, 75, 78, 81-82, 150 empirically real, 81 empiricism, 252 authors copy with permission 951G 2008 encounters, 117, 125 endless melody, 17, 291-292, 295-297, 300-304, 307 Enlightenment, 196, 234, 246, 264 epiphenomenal, 9, 252 epistemological, 53-54, 152, 157, 223 equality, 208, 254–255 Erasmus, 244, 271 Ernst, J., 239, 266 error, 4-10, 36, 95, 102, 121, 157, 300 error theory, 4-5 essence, V, 3-4, 27, 54, 65, 114, 122, 125–126, 182, 186, 213, 249, 252, 262, 281, 287 essentialism, 132 eternal novelty, 156 eternal recurrence, 14-15, 56-57, 127, 141, 149–161, 174, 180–189 eternal return, 87–90, 105, 110–111, 183 eternity, 105, 151, 186, 189, 204, 207 ethical, 6-7, 16, 37, 39, 42, 47-48, 66, 204, 206, 209, 234, 239, 246, 287 ethics, 47-48, 191, 260 etiological, 93 Euclideans, 77 Euripides, 38, 160, 286

Europe, 7, 43, 56, 235–238, 244, 251, 253-255, 257, 260, 262, 267 evolution, 28, 53, 63-67, 69-72, 92, 97,253 evolutionary history, 133 evolutionary psychology, 64, 68, 73 exaptation, 68 excellence, 4, 35, 193, 238, 246, 295 excess, 232, 261 excitation, 11, 56 exhaustion, 167, 170 existence, 2-3, 6, 48, 53, 67, 69, 70, 77-82, 88, 92, 98, 114, 126, 137, 150-151, 153, 155, 165, 167, 169, 174-176, 178, 180-181, 184-186, 189, 194–195, 198–199, 237, 244, 278-279, 281, 285, 287, 303, 306 existentialist, 165, 167, 183-184, 305 exogenous, 115 experiential, 10-11, 124 experiment, 117, 149

F

factual, 42, 149, 157 factuality, 58, 158 faculties, 281 fallacy, 8, 68 falsehood, 198, 218 falsification, 15, 77, 82, 121, 131, 178 Farulli, L., 246, 253, 266 fatalism, 137 fate, 43, 46, 115, 175, 187, 188, 201, 241fatigue, 117, 119, 169, 171 Faustian, 239 feeling, 1, 27, 29, 41, 90, 95, 98-99, 118, 136, 181, 195, 203, 225, 243, 261, 279, 281-282, 292, 295 Ferguson, W. K., 233-235, 240, 266 fiction, 8, 10, 127, 157, 160, 234, 239 fictionalist, 9 Figal, G., 125, 143 fighter, 201, 204 fighting, 238 Figl, J., 128, 143 figurative, 158, 284 finitude, 152, 155, 193 first-person perspective, 9-10, 142

fitness, 70, 94 fixation, 87, 89 fixed, 1, 102, 118, 126, 151-152, 179, 197, 282 fixity, 158 flourishing, 17, 171, 295 flow, 83, 91, 123, 186, 225, 292 flux, 119-120, 123, 128, 133, 138, 141, 152, 158, 209 force, V, 15, 29, 64, 68-71, 77, 82, 93, 107-109, 116-118, 121, 125, 127, 129-154, 156, 159, 161, 166, 178, 183, 191, 197, 201-204, 207, 223, 232, 234, 237, 242, 246, 249, 256, 259, 282, 292 Ford, A., 41, 49 forgetfulness, 88 forgetting, 103, 179 formless, 244 Förster-Nietzsche, E., 245, 266 Foucault, M., 31, 32, 63, 69, 73 foundation, 51, 56, 124, 151, 233, 241th perm Gleichheit, 136, 208, 255 255.287 Fowler, R., L., 220, 227 fragility, 135 framework, 7, 9, 10, 11, 135, 177, 179, 191, 206, 241, 259 Frank, M., 121, 127, 143 free spirit, 199, 209, 245, 257 freedom, 87, 95, 105, 108-110, 156, 165, 175, 184, 194, 199, 201-202, 208, 232, 251, 295 Freeman, A., 10, 18, 19, 131, 143 Frege, G., 124 Freigeist, 266 Freiheit, 144 Freud, S., 37, 183, 288 Froben, J., 244 Frühromantik, 143 Fubini, R., 240, 263, 267 fundamental-duality, 11 G

Ganze, 115, 135 Gay, P., 232, 238, 267 Gegenkraft, 113, 116, 117, 141 Gegensatz, 10 Gelzer, H., 239, 267

genealogy, 4-7, 14, 63-71, 88, 92, 95-96, 98, 102-110, 119, 124, 193, 234 genius, 26, 37, 135, 199-202, 204, 239, 242, 246, 248, 264, 284 genotype, 72 Gerechtigkeit, 202 Gerhardt, V., 121, 143, 192, 195, 200, 202, 204, 210, 213, 228, 243, 260, 267 German culture, 204, 241, 250 German Darwinism, 67 Germanen, 25, 32 Germanic, 241, 243, 264 Germany, 28, 91, 218, 231-234, 252, 254, 262-264, 270 Geschichte, X, 16, 18, 24, 32, 213, 216, 226-228, 265-272 Geuss, R., VII, IX, XI, 12, 18, 35-50, 63, 73, 142, 288, 289 Gilbert, F., 235, 267 Gilbert, M., 232, 267 Gleichmachung, 208 goal, V, 1, 13, 28, 52, 92, 119, 125, 126, 129, 137, 174–178, 181, 185, 188–189, 209, 215, 222, 225, 285, 287 godless, 155, 172, 239 Goethe, J. W. von, 16, 136, 143, 214-215, 226, 228, 234, 239, 243, 259, 268, 284 Gombrich, E. H., 284, 288 Gomme, A. W., 43, 50 Gossman, L., 232, 236, 241, 254, 258, 267 Gottfried, P., 267 Gould, S., 68, 73 Greece, 41, 91, 158, 220, 243, 246, 248, 252, 286, 288 Greek, XIV, 9, 12, 16, 24-25, 28, 43-47, 59, 79, 155, 157–160, 174, 192, 203, 220-222, 226, 233, 243, 245, 247, 256, 270-271 Gregor-Dellin, M., 249, 267, 271 Grey, J., 18 Gründer, K., 220, 226, 228 guilt, 47, 99, 102, 165

Η

Habermas, J., 196, 210 habituated, 8, 10 Hale, J. R., 237, 267 Hales, S., 127, 143 Hampe, K., 257, 267 Hankins, J., 240, 267 happiness, 5-6, 12, 39, 48, 88, 150, 173, 194, 261 Hardtwig, W., 235, 267 Harloe, X, XI, 17, 18, 275-289 harmonic, 292, 296, 302 Harnack, A. von, 27, 31–32 Hatab, L. J., IX, XI, 10, 14, 18, 65, 73, **149–162**, 168, 185, 190 Haupt, M., 215-217, 220, 272 health, 39, 54, 57, 88, 104-105, 172, 174, 181, 183, 199, 223, 249, 259, 304 Hector, 39 hedonist, 55 Hegel, G. W. F., 24, 18, 37, 64, 19; ith permission of the permiss 120, 124, 139, 143, 196, 247, 284 Heidegger, M., 31, 32, 210 Heinse, (J. J.) W., 233-234, 239-240, 265, 267, 272 Hellene, 13, 48, 160 Hellenic, 25, 38, 58, 203, 246, 278, 287 Heller, E., 232, 267 Heraclitean, 7, 10, 121, 137, 202 Heraclitus, 134, 137-138, 203 hereditary, 28 heredity, 70 hermeneutics, 122 heterogeneous, 23-25, 29 heteronomy, 195, 204 hierarchical, 255 hierarchy, 102, 276 Higgins, 306, 307 Hill, R. K., IX, XI, 13, 18, 75-85, 269 Hinz, M., 244, 268 Hippocrates, 42, 45 Hirsch, E., 249, 251, 268 historian, 25, 51, 54-58, 216, 221-227, 231-234, 251, 263 historical, 12-13, 16-17, 23-24, 28-32, 38, 43, 47–48, 51–59, 67–69,

85, 87, 96, 99, 101, 103, 157–158, 213, 216, 221-222, 226, 232-233, 246, 248, 256-257, 259, 261, 264, 286, 288 historicist, 85 historicity, 5, 221, 224 historiography, 55, 213, 220, 224-226 history, V, 1-3, 7, 10-11, 13, 16, 23, 28-31, 36, 40, 42-47, 49, 51-59, 63, 65–68, 72, 87, 89, 91, 94, 96– 101, 121, 157–158, 161, 170, 174, 184, 186, 191, 201, 213–215, 219, 223-224, 227, 231-234, 244, 247-248, 251-252, 262-263, 275, 287, See ahistorical, critical history, evolutionary history, historian, historicism, historicity, historiography, overhistorical, prehistoric, prehistory, superhistorical, suprahistorical, time, unhistorical. Hölderlin, F., 215 holistic, 161, 216, 225 Homer, 42, 203 homogeneity, 29, 94 homogenization, 136 homogenous, 30, 255 hope $(\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\hat{\zeta})$, 40 Houlgate, S., 120, 143 human animal, 15, 164, 170–171, 174, 176, 178-183, 186-188 human existence, 151, 175–176, 179, 186 humanism, 232, 240, 243, 251, 255 humanitas, 240, 259 humanity, 64-66, 92, 94, 96, 116, 119, 201, 255, 260, 262 humankind, 94, 170–175, 182, 184 Humboldt, A. von 214 hypostases, 125

Ι

idealism, 76, 79-80, 82, 104 idealization, 234, 237 identity, 27, 102, 106, 114, 120, 126, 127, 135, 233, 237, 257 illness, 138, 169, 174 illogical, 136

illusion, V, 1, 5, 43, 51, 102-103, 119, 199-200, 223, 281-283, 286 illusory, 3, 7, 121, 124, 199, 278, 285 imagination, 47, 233, 260 immanence, V, 1, 155, 175, 198, 201, 280immoral, 85, 238, 261 immoralism, 172, 232-236 impermanence, 3, 113 impulse, 49, 65, 195, 201, 213, 223, 226, 244, 281 incoherent, 36, 81, 82 inconsistency, 8, 118, 119-122, 141 incorporated, 6, 10, 109-110, 131, 133, 140 indeterminacy, 3, 123 indetermination, 120, 128 indifferent, 29, 39, 136 individualism, 17, 193, 233, 238-240, 253, 255, 261, 263 inheritance, 67, 70-71, 77, 233, 237 innocence of becoming 115 119 with permikantianism 177 792008 inorganic, 131-134 instinct, 93-94, 97, 104, 140, 164, 167, 171, 175, 222, 224, 257, 283 instinctive, 58 intellectual, 53, 172, 231-232, 239-240, 244-245, 263, 281 intelligence, 36 intentionality, 92-93, 105, 122, 130-131 interconnected, 35, 276 interdetermination, 128 interpretationism, 132 intersubjectivity, 306 interweavings, 23, 30 intoxication, 124 intuition, 76-77, 134, 224, 286 involuntary, 47, 161 irony, 276 irrational, 247 irreducibility, 160-161 isomorphic, 129 J

Jacobs, A., 234, 268 Jahn, O., 213-228 Janssen, E. M., 232, 234, 239, 251-252, 255, 257, 261, 268

Janz, C. P., 244, 268 Jeismann, K.-E., 254, 268 Jelavich, P., 263, 268 Jensen, A. K., X, XI, 16, 18, 213-229 Jewish, 27, 31, 174, 245 Joël, K., 248, 268 Judeo-Christian, 7, 85 judgement, 37, 44, 46, 96, 132, 195, 198–199, 220, 223, 225, 236, 239, 257-258, 261 Jung, M., 248, 268 justice, 15, 29, 83, 115, 173, 202, 223, 236, 254, 296

Κ

Kaegi, W., 231-239, 244-248, 251, 256, 258, 261, 268, 272 Kahan, A., 236, 268 Kant, I., 13, 47-48, 75-85, 89, 110, 135, 143, 160, 275, 280, 284-287, 305-306 Katsafanas, P., 127, 143 Kitcher, P., 64, 73 Knobe, J., 10, 18, 132, 143 knowability, 8 knowable, 81 knowledge, 11, 35, 39-40, 48-49, 52, 75, 79, 83, 92, 100–101, 106, 150, 209, 215, 242, 275, 279–284, 287 Körner, E., 233, 269

L

La Rochefoucauld, F. de, 247, 266 Lachmann, K., 213, 216-218, 221-222 Lacoue-Labarthe, P., 276, 289 Ladwig, P., 240, 269 Laland, K., 67, 73 Lamarck, J.-P. de, 13, 64-71 Lange, F. A., 285 Langer, S., 304, 307 language, 11, 14, 26, 44, 65, 93, 114, 120-123, 125, 128-130, 133, 142, 157-159, 201, 209, 214, 226, 262-263, 275–277, 282, 284, 288, 292, 295, 306 Large, D., 145, 241, 269

laughter, 185 law, 10-11, 15, 25, 67, 98, 127, 191-209, 256 law-givers, 198, 204-206 Lecky, W. E. H., 25, 27, 32, 66, 74 legislator, 192, 199, 203-204 legislators, 192, 204, 209 legislator-types, 192 Leiter, B., 10, 18-19, 33, 132, 143, 144, 166, 169, 183–184, 190 Leo, H., 234, 236, 269 leveller, 206 levelling synthesis, 138 liberalism, 260 liberty, 172, 234, 236, 238, 239, 240 Lichtenberger, H., 266 life as lived, 15, 156 life-affirmation, 15, 26, 150-156, 161, 168–169, 171–172, 180–181, 184, 188, 199, 200, 203 life-as-becoming, 15, 191 life-denying, 152-154, 166, 169, 171 ith permiss 250, 278, 285-30708 260 listen, 295, 297, 304 listener, 17, 160, 244, 292, 296-297, 301-303, 307 literal, 5, 149, 154, 156–162 literality, 14, 149, 158 Loeb, P. S., IX, XI, 15, 18, 163–190 logic, 7, 9–10, 117, 127, 134, 137– 142, 165, 167–168, 188, 287 logic of alternatives, 137 logocentric, 276 Lothar, R., 262-263, 269 love, 27, 116, 135, 139, 142, 154, 164, 172-173, 177, 197, 201, 207-209, 260, 296 Löwith, K., 256, 269 Luther, M., 16, 241, 243–244, 248– 251, 265, 268 М Machiavelli, N., 237, 239, 242-243,

256-258, 271 Macht, 142-144, 200, 210, 241 macro-teleological, 3-4 Magee, B., 296, 307 maladaptive, 68 Mann, Th., 244, 262, 266, 269-270 Mannhardt, W., 25, 32 Marti, U., 254, 269 Martin, A. von, 232, 237, 239, 245, 248, 258–259, 263 martyrdom, 27 Marx, K., 235 mask, 54, 135 mass, 171, 255, 262 massification, 254 master, 125, 130-131, 170, 224, 226, 259 materialism, 236 mathematical symmetry, 292 Mattioli, A., 245, 269 Maurer, R., 232, 269 McGinn, C., 10, 18 meaning, 3, 13-14, 28, 41-44, 48, 57, 59, 63, 65, 68, 83, 91, 94–95, 117– 118, 120–121, 130, 149–157, 160– 161, 164–166, 169, 171–188, 192, 194, 197–198, 200, 207, 221, 233, measures, 17, 151, 292-301 mechanism, 66, 78, 91, 94 medievalism, 244 Melodie, 292 melody, 17, 292, 295-296, 301 meme, 70, 72 memetics, 64, 72-73 memory, 87–90, 97–102, 105, 179– 180, 188, 197 meta-belief, 7 metabolism, 304 metaphor, 27, 157, 283, 302-305 metaphysician, 123, 219, 287 metaphysics, 7, 17, 55, 77, 84-85, 120, 123-124, 127-129, 139, 142, 197, 200, 247, 275–285, 303 Methodenstreit, 228 methodological, 13, 16, 68, 216, 225, 252 Meyer, E., 30, 32, 272 Michelangelo, B., 257-258 Michelet, J., 238, 269 micrologists, 222 micro-teleological, 3 Middle Ages, 235, 242, 251–252, 255, 259, 264 Mill, J. S., 53

mimetic, 158-161 mind, 10-13, 43, 66, 75, 78, 80, 82-84, 92, 98, 100, 115, 127, 131–132, 137, 154, 163, 165, 184, 199, 226, 250, 262, 281, 284, 286 mind-brain-world state, 11 Mithras, 26 mixing, 12, 29, 31, 32 mixture, 108, 256, 276 mnemonic, 179, 182, 185 mnemotechniques, 89, 179 modern, 29, 32, 40, 45, 47, 52-55, 58, 70, 87, 160, 171, 174, 192, 196, 199, 213, 233, 235-238, 240, 243, 246, 250, 254-255, 257-261, 287, 291 modernity, 31, 52, 196, 201-202, 209, 236-237 modesty, 96, 260 Molner, D., 247, 269 moment, V, 3, 69, 71, 91, 102, 109, 126, 132, 136, 176, 179-180, 185, ith permiNehamas, AA/15, 17, 176-180, 190, 187-189, 244, 262, 281, 284 momentum, 107 Mommsen, W. J., 51, 256, 269 Mongolian, 24 monism, 11, 113, 134, 137 monstrosity, 258 Montaigne, M. E. de, 247, 269, 271 monument, 104, 257 monumental, 16, 51, 213, 221, 224-226, 252, 257 Moore, G., 115, 123, 143 morality, 7, 15, 36, 53, 59, 65-68, 72-73, 95, 98–99, 102–103, 108, 114, 165, 191, 194-196, 204-206, 209, 231-232, 241, 259, 260, 262, 295 moralization. 39 mosquito, 136 motion, 1, 130, 247 Müller, C. W., 213, 217, 228, 268 Müller-Lauter, W., XIII, 125, 144 multiplicity, 32, 73, 120, 135, 139, 194, 202-203 mummification, 7, 121, 224 music, 17, 27, 37, 159, 178, 201, 218, 226, 241-244, 249, 253, 287, 291-292, 295-296, 297, 301-304, 306

mutually exclusive, 5-6, 9, 12, 136-137, 140, 142 mysterious, 201, 256, 283, 287, 306 mystery, 26 mystical, 285 myth, 39, 140, 160, 186–188, 285–288 mythopoetic, 157

Ν

Naake, E., 254, 269 Nägeli, K. W., 28, 32 Nancy, J.-L., 10, 18 narrative, 17, 41, 43-44, 64, 131, 176, 185, 260, 277, 286, 292 nationalism, 233 nations, 11, 91, 283 natural science, 4, 52-53, 55, 65, 72, 76, 78, 81, 115, 117–118 naturalisation, 194 naturalism, 13, 63-65, 73, 75, 78-79, 151, 282 233, 262, 269, 275-276, 289 neither space nor time, 77 neither-nor, 11, 138 neo-Romantic, 232 neurobiological, 11 neuroscience, 11 Newtonian physics, 76 Niebuhr, B. G., 51 Nietzscheanism, 262 nihilism, 7, 9, 11, 14, 52, 57-58, 104, 107, 113–119, 127–129, 135, 137, 140-142, 170, 172, 181, 196, 204, 307 nobility, 29, 225 noble, 196, 223, 225, 234, 248, 250, 255, 258-260, 264 nomadic, 91 non-Christian, 3 non-circularity, 39 non-contradiction, 10 nondualist, 11 non-literary, 12, 42 non-mythic, 12, 42 non-permanent, 7 non-reductive, 13-14, 114, 132 non-sensory, 5-6 non-static, 7

non-theological, 12, 42 non-traditional, 12 Norbrook, D., 251, 261, 269 Nordic, 244 norm, 170 normative, 152 nothingness, 155-156, 164, 172, 176, 181-182, 187 noumenal, 81, 85, 124 novelistic model, 156

0

objectivity, 4, 52–54, 58, 104, 117, 239, 305 observable facts, 42 observation, 38, 157, 256, 261, 275, 284 observer, 138, 263 Odysseus, 24 Oehler, M., 279-280, 289 Oldenberg, H., 28, 32 authors copy with permipatadigmyshiftdl1720198 Olympus, 160 omnipotence, 203 omnipresent, 179 oneness, 115, 135-139 ontological, 3, 5-7, 121, 123-124, 127, 276 ontology, 7, 14, 75, 113–114, 117, 122, 128, 134, 142, 191, 194 oppositional, 136, 151 optimism, 12, 17, 39, 41, 47, 49, 225, 287 optimistic, 3, 39–40, 246–247, 282 orality, 158 orderliness, 24 orders, 251, 254 organic, 3, 29, 91, 93, 95, 117, 131-134 organism, 10, 68, 71, 94–95, 132, 134, 142 organs, 92–93, 134 originality, 36, 89 origins, 23-25, 31, 48, 65, 68, 90, 233, 237, 280, 282 Orsucci, A., IX, XI, 12, 19, 23-33, 249, 251, 270 oscillation, 3 Osiris, 26 otherness, 139, 153

Ottmann, H., 31–32 Overbeck, F., 27, 31-33, 184 overcoming, 6, 29, 109-110, 114-115, 141, 151, 155, 173-174, 182, 192-193, 196, 207, 222, 241, 261, 287 overhistorical, 51

Р

pagan, 25, 27, 30, 257, 259 pain, 6, 11, 89, 99, 117, 207, 256 painful, 6, 118, 197, 221 painter, 57 painting, 238 Panizza, O., 262-263, 270 panlogicist, 3 panpsychism, 83, 131 papacy, 250-251, 257 paradigm of becoming, 116, 128, 130, 133, 138-140 paradigm of being, 4, 114, 116, 129, 134, 137 paradoxical, 76, 129, 166, 281 Parmenides, 4, 79, 85, 137 parody, 276 particle, 215, 221 particularism, 15, 194-195, 206, 209 partisanship, 45 passion, 101, 202, 222, 246 passive, 72, 205, 207 Pastor, L., 231-232, 251, 263, 270 paternalism, 236 pathological, 45, 236 pathos, 118, 126, 244, 259 Patroclus, 39 peace, 202, 231 peacefulness, 261 Peloponnesians, 42, 44 penalty, 40 perception, 71, 84, 131, 203, 209, 247, 280, 283–284, 302, 306 perfection, 64, 66, 155, 185, 195–197, 215 perfectionism, 15, 195 performative sense, 158-159 permanent, 3-7, 113, 118, 261, 279 Pernet, M., 251, 270 perspectival, 10, 130-132, 142, 154, 306

perspective, 10-11, 17, 32, 71, 104, 127, 130-133, 137, 142, 158, 167, 168, 194, 199, 206, 221, 224, 244, 246, 261, 275, 302 perspectivism, 75, 83, 131, 150, 275 pessimism, 3, 12, 39, 47, 124, 155, 183, 225, 241, 256, 283 pessimist, 12, 48, 57, 168 Petersen, E., 217, 228 petrifaction, 292, 297 phantasmal, 1, 126 phantasms, 137 phantom, 278, 281 phenomena, 12, 23-24, 28-31, 63, 65, 72, 75, 123, 138, 278, 284, 286 phenomenal, 76-81, 85, 125, 128 phenomenalism, 82 phenomenological, 11, 123-124, 126-127, 135, 139, 141 phenomenology, 123-125, 135, 139 phenotypic, 71 philhellenist, 233 263 ors copy with permissiest, 58, 90, 97, 171, 174, 250, 253 Philistius, 44 philologist, 23, 57, 59, 219, 222, 224, 252 philology, 16, 29, 214-227 physical, 81, 126, 128, 132, 138, 174, 260, 279, 282 physicalism, 131 physiological, 18, 70, 123–124, 166, 170, 304 physis, 198, 200 plants, 25, 91 Plato, 12, 35-49, 58, 89, 96, 137, 159, 164, 198, 247 Platonic-Aristotelean-Kantian tradition, 48 Platonism, 26 pleasure, 287 Pletsch, C., 232, 270 pluralism, 15, 191, 194–195, 203–207 Poellner, P., 123-124, 126, 144 poetry, 12, 41, 128, 159, 257, 278 polemic reversal, 8, 10 political, 43, 45-46, 49, 52, 151, 202, 204, 217, 232, 234, 236-240, 246, 254-258, 263, 281, 283, 291 Politycki, M., 215, 228

Porter, J. I., 17, 125, 144, 220, 228, 276-285, 289 Pöschl, V., 224, 228 positivism, 14, 42, 46, 55-58, 104, 154, 215, 222, 303 power, 13-14, 25, 27, 29, 40-41, 66-71, 84, 92–94, 98–110, 117, 123, 125-131, 151, 153, 160, 170, 179, 184, 186, 189, 191-192, 196, 200-208, 225, 234–243, 256, 261, 264, 278 powerless, 187 pragmatic, 237 prayer, 27 predictability, 6, 49 prediction, 222 prehistory, 25, 89 prehuman, 179 presence in us, 104 pre-Socratic, 41, 46, 191 pride, 88, 103, 107, 109 Priest, G., 10, 19, 90, 97, 140, 144, 171, 250, 253 primeval times, 94 primeval training to remember, 100 primitive, 12, 24-28, 35, 47, 88, 97 primordial, 13, 76-77, 99-100, 120, 249, 283 primordial unity, 76, 283 principium individuationis, 16, 75, 248 prison, 40 prisoner, 175 processes, 11, 28, 75, 80-82, 92-96, 103, 108, 126, 128–131, 142, 205 productivity, 16, 136, 238, 255 profanity, 239 progress, 52-53, 57, 64, 66, 70, 155, 171, 247, 260 progressus, 28 proletarian revolution, 236 promise, 53, 88, 97-98, 106, 108, 259, 262 properties, 2, 9, 81, 84 propositional, 40, 67, 128, 150, 177 protension, 7 proto-human, 102 proto-intentional, 14 protoplasm, 131

protozoan, 126 providence, 155, 177–178, 180 psyche, 37 psychoanalysis, 73 psychological, 16, 37, 78, 89, 94, 129, 149, 159, 173, 221, 223, 225–226, 231, 234, 247, 252–253, 291 psychologist, 66 psychology, 10, 39, 47, 66, 80, 95, 149, 159, 167, 278 punishment, 25, 53, 89, 92, 95, 171, 186–187 purposeless, 76 purposive, 47 purposiveness, 93, 104

Q

 quale, 11
 238, 240, 251, 261, 27

 quality, 222, 224–226, 256
 religious, 25–27, 30, 40, 4

 quality, 222, 224–226, 256
 150, 155–156, 196, 23

 quanta, 126–130
 279, 281–282

 quarks, 81
 religious ceremonies 278

 quattrocento, 16, 235, 243–244, 248, 254, 257–258
 197

 Quine, W. V., 10, 135, 138, 144
 197

 Renaissance, X, 16, 19, 3
 117, 174, 231–271, 28

R

radical becoming, 120, 128, 151 radicalism, 256 radicalization, 116-117 Ranke, L. von, 51, 261, 267, 270 ratiocination, 41 rational, 3-4, 6, 39, 41, 113, 151, 153, 159, 305 rationalism, 38, 40, 49, 247 Rausch, 124 reactive, 13, 52, 72-73, 95 realism, 36, 45, 78, 122, 127, 132, 258 reality, 2, 4-5, 10-11, 36, 46, 54, 58, 81-82, 84-85, 113, 118, 120, 123, 127, 132, 138, 140, 159, 160, 179, 189, 223, 278–279, 305–306 recitative, 292 recurring, 119, 181 redemption, 14, 26, 28, 152, 175, 177-281

reductionism, 65, 73, 222 reductive, 10, 63-64, 132, 137, 151 Reformation, 91, 244, 249, 250-251, 259-260, 265, 268 Reformator des Lebens, 199 Reginster, B., 10, 19, 181, 190 regulative fiction, 8, 10 regulative rule, 10 Rehm, W., 233-234, 239, 262, 270 Reibnitz, B. von, 245, 270 Reinhardt, V., 263, 270 relational, 126, 209 relations, 8, 13-14, 30, 81, 84, 113-114, 122–130, 138, 142, 154, 157, 191-192, 202, 209, 280 relativism, 135-136 religion, 92, 98-99, 102-103, 151, 238, 240, 251, 261, 279, 281, 283 religious, 25-27, 30, 40, 46, 52, 104, 150, 155–156, 196, 234–236, 260, 279, 281-282 remedy, 6, 117–119 remember, 87-88, 96-102, 106, 182, 197 Renaissance, X, 16, 19, 30–32, 53, 91, 117, 174, 231-271, 286 Renan, E., 25-27, 33, 56 repetition, 149, 154–156, 180, 187, 189 replicative, 93-94 replicator, 70 republican, 17, 234, 236, 238, 240, 255 republics, 240 resentful, 90, 92 resentment, 57 resistance, 47, 64, 151, 207-209, 261 responsibility, 48, 95, 103, 108–109, 194, 234 Ressing, G., 241, 270 restlessness, 221 retension. 7 retrospective stance, 14, 98-110 revaluation, 52, 56-57, 59, 140, 150-151, 225 revenge, 27, 88, 98, 153, 181 revolution, 52 revolutionary, 68, 184, 236, 256, 258

rhetorical, 36, 44, 139, 158 rhetorician, 45 rhyme, 295 rhythm, 17, 292, 295-297, 301-307 Ribbeck, O., 217, 228 Richardson, IX, XI, 13, 19, 78, 85, **87–111**, 125, 130–133, 143–144, 176, 190 Richerson, P., 72, 74 rigidity, 137, 205, 207 Ritschl, F., 58, 213, 216-218, 220, 226.228 Ritter-Santini, L., 262, 270 rituals, 25 Rockwell, W. T., 10, 11, 19 Roeck, B., 263, 266, 270 Rohde, E., 33, 214, 220, 228, 232 Roman, 221, 234, 244, 265 Romantic, 121, 128, 219, 234, 239, 244 Romanticism, 104 Ross, W., 241, 244-245, 247, 249, with permisecularized world-views 114 264.270 Rossi, R., 232, 270 Ruehl, X, XI, 16, 19, 231-272, 288 Ruhstaller, P., 232, 270 ruling caste, 257 Rumohr, C. F. von, 234–236, 238, 270 Rumsfeld, D., 41 Russian, 297

S

Sach-Philologie, 16, 215, 227 sacred, 158 sacrifice, 137, 165 sacrilegious, 300 Sadie, S., 292, 296, 307 Salaquarda, J., 213–214, 228, 242, 244, 249, 265 Salin, E., 232, 245, 271 salvational, 14, 154-155 sameness, 136, 208 Sandys, J. E., 215-218, 228 Sautet, M., 256, 271 sceptic, 40, 78, 122 scepticism, 48, 77, 246, 264 Scheidekunst, 140 Schein, 3, 39, 137 Schieder, Th., 241, 271

Schiller, F. von, 135, 143, 196, 215, 234, 240, 259, 266, 284, 288 Schlechta, K., 232, 271 Schlegel, F. von, 121, 214 Schlegel, A. W. von, 214 Schmerzbringerin, 117–118 Schmidt, M., 254, 268, 271 scholastic factions, 16, 220 Schopenhauer, A., 2-3, 13-19, 40, 75, 77, 79, 82, 89, 115, 121, 124, 155, 160, 167-168, 171, 190, 192-204, 209-210, 225, 233, 241, 247, 248, 267-268, 271, 275-289 science, 4, 7, 10, 13, 17, 36, 42-43, 46-48, 52, 54, 59, 65, 72, 76, 78, 81, 91, 96, 99, 103, 106, 110, 117-118, 220, 238, 246, 264, 275, 282-283, 286, 287 scientism, 236, 260, 287 scriptural, 155 secularization, 240, 250-251, 264 secularizers, 235 security, 236, 240, 261 sedimented layers of the past, 91 Sein, 4, 6, 28, 32, 122, 137, 197, 267 Sekurität, 236, 260 Selbstbewusstsein, 133 Selbstmord, 37 selected-designed, 95, 97 selection, 64, 67, 69-71, 93-94, 96-99, 108, 131, 133, 141, 183, 213 selective, 16, 72, 77, 93, 97, 107, 109, 139, 153, 162, 184, 225, 284 self, 8, 17, 54, 99, 102, 106, 127, 131, 133, 137–138, 176, 180, 185, 189, 191, 197, 202, 235, 255, 260, 264 self-knowledge, 91, 197 self-legislation, 15, 192-197, 200, 204, 207-209 self-reflection, 4, 100 semantic, 5, 129 semblance, 137, 278 sensation, 92, 95, 201, 295 senses, 4-6, 26, 79, 85, 98, 117, 119, 121, 124, 137, 157-158, 164 sensorium, 115 sexual, 133 shame, 47, 201

shamelessness, 219 sick, 89, 95, 108, 167, 170-171, 174, 259 sickness, 170, 177 Siemens, H. W., IX, XI, 15, 19, **191**– 210, 215, 228 Simmel, G., 181, 190, 195, 210 Simonde de Sismondi, J.-Ch. L., 235, 271 simplicity, 24, 195 simplification, 131 simulation, 159 simultaneity, 10, 12, 114, 130, 133, 140, 142 simultaneity-thinking, 113, 134, 140, 142 Sisyphus, 15, 163, 186–189 Sittengeschichte, 32 Sittengesetz, 195, 256 Sittlichkeit der Sitte, 97 Skinner, Q., 35, 45, 50, 277, 289 slave, 95, 108, 232, 251, 260-261 with permistaticist worldview, 206811 slavish, 92, 206 Smith, J. H., 125, 144 sociability, 39 social, 14, 26, 43, 49, 64, 67, 73, 88, 93-109, 174, 208, 232, 235-237, 255 socialism, 260 socialists, 174 socialization, 89, 97 sociobiology, 68 sociology, 49 sociopolitical, 232, 236, 241, 253-254 Socrates, 13, 36, 38–42, 49, 79–80, 89, 135, 150, 163, 167, 286-287 Socrates who makes music, 49 Socratic, 17, 38, 42, 45, 49, 243, 248, 282-283, 287 Soll, I., 181, 188, 190 Sommer, A. U., 250, 261–262, 271 Sophocles, 41-42, 45, 219, 286 sorrow, 88, 90, 187 soul, 8, 27, 35, 104, 165, 171, 173, 197, 223, 225, 246, 264, 291, 302 soulless, 236 sovereign, 97, 202, 237 sovereignty, 103, 109, 253 spatiotemporal, 80

spectrum, 36, 134 Spencer, H., 13, 67-68, 72 Spengler, O., 30, 33 Spir, A., 79-80 spirit, 14, 29, 37, 44, 59, 149, 151, 215-216, 222, 226, 236, 240, 244, 250, 252, 263, 287 spiritual, 25, 30, 101, 104, 151-152, 173, 178, 244, 256 spirituality, 295 Sprache, 229 Staat, 268, 281, 289 stability, 1, 29, 115, 126, 133–134 stable, 3, 7, 15, 135, 151, 283, 302 Stack, G. J., 115, 144 Stadelmann, R., 239, 271 Stahl, H.-P., 40, 43, 50 Stambaugh, J., 123, 144, 213, 228 Staten, H., 126, 144, 276, 289 static, 1, 6, 8, 15, 127, 137 staticism, 1-11 Stierle, K., 233, 271 Stilkunst, 265 stimulant, 207-208 stimulus, 207, 237 stoic, 117, 247 stomach, 304 Storr, A., 297, 307 Stravinsky, I. F., 297, 300 Strawson, G., 10–11, 18–19, 131–132, 143 strength, 7, 18, 65, 94, 141, 160, 164, 208, 224, 260, 295, 304 structuration, 38 structureless, 128 struggle, 42, 69-70, 73, 98, 154, 170, 191, 199–200, 204, 208, 214, 220, 225, 235, 238, 264, 281 Sturm und Drang, 233 style, 1, 44–45, 259, 261, 285 subconscious, 54, 185 subjection, 205-207 subjective, 77, 84, 127 subjectivism, 137 subjectivity, 84, 127, 233, 240, 262 sublime, 172, 256, 282-283 substance, 3, 8, 35, 84, 123, 127, 129 substantiality, 125

substratum, 77, 84, 135 subterranean, 26 subtext, 261 suffering, 5-6, 70, 87-88, 95, 108, 118, 137, 150, 159, 164-175, 180-183, 247, 256, 279, 282 suicidal instincts, 15, 169, 182 suicidal nihilism, 15, 153, 166, 169 suicide, 15, 25, 27, 153, 163-172, 181, 184, 187 superhistorical, 31 superhuman, 15, 181, 183-186, 189, 257-258, 278 superman, 233, 262-263 superstitious, 25-26 suprahistorical, 103 suspicion, 27, 99, 208, 232 swimming and floating, 291-292 symbol, 26, 151, 173, 182, 187, 262 symbolic, 150, 160, 288 symmetrical, 208 symphonic, 202 authors copy with permissatemporal becoming circular time, symptom, 85, 164 system, 4, 7, 67, 71, 121, 133, 237, 254, 282-283, 285 systematic, 3, 12, 48, 113, 121, 192, 276

Т

taming, 7, 89, 170 tautology, 152 teleological, 14, 115, 130, 152, 154, 155 teleology, 55, 64, 92, 115, 121, 130 telos, 197 temperate zone, 53 temporal, 8, 12, 14, 80, 95, 114, 131-132, 142, 152–156, 191–193, 200, 304, 306 temporal movement, 152-155 temporality, V, 3, 17, 114, 130-133, 140, 142, 201 tension, 9-13, 29, 91, 126, 138, 166, 199, 209, 244 testimony, 157 theatre, 38, 131 theatrical, 159 Theognideian, 215 theologian, 27, 31

theological, 48, 65, 156 theology, 84 theoretical, 10, 36-37, 81-82, 99-101, 103, 109, 177-179, 188, 192, 199, 213-214, 226, 237, 243, 246, 287 theory, 9, 14, 26, 28, 39, 43, 63, 66, 70-79, 82, 90, 100-102, 109, 111, 123, 127, 131-134, 142, 158, 182, 220, 235, 306 therapy, 117 thing, 1, 3, 8, 28, 40, 44, 49, 53, 56, 67, 77, 83–87, 115, 126, 127, 168, 172, 197, 254, 284 thing-in-itself, 3-4, 17, 54, 75, 77, 78, 81-84, 280, 283-284, 303-304 Thompson, E., 10, 19 Thucydidean, 12, 37, 41, 44-47 Thucydides, IX, 12, 18, 35-50, 58-59 tightrope, 173 time. See absolute time, adaptation over time, aporia of time, conscious time, cyclic repetition, cyclical, diegetic time, eternal recurrence, eternal return, flux of time, historical time, ill-will towards time, infinite time, linear, non-circularity, novelistic model, permanent, pessimistic model, positivistic, power over time, reality of time, revenge against time and becoming, salvational, teleological, time for us, time itself, time signatures, time-atom theory. time-atom theory, 7 time-in-itself, 18, 303-306 timeless, 7, 23, 142, 248 Todte, M., 240, 271 togetherness, 139 tonic, 18, 292, 304, 307 Tooby, J., 73 torture, 171, 187 totality, 125, 133, 177 towardness, 93 Tracy, J. D., 244, 271 Traeger, J., 238, 271 tragedians, 243 tragedy, 12, 37, 45-47, 160, 219, 222, 226, 244, 247, 275-276, 286, 288

tragic, 150, 152, 155-156, 159-160, 187, 219, 243, 250, 286, 287 tragic finitude, 152, 155 transcendence, 150–152, 155, 196, 197 transcendent, 85, 115, 125, 175, 198, 275, 280 transcendental, 78-81, 110 transcendentally ideal, 77, 80-81, 85 transconsistent, 140 transformation, 6, 70, 155, 159, 199, 219.262 transgressive, 239, 256, 258, 263-264 transient, 137 transvaluation, 191, 209, 241-242, 250, 257, 259 transvaluative, 250, 259, 260, 262 trauma, 153, 155 Tristan, 292-301, 307 Troeltsch, E., 29-30, 33 trope, 157 tropical, 259 truth, 4-6, 9, 11, 36, 46, 48, 59, 77, 100-102, 104, 106-107, 110-111, 114, 116, 119, 122, 124, 131, 142, 151, 155, 159, 165–167, 174, 178, 185, 194, 199, 221, 223, 247, 251, 275, 279, 281 truthfulness, 39, 41, 48, 199, 249 Tugend, 242, 261 two-world metaphysics, 7, 121, 135 tyrannical, 203, 208, 237, 239-240, 255-256, 264 tyranny, 16, 78, 203, 207, 233, 255-256, 295 Ü überflüssig, 138 Übermensch, 135, 190 Uekermann, G., 262-263, 271 Ulfers, F., 215, 228 ultimates, 9 uncertainty, 6-7, 222, 256

unconscious, 11, 93, 129, 130-131, 133 undecidability, 158 undefinable, 28 unfreedom, 178, 208, 237 unhappiness, 281

unhealthy, 221, 305 unhistorical, 56, 103 unidirectional, 186 unification, 15 unified, 136, 186 unifying, 196, 202, 209 union, 139 uniqueness, 194 unitary, 26, 49 unity, 28, 76, 114–115, 121, 135, 136, 139, 142, 193, 202, 207, 287 universal, 48, 76, 92, 127, 191, 195, 204, 207-208, 254-255, 283, 287 universe, 48, 114, 131, 238 univocal, 157 unobservable, 81-82 unperceived posits, 82 Unschuld, 115 Unsinn, 6 unsinnlich, 6 unveiling, 174, 284 authors copy with permilsener, Hy, 23, 30, 2308 utilitarian, 239, 260 utility, 64, 66–68, 108 V Vacano, D. A. von, 258, 271 vague, 9, 305 value, 3-10, 13, 29, 32, 36, 42, 45, 53, 55, 63–68, 78, 96, 108, 110–115, 119, 121, 132, 139, 150, 154, 163-165, 168, 198, 199, 208, 214, 222-227 value of life, 163-164, 198 Vattimo, G., 122, 144 vector, 129 veil, 84, 117, 238, 280, 283-284

veiled, 197, 254 vengeful, 250 Versteinerung, 300

vice, 97, 129, 195, 223, 257, 301

violence, 16, 208, 223, 233, 241, 261, 263, 281 violent, 139, 141, 175, 231, 238, 240,

246, 255 virtue, 3, 41-42, 78, 93, 96, 168, 173, 242, 261, 286, 295 visual, 305 vital, 116, 139, 259-260

vitality, 235 Vivarelli, V., 247, 271 Vogt, E., 217, 228 Voigt, G., 240, 271 volition, 47, 129 Volpi, F., 242, 271 voluntary, 2, 15, 25, 27, 47 vornehm, 250 Vrba, E., 68, 73 W Wagner, R., XIII, XIV, 15–17, 37, 144, 192-193, 199, 200-204, 218, 220, 226, 228, 233, 241-254, 263-271, 278-307 Wahn, 281-287 Waldenfels, B., 10, 19, 138, 144 war, 16, 41–46, 196, 202, 233, 245, 259, 261, 283 warmth, 27 water, 9, 100 weak, 36, 41, 80, 208, 119, 169, 171, ith permission by WdG 2008 260 weakness, 36, 94, 135, 154, 260 Weber, M., 30, 33, 235, 237, 267, 272 Wechselbestimmung, 128 Weibel, O., 234, 272 Welshon, R., 118, 127, 129, 143-144 Wenzel, J., 236, 272 Werden, 6, 113, 121, 133, 144, 270. See also becoming. West, M. L., 30, 40, 50, 151 Wheeler III, S. C., 10, 19 whole, V, 1, 3, 8, 40, 43, 54, 56, 65, 79, 82, 89–90, 97, 101, 104, 115, 122, 125, 130-142, 165, 169, 177, 187, 193, 200, 216, 220–221, 225, 252, 278, 283–284, 296, 304 wholeness, 185 Widerfahrnis, 138

- Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, U. von, 214, 216, 218, 220, 227-229 will to power, 14, 27, 64, 69-70, 72,
- 83, 92, 111–115, 123–134, 141– 142, 150-153, 167, 171, 184, 195, 257
- Wille, 144, 289
- Williams, B. IX, 12, 18, 33-50, 64, 66, 74, 145

willing, 14–15, 79–80, 88, 90, 95, 100, 101-111, 118, 137, 154, 164, 176-187 wills, 14, 91-94, 132, 167, 186, 284, 305 Winckelmann, J. J., 246 Wirklichkeit, 18 Wolf, F. A., 51, 213-216, 223, 226, 229 Wölfflin, H., 258, 272 world-disclosive, 14, 149, 160 world-negating, 3 worldview, 2, 118 worthlessness, 199, 225 Wort-Philologie, 16, 215 Wotan, 226

Y

Young, J., 123, 144, 168, 177-180, 187, 190, 269

Zeeden, E. W., 239, 241, 272 Zeit, 199-200, 260, 264, 269, 272, 288 Zeitalter, 255 Zeitdauer, 300 Zuckert, C., 213, 229 Zugleich-Denken, 113, 134 Zukunft, 192 Zukunftsmusik, 296 Zukunftsphilologie, 214, 218

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008