

Information customization and food choice

Article

Accepted Version

Balcombe, K., Fraser, I., Lowe, B. and Souza Monteiro, D. (2016) Information customization and food choice. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 98 (1). pp. 54-73. ISSN 0002-9092 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aav033> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/40135/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aav033>

Publisher: Oxford University Press

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading
Reading's research outputs online

AJAE appendix for
Information Customization and Food Choice.

Authors: Kelvin Balcombe (k.g.balcombe@reading.ac.uk); Iain Fraser (i.m.fraser@kent.ac.uk); Ben Lowe (b.lowe@kent.ac.uk); Diogo Souza Monteiro (Diogo.Souza-Monteiro@newcastle.ac.uk) .

Date: April 2015

Note: The material contained herein is supplementary to the article named in the title and published in the American Journal of Agricultural Economics (AJAE).

Appendix

Analysis of Association Between ANA and AIR Data and Time Taken to Complete the Survey

We investigated this question by a series of regressions for the AIR data and beta distributed regressions for the probability of ANA. In each case a function of time taken to complete the survey, measured discretely ($t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, T$), was used as an explanatory variable for either mean AIR or probability of ANA ($f(t) = \mu_0 + \mu_1 t + \mu_2 t^2$). For each attribute, we took the mean rank or proportion of ANA for those completing the survey within a given time interval. In the case of AIR, the mean rank for those completing at t ($\bar{r}(t)$) was modelled as $\bar{r}(t) = f(t) + e_t$ where e_t is an error term and its variance was assumed inversely proportional to the square root of the number completing at time t (thus reflecting the approximate accuracy of $\bar{r}(t)$). For the case of ANA we modelled the two distributions of the proportions replying that they ignored an attribute as a beta distribution where the two parameters of the beta distribution were functions of time. In only one regression out of ten, (mean ranking of Diet) did we obtain a highly significant effect of time. Note, as we employed Bayesian methods significance means that a parameter is at least twice the size of the associated standard deviation. Moreover, the difference in time taken to complete the survey did not differ significantly between the group that replied consistently (with respect to ANA and AIR) compared to those who did not. Our overall conclusion, therefore, is that time taken to complete the survey was not a likely candidate for explaining ANA or AIR. However, time taken to complete the survey may still explain the level of noise in decision making and we investigate this further below.

Table A4: Sub-Sample Marginal Log Likelihoods

Model Specifications	MargLL
Model 1N: Mixed Logit (Normal)	-3093.71
Model 1L: Mixed Logit (Log-normal)	-3019.88
Model 1NT: Mixed Logit (Normal) + Time	-3093.43
Model 1LT: Mixed Logit (Log-Normal) + Time	-3024.74
Model 2N: Mixed Logit and ANA (Normal)	-2996.59
Model 2L: Mixed Logit and ANA (Log-normal)	-2935.54
Model 2NT: Mixed Logit and ANA (Normal) + Time	-2994.81
Model 2LT: Mixed Logit and ANA (Log-normal) + Time	-2936.92
Model 3N: Mixed Logit and AIR (Normal)	-2948.91
Model 3L: Mixed Logit and AIR (Log-Normal)	-2891.17
Model 3NT: Mixed Logit and AIR (Normal) + Time	-2949.01
Model 3LT: Mixed Logit and AIR (Log-Normal) + Time	-2890.79

Note: Models in bold are best by model type (1,2 and 3)

