

# Climate change impacts in the design of drainage systems: case study of Portugal

Article

Accepted Version

Modesto Gonzalez Pereira, M. G., Sanches Fernandes, L. F., Barros Macário, E. M., Gaspar, S. M. and Pinto, J. G. (2015) Climate change impacts in the design of drainage systems: case study of Portugal. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, 141 (2). 05014009. ISSN 0733-9437 doi: https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0000788 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/37936/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0000788 To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0000788

Publisher: American Society of Civil Engineers

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR



## Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

| 1  | Climate change impacts in the design of drainage systems –                                       |
|----|--|
| 2  | A case study for Portugal  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | Mário Jorge Modesto Gonzalez Pereira <sup>1</sup> ; Luís Filipe Sanches Fernandes <sup>2</sup> ; |
| 5  | Eduarda Maria Barros Macário <sup>3</sup> ; Sónia Morgado Gaspar <sup>4</sup> ; Joaquim Ginete   |
| 6  | Pinto <sup>5</sup>   |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | Final word version from:   |
| 9  | Pereira MG, Sanches Fernandes LF, Macário E, Gaspar S, Pinto JG (2015) Impact of                 |
| 10 | Projected Climate Change in the Design of Urban Stormwater Drainage Systems – A                  |
| 11 | Case Study for Portugal. J. Irrig. Drain. Eng., 141, 05014009.                                   |
| 12 | doi:10.1061/15(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0000788   |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Centre for the Research and Technology of Agro-Environmental and Biological Sciences, CITAB, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, UTAD, Quinta de Prados, 5000-801 Vila Real, Portugal; and IDL, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, Edifício C8, Piso 3, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal (corresponding author). E-mail: <u>gpereira@utad.pt</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Centre for the Research and Technology of Agro-Environmental and Biological Sciences, CITAB, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, UTAD, Quinta de Prados, 5000-801 Vila Real, Portugal; and Department of Engineering, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, UTAD, Quinta de Prados, 5000-801 Vila Real, Portugal. E-mail: <u>lfilipe@utad.pt</u>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> M.Sc. Graduate of Civil Engineering, Department of Engineering, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, UTAD, Quinta de Prados, 5000-801 Vila Real, Portugal. E-mail: <u>edu.mbmacario@qmail.com</u>
 <sup>4</sup> M.Sc. Graduate of Civil Engineering, Department of Engineering, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto

Douro, UTAD, Quinta de Prados, 5000-801 Vila Real, Portugal. E-mail: <u>snmorgado09@gmail.com</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Associate Professor in Regional Climate and Synoptic Meteorology, Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 217, Reading, Berkshire, RG6 6AH, United Kingdom; and Institute for Geophysics and Meteorology, University of Cologne, Pohligstr. 3, D-50969 Köln, Germany. *E-mail:* <u>j.g.pinto@reading.ac.uk</u>; and, <u>jpinto@meteo.uni-koeln.de</u>

#### 16 Abstract

This study aims to assess the necessity of updating the Intensity-Duration-Frequency 17 (IDF) curves used in Portugal to design building storm-water drainage systems. A 18 comparative analysis of the design was performed for the three pre-defined rainfall 19 regions in Portugal using the IDF curves currently in use and estimated for future 20 21 decades. Data for recent and future climate conditions simulated by a global/regional climate model chain (ECHAM5/MPI-OM1/COSMO-CLM) are used to estimate 22 23 possible changes of rainfall extremes and its implications for the drainage systems. The methodology includes the disaggregation of precipitation up to sub-hourly scales, the 24 robust development of IDF curves and the correction of model bias. Obtained results 25 26 indicate that projected changes are largest for the plains in Southern Portugal (5 - 33%)than for mountainous regions (3-9%) and that these trends are consistent with 27 projected changes in the long term 95<sup>th</sup>-percentile of the daily precipitation throughout 28 the 21<sup>st</sup> century. We conclude for the need to review the current precipitation regime 29 classification and change the new drainage systems towards larger dimensions to 30 31 mitigate the projected changes in extreme precipitation.

32

ASCE Subject Headings: Climate change, Hydraulic design, Drainage systems,
Precipitation, Portugal

Author keywords: IDF curves; Climate change; Drainage systems design; Extremeprecipitation.

#### 37 Introduction

The recent IPCC Special Report on Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and 38 Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation (IPCC 2012) have recently provided 39 evidence that the intensity of extreme precipitation may increase even in areas where 40 total precipitation decreases (Diodato et al. 2011; IPCC 2012). This implies shorter 41 42 return periods for extreme rainfall. In particular, the changes in the water cycle are likely change the frequency and intensity of floods and droughts for many parts of the 43 44 world (IPCC 2012); hence, the knowledge of the regime of heavy precipitation in regional terms both under recent and future climate conditions is critical (Beijo et al. 45 2005; IPCC 2012). 46

From the engineering point of view, empirical approaches are used to link extremes of precipitation with physical structures. The Intensity-Duration-Frequency (*IDF*) curves represent key information for the design of urban and building storm-water drainage systems, as they provide maximum precipitation intensity related to a given length and a given return period (Brandão et al. 2001). The *IDF* curves are mathematically described by the power law behaviour dependence of the precipitation intensity (*I*) of the duration (*t*),

54

55

$$I = a \times t^b \tag{1}$$

where *a* and *b* are the *IDF* parameters. In Portugal, the designers of building stormwater drainage systems work according to the Portuguese law (DR 1995), which stipulates the *IDF* curves developed by Matos and Silva (1986). However, long-term trends in rainfall intensities and the projected climate change in terms of the water cycle fosters the assessment of the impact of extreme precipitation in the current and future design of building storm-water drainage systems and the possible update of *IDF* curves (e.g. Fowler and Kilsby 2003 and Vasiljevic et al. 2012). Recently, the impact of
climate change on *IDF* curves have been assessed in several studies performed for the
U.S. and Canada (Mailhot et al. 2007; Peck et al. 2012; Zhu et al. 2012; Das et al. 2013;
Zhu 2013; Zhu et al. 2013). However, these studies did not assess the possible
consequences of such changes to the design of building storm-water drainage systems
as the one conducted in northern Europe, for the sewer system of Fredrikstad, Norway
by Nie et al. (2009).

Global circulation models (GCM) and regional climate models (RCM) are important 69 70 tools to study the impact of climate change on meteorological, chemical, hydrological 71 and hydraulic processes. Given pre-defined scenarios of the world development, these 72 models provide projections of different meteorological variables for possible future 73 conditions of the climate system (Meehl et al. 2007; Taylor et al. 2012). The GCMs 74 used for these climate projections have typically resolutions of 100-300 km, which is typically too low for direct use of model output in regional studies. Downscaling 75 76 techniques, using RCMs, statistical downscaling or a combination of both have been used to obtain results at a finer spatial and temporal time scale (e.g. Maraun et al. 2010). 77 78 Furthermore, model biases towards the real climate conditions must be adequately 79 assessed, interpreted and corrected before applications can be performed.

In the specific case of urban building drainage systems, the design requires knowledge of rainfall depth intensity values over short periods of time (between minutes to hours). On this assumption, it is necessary to use appropriate methodology capable of performing the disaggregation of daily precipitation depth in sub-daily and sub-hourly precipitation (e.g., Pui et al. 2012). A possible approach is the method of the fragments, introduced by Svanidze in the 1960s (Svanidze 1964, Svanidze 1980), as it is a commonly used method in the precipitation disaggregation (Sharif and Burn, 2007;

Arganis-Juárez et al. 2008) used to obtain the disaggregation coefficients presented in
Brandão et al. (2001), which is an official publication of the national Directorate of
Services of Water Resources with the results of the analysis of extreme precipitation in
Continental Portugal.

Several studies have assessed the impact of climate change on the design of drainage systems, using model simulations/climate scenarios, with converging results in different parts of the world towards increased precipitation intensity and the potential underdesigning of drainage systems (e.g. Nie et al 2009; Rosenberg et al. 2010; He et al. 2011; Rosenzweig et al. 2007). Some of these studies have provided evidence that the design of building storm-water drainage systems may be inadequate or at least under designed for future climate conditions.

The purpose of this study is the assessment of possible changes in the *IDF* curves and consequent designing of building storm-water drainage systems as a result of changes in the distribution of extreme values of precipitation intensity due to the projected climate change for Continental Portugal. Section 2 deals with description of the data and the methodology used in this study. Results are presented and discussed in section 3. Finally, section 4 is devoted to the conclusions.

104

#### 105 Material and Methods

#### 106 Database / Data analysis

107 In this work, the characterization of intense precipitation was substantiated with three 108 distinct databases. The first database consist of hourly precipitation time series obtained 109 from the database of the *Sistema Nacional de Informação e Recursos Hídricos* 110 [National System of Water Information and Resources] (SNIRH) for previously

selected weather stations, with the purpose of being representative of the three rainfall 111 112 areas defined in Matos and Silva (1986), (Fig. 1) and present in the Portuguese Regulation-decree n.º 23/95 of August 23 (DR 1995). The selection criteria were based 113 on longevity and quality the historical series of hourly precipitation values (Table 1). 114 Time series cover between 8.2 (Castelo Melhor) and 12 (Covilhã) consecutive years, 115 116 which corresponds to 72 000 and 93 000 records, and have less than 5% of missing 117 values, excepting for stations located in mountainous rainfall region C (Covilhã and Pega) but even so, with the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  largest number of observed values (92 860 and 118 84 430 records). 119

With the aim to characterize the spatial distribution of extreme daily precipitation over
Continental Portugal, a second database of observed daily rainfall used in this study:
The most recent 8.0 version of the E-OBS gridded dataset (0.25 degree regular lat-lon
grid), released in April 2013 by ECA&D (<u>http://www.ecad.eu</u>) project (Haylock et al.
2008).

125 Finally, the third database consists of precipitation data simulated by the COSMO-CLM 126 [COnsortium for Small-scale MOdelling and Climate Limited-area Modelling 127 Community] (Rockel et al. 2008) regional climate model (RCM). The simulations are 128 run with ECHAM5/MPI-OM1 boundary conditions for recent climate conditions (20C, 1961 – 2000) and for two SRES scenarios (Nakicenovic et al. 2000), A1B and B1 129 (2000 - 2100). The resolutions of the rainfall data is roughly 18 km  $(0.165^{\circ} \text{ latitude})$ 130 and 6-hourly. For this study, data for the spatial sub-domain defined between 36.6° N -131  $42.4^{\circ}$  N and  $6.2^{\circ}$  W –  $9.8^{\circ}$  W is considered as it encompasses Portugal and the nearby 132 133 areas. The COSMO-CLM model has demonstrated its capacity to model the weather and climate conditions, particularly temperature and precipitation, in different regions of 134 Europe. Furthermore, it has been used in several climate change studies analysing 135

changes of precipitation over Europe (e.g., Haslinger et al. 2012; Kotlarski et al. 2012)and specifically in Portugal (Costa et al. 2012).

138 Precipitation indices suggested by joint project Commission the for Climatology/Climate Variability and Predictability (CLIVAR)/Joint WMO/IOC 139 Technical Commission for Oceanography and Marine Meteorology Expert Team on 140 141 Climate Change Detection and Indices (Frich et al. 2002; Karl et al. 1999; Peterson 142 2005) are used to study climate change scenarios for precipitation extremes in Portugal (cf. also Costa et al. 2012). 143

144

#### 145 Aggregation and disaggregation of precipitation

The precipitation datasets described in the previous section are not available on time 146 scales adequate for this study. Consequently, it was necessary to use methods of 147 aggregation and disaggregation of precipitation, in order to have data of maximum 148 149 precipitation for the duration of 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h. The aggregation process consisted on the use of precipitation depth values 150 151 obtained for smaller sampling durations to calculate precipitation depth values for 152 higher durations. For example, having hourly precipitation depth, it is rather easy to obtain precipitation depth for 2, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h, simply by summing hourly values 153 154 that integrate the desired duration. This procedure was adopted for both databases (observed and simulated), for higher durations than the sample ones. The disaggregation 155 of COSMO-CLM daily data to sub-daily data, namely, 1, 2, 6 and 12 h, was performed 156 using the method of fragments or coefficients of disaggregation of the maximum 157 precipitation values. Fragments  $(w_i)$  are the fraction of daily precipitation that occurred 158 at a given hour of the day  $(h_i)$ , 159

$$w_{i} = \frac{h_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{24} h_{i}}$$
(2)

164

161 Consequently, the sum of the coefficients  $(w_i)$  for the 24 hours of the day is equal to the 162 unit. Then, to estimate the precipitation depth in each hour of the day  $(h'_i)$ , the 163 corresponding fragment  $(w_i)$  is multiplied by the daily precipitation depth (d),

$$h_i' = w_i \times d \tag{3}$$

This disaggregation procedure assures that the hourly precipitation estimation  $(h'_i)$  does 165 not change the total daily precipitation. The disaggregation fragments for 1, 2, 6 and 166 167 12 hours were estimated from the hourly observed data by using the Equation (2). Fragments for each duration were computed and sorted in descending order, revealing 168 that the arithmetic averages computed for 50, 100 and 200 highest values were very 169 170 similar, and were adopted as the values of the coefficients of disaggregation,  $w_i$ . This disaggregation procedure assures that the adopted fragments allow an adequate 171 estimation of the maximum precipitation depth for each duration while retaining 172 173 consistency with the observed data. The adopted coefficients of disaggregation  $w_i$  were then applied to daily simulated data by the COSMO-CLM model using Equation (3) to 174 175 estimate maximum precipitation depth for 1, 2, 6 and 12 hours for each cell of the model grid. This procedure was applied for to the recent and the future periods. The 176 inexistence of observed precipitation data for sub-hourly sampling time compel the 177 178 disaggregation precipitation process for these temporal scales to rely on the fragments obtained from the relationship between hourly and sub-hourly precipitation proposed 179 180 for Portugal by Brandão et al. (2001). These disaggregation coefficients are in good agreement with those proposed in the Guide to Hydrological Practices of the World 181 Meteorological Organization and studies performed by the Portuguese Meteorological 182 183 Institute.

#### 185 Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves

The development of *IDF* curves that consider future climate conditions is critical for the 186 187 adequate design of building storm-water drainage systems, as it would allow mitigating a possible change in the frequency and intensity of floods, and thus reducing the 188 damage associated with them. The methodology followed to develop the *IDF* curves is 189 equivalent to the estimation of the a and b parameters (Equation (1)), which includes 190 191 the: (i) computation of maximum precipitation intensity time series for each of ten durations (5, 10, 15 and 30 min and 1, 2, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h); (*ii*) fitting of the Gumbel 192 193 distribution function to those time series, which mean estimate the location  $(\mu)$  and scale ( $\sigma$ ) parameters in each case (cf. Coles 2001); (*iii*) estimation of precipitation 194 intensity for each duration and eight different return periods (2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 500 195 196 and 1000 years) using Gumbel inverse distribution function; (iv) representation of the precipitation intensity (mm/h) as a function of precipitation duration (min) in a log-log 197 198 plot to estimate the slope and intercept regression parameters. This last procedure 199 corresponds to linearization of the Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves (Equation (1)), 200

201

$$\log(I) = \log(a) + b \times \log(t) \tag{4}$$

which led to the estimation of the (*a* and *b*) *IDF* parameters with a statistical significance level of 5%. The quality of the linear regression fit is also assessed by the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), the *F*-statistic (p - value) and the error variance.

The adopted methodology is similar to that described in Brandão et al. (2001). The major differences reside, on the one hand, on the way of adjusting the Gumbel law to

the data (likelihood estimation, using evfit function of MATLAB) and assessing the 207 208 quality of the fitting, made in this case with the Quantile-Quantile plots and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (KStest) and the use of robust regression method (RR) to 209 210 estimate the *IDF* parameters *a* and *b*. Major difference of RR in relation to the ordinary least square method (OLS) used in Brandão et al. (2001) lies in the attribution of 211 weights to the observed points, as higher as the corresponding regression residual. A 212 213 deeper description of the RR method as well as the comparison with OLS may be found in Holland et al. (1977), Huber (1981) and Street et al. (1988). 214

Many different methods can be used to derive IDF curves, from the usual univariate 215 216 empirical analysis of the intensity of rainfalls at fixed time intervals, to bivariate 217 frequency analysis using the copula method (Ariff et al. 2012), partial duration series (Ben-Zvi 2009; Kingumbi and Mailhot 2010), multifractal approaches (Garcia-Marin et 218 219 al. 2013; Veneziano et al. 2013), ensemble empirical mode decomposition and scaling properties (Bougadis and Adamowski 2006; Kuo et al. 2013). Several studies 220 comparing methodologies have been conducted but generally all methods seem to be 221 able to produce accurate *IDF* estimates (Mohymont et al. 2004; Veneziano et al. 2007; 222 223 Dame et al. 2008). The type I extreme value, (EVI or Gumbel) distribution has been 224 used successfully in many recent rainfall intensity studies in Europe (Llasat 2001; Bara 225 et al. 2010; Olsson et al. 2012), Asia (Ariff et al. 2012; Ben-Zvi 2009; Ahammed and Hewa 2012), Africa (Kuo et al. 2013; Mohymont et al. 2004) and America (Lumbroso 226 227 et al 2011; Pizarro et al. 2012). EVI is currently the recommended distribution function 228 for use in Canada and the best choice for the estimation of *IDF* curves under changing 229 climate conditions (Das et al. 2013). Other distribution functions such as the general 230 extreme value type II (EVII or Fréchet) have also been used, e.g. to estimate the IDF 231 curves using short-record satellite data in Ghana (Endreny and Imbeah 2009).

The estimation of the *IDF* curves was performed for precipitation simulated by the 232 233 ECHAM5/MPI-OM1 / COSMO-CLM model chain for the grid cells including the 234 location of the six weather stations (cf. Table 1). With this aim, time series for 30 years period 1971 - 2000 for the C20 scenario, corresponding to the recent past weather 235 conditions, and for two future climate scenarios SRES A1B and B1, regarding the 236 periods 2011 - 2040, 2041 - 2070 and 2071 - 2100, were considered. In general, 237 238 climate models are not capable of accurately reproduce the observed precipitation. Several methods can be used to correct this bias, ranging from the more traditional 239 240 methods to the Delta Change approach, in order to include projected future changes in 241 some key precipitation statistics (Olsson et al. 2012; Pereira et al. 2013). The procedure 242 adopted here to correct the model bias is conditioned by just knowing the final values of the IDF parameters proposed in Matos and Silva (1986) and consisted of matching the 243 values of the parameters obtained by the robust regression method for C20 ( $a_{C20}$  and 244  $b_{C20}$ ) with the values ( $a_{MS}$  and  $b_{MS}$ ) proposed by Matos and Silva (1986) which are the 245 246 IDF parameters adopted by the Portuguese law (DR 1995). The correction factor of parameter a ( $\Delta a$ ) results from the difference between the logarithm of parameter  $a_{C20}$ 247 associated to scenario C20 and the logarithm of parameter  $a_{MS}$  from Matos and Silva 248 (1986),249

250

$$\Delta a = \log_{10} a_{C20} - \log_{10} a_{MS} \tag{5}$$

251

In turn, the corrective factor of parameter b ( $\Delta b$ ) results from the ratio between parameter  $b_{C20}$  associated to scenario C20 and parameter  $b_{MS}$  resulting from Matos and Silva's (1986) study,

$$\Delta b = \frac{b_{C20}}{b_{MS}}.$$
(6)

Afterwards, these same correction factors were applied to parameters  $a_{A1B}$  and  $b_{A1B}$ obtained for scenario A1B, proceeding as follows:

258

$$a_{A1B \ corr} = 10^{(\log_{10} a_{A1B} - \Delta a)} \tag{7}$$

259

260 and

$$b_{A1B\ corr} = \frac{b_{A1B}}{\Delta b} \tag{8}$$

261

which allowed obtaining corrected versions of parameters *a* and *b*. The same procedure was applied to intermediate results obtained for scenario B1.

The two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is used to compare the distributions of the 264 precipitation depth intensity simulated for future  $(I_{future})$  and recent climate conditions 265 266  $(I_{past})$ . The null hypothesis is that  $I_{future}$  and  $I_{past}$  are from the same continuous distribution, while the alternative hypothesis is that they belong to different continuous 267 distributions. In both cases, the *IDF* curves  $(I = a \times t^b)$  are used to generate I values 268 for different duration times, by resorting to parameters estimated for future 269 periods/scenarios after correcting climate model bias and proposed by Matos and Silva 270 (1986), respectively. 271

272

#### 273 Design of the urban building drainage system

The main goal of this study focuses on the comparison between the design of building drainage systems, based on the *IDF* curves proposed in Matos and Silva (1986) and the design based on *IDF* curves estimated for different periods of future scenarios for the three rainfall regions (A, B and C, in Fig. 1). For quantitative comparison purpose and sake of simplicity, specific residential roof drainage gutter and rainwater pipe were
considered as examples of building storm-water drainage systems to be designed. The
flows were calculated with the rational method equation,

281

$$Q = C.I.A \tag{9}$$

282

where, the contribution area (A) of the gutter is  $100.14 \text{ m}^2$ , whereas the area of the 283 devices that reach the rainwater pipe is 155.69  $m^2$ , the flow coefficient (C) used for 284 285 building coverings is equal to the unit while the precipitation intensity (I) was calculated according to the Equation (1) for a duration (t) of 5 minutes and a return 286 period (T) of 10 years. Parameters a and b used in the calculation of the precipitation 287 intensity follow the IDF curves established in the Regulation-decree n.º 23/95 (DR 288 1995), and those obtained for precipitation data simulated for future scenarios A1B and 289 B1, after correction of the climate model bias. 290

The gutter defined for conducting the storm water has a rectangular shape, with a base (*B*) of 20 cm and inclination (*i*) of 0.5%. It was dimensioned so that the height of the water depth (*h*) therein does not exceed 7/10 of the total height of the gutter. The Manning-Strickler's formula, Equation (10), was used with a roughness coefficient (*K*) of 90 m<sup>1/3</sup>/s, corresponding to metal plate. The hydraulic radius (*R*) and the area occupied by the fluid ( $A_f$ ), in the case of rectangular sections, are determined by the Equation (11) and Equation (12), respectively:

$$Q = K \times A_f \times R^{2/3} \times i^{1/2} \tag{10}$$

$$R = \frac{B \times h}{(B+2h)} \tag{11}$$

$$A_f = B \times h \tag{12}$$

The residential rainwater pipe was designed using the Manning-Strickler formula (10) for full section, roughness (*K*) of 120 m<sup>1/3</sup>/s corresponding to polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and inclination (*i*) of 2%. The hydraulic radius (*R*), in the case of a filled circular section, is given by Equation (13), where  $D_i$  is the internal diameter of the piping.

$$R = \frac{D_i}{4} \tag{13}$$

303

#### 304 **Results and discussion**

Before focussing in the *IDF* curves in three precipitation regions, we analyse the precipitation distribution and projected changes for Continental Portugal. With this aim, the long term (1961 – 2000) average of the annual maximum daily precipitation depth was computed based on the ECAD precipitation dataset (Fig. 2). The obtained spatial pattern is dominated by a region of very large values (>60 mm), located over NW Portugal. This pattern is quite different from the three rainfall areas configuration proposed by Matos and Silva (1986) and adopted by the Portuguese legislator (Fig. 1).

Then, to assess potential future changes on extreme precipitation regime, four extreme 312 313 precipitation indices were computed using the precipitation dataset simulated by COSMO-CLM for each of the 30-year periods for the C20, B1 and A1B scenarios, 314 315 namely: the total precipitation depth (PRCTOT); the long-term 95th percentile (PRC95p); the ratio between RR95pTOT and PRCTOT (R95T); and, the total 316 precipitation depth falling in days with daily precipitation amounts greater than the 317 corresponding *PRC95p* (*RR95pTOT*). The computations were performed taking only 318 319 into account wet days defined has days with precipitation depth above or equal to 1.0 320 mm.

Results obtained for simulated recent-past climate conditions (C20 scenario, 1971 -321 322 2000) over continental Portugal (Fig. 1) reveals high spatial variability in the total precipitation depth, with values ranging between  $11 \times 10^4$  mm in the NW part of the 323 country and  $1 \times 10^4$  mm, in the remaining area of the country (Fig. 3(a)) resembling the 324 configuration of the average annual maximum daily precipitation depth (Fig. 2). This 325 spatial pattern is also very similar to the long term 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the daily 326 precipitation depth (Fig. 3(b)), with highest values (as higher as 80 mm) located over 327 the NW quarter of country and in the southernmost region (of about 60 mm) and much 328 329 lower values (smaller than 45 mm) elsewhere.

330 The spatial distribution of RR95pTOT and R95T also helps to understand the regime of extreme precipitation in Portugal. The spatial pattern of RR95pTOT (Fig. 3(c)) is very 331 similar to the PRCTOT but, as expected, with much lower values. This means that 332 333 precipitation amount falling in the days of extreme precipitation assume higher values in the same regions where total precipitation depth is greater. The R95T, which is a 334 335 fraction (%) of total precipitation depth falling during extreme rainfall days, present a 336 pattern characterized by highest values (of about 30%) in the southern part of the country and (of about 23%) on NW part of the country and along the Tagus river basin 337 (Fig. 3(d)). 338

Differences between the *PRC95p* ( $\Delta PRC95p$ ) obtained for each of the 30-year period of the future climate change SRES scenarios (B1 and A1B) and for the recent–past climate conditions (C20 scenario) are displayed in Fig. 4. For the B1 scenario, positive differences of 7 to 10 mm may be expected in the NW region with smaller magnitudes elsewhere for the 2011-2040 period (Fig. 4(a)). The pattern for the following period (2041 – 2070, Fig. 4(b)) is characterized by generally smaller values (< 5 mm) while for the last 30-year period (2071 – 2100, Fig. 4(c)), resembles the 2011-2040 pattern except

in the southernmost area, where a decrease (of about -5 mm) in PRC95p should be 346 347 expected. This is a clear indication that natural variability on longer time scales may be 348 superimposed on the long-term trends of precipitation associated with climate change. For the A1B scenario and 2011 - 2040 period (Fig. 4(d)), a modest increase of the 349 *PRC95p* is revealed in all territory, except in small and sparse regions in NW part of the 350 351 country. For latter periods (Fig. 4(e) and Fig. 4(f)), the pattern of the  $\Delta PRC95p$  has the 352 same but amplified spatial configuration with values as high as +10 to 15 mm in the NW region, over the Tagus river basin and southern part of the country for the 2071 – 353 354 2100 period.

355 Next, time series for the six weather stations are analysed. Given the ten temporal durations, three 30-year periods (2011 - 2040, 2041 - 2070, and 2071 - 2100) for each 356 357 of the two future scenarios (A1B and B1) and one period for the recent past climate 358 conditions, a total of 420 time series is considered. The visual inspection of the Quantile-Quantile plots and the value of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical test (and 359 corresponding p - value) confirm the goodness of fit of the Gumbel distribution 360 function to all these time series. The probability density function for each scenario 361 suggests that the return period for a given amount of precipitation intensity decreases 362 363 for the three periods of the future scenarios vis-à-vis the period observed (not shown).

Values of the *IDF* parameters *a* and *b* used here were obtained by linear regression, for durations times between 5 min and 30 min and return periods of 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 years. The quality of the regression was confirmed by the values of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), *F*-statistic and by the estimated error variance. The  $R^2$  represents faction of the variance of the dependent variable (in this case, the precipitation intensity) by the linear model using the independent variable (in this case the duration). In all cases the values of  $R^2$  were always higher than 0.99, which means that more than 99% of the variance of intensity of precipitation can be explained by the variance of the duration. The values of the *F-statistic* are used to assess the tests of nullity of parameters for a given level of significance and it is reasonable to assume that the linear regression equation fits the data well because of the high value of the *F-statistic* and the p - values tends to zero for a significance level of 5%. Finally, the extremely small value of the estimated error variance obtained in all cases supports the idea that the regression line provides a good fit to the data.

378 The linear regression models based on the minimization of the squared error are based 379 on a number of assumptions which are not always verified, e.g. the non-normality of the residuals, the existence of an asymmetric distribution of errors and outliers. This fosters 380 381 the use of the RR method in this study, which constitutes an alternative approach that aims to be more robust and resistant than the OLS. Table 2 allows assessing changes in 382 the design of a residential gutter and rainwater pipe, as a consequence of variations 383 384 recorded in the IDF curves, as a result of the changes in the distribution of the 385 maximum precipitation values due to climate change. The determination of the design flow was performed on the basis of the values of precipitation intensities estimated from 386 the IDF curves for all time periods and both scenarios (after bias correction) and IDF 387 curves currently used in designing building drainage systems in Portugal, developed by 388 Matos and Silva (1986) and embraced by the Portuguese legislation (DR 1995). 389

Overall, there is a clear tendency to increase the size of gutters and rainwater pipes compared to the current dimensions defined in the Portuguese legislation (Table 2). However, changes are not uniform: For region A, the estimated increases in the height of the gutter for scenario A1B, range from 6% (2041 - 2070) to 11% (2071 - 2100) in the station of Ponte da Barca, to 30% (2011 - 2040) to 39% (2071 - 2100) in São Mancos. For region B, the estimated increase may vary from 11% (2041 - 2070) to 39%

(2071 - 2100) for Castelo Melhor and scenario A1B and from 12% (2041 - 2070) to 396 28% (2071 - 2100) for scenario B1. In the station of Pinelo, the design of the gutter 397 398 varies from -7% (2011 – 2040) and 18% (2071 – 2100) for scenario A1B, whereas, for scenario B1, it varies from 3% (2011 – 2040 and 2041 – 2070) and 10% (2071 – 2100). 399 400 In order to obtain an average value representative for the mid-21st century, averages 401 were built for each station over the three time periods, thus sampling decadal variability. 402 This results for example on an estimated average increase of 39% in São Manços and 9% for Ponte da Barca for the A1B scenario (both Region A). For region B, changes are 403 larger for Castelo Melhor (20%) than for Pinelo (5%) for both scenarios. On the 404 405 contrary, little differences are found for region C: ranges are 3% to 16% for scenario 406 A1B and from 3% to 9% for scenario B1 in the station of Covilhã, whereas for the station of Pega, it ranges from 4% to 16% and from 3% to 6%, respectively. 407 408 Furthermore, averages were built over both stations in each region and both scenarios to obtain values representative per region considering scenario uncertainty. Averaged 409 410 increase in Gutter dimension is likely to be higher in Region A (21%) than in region B 411 (12%) and in region C (7%).

412 The projected changes in the rainwater pipe size are essentially proportional to the 413 gutter (Table 2). Therefore, a detailed presentation of the results is omitted. The main 414 results are: (i) averaged changes in the rainwater pipe diameter increases from 4% in region C, to 7% in region B and 11% in region A; (ii) different behaviour in region A is 415 416 characterized by higher changes in the weather stations located in the southern (São Manços) than in the northern part (Ponte da Barca) and, in region B, at lower (Castelo 417 418 Melhor) than at higher altitude (Pinelo); (iii) higher homogeneity in the expected changes in mountainous region C and (iv) changes are typically smaller than for the 419 420 gutter.

In general terms, the estimated changes are projected to be largest at the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> 421 422 century and under the conditions of scenario A1B scenario. In region C, there is a clear 423 increasing trend in the changes (increases) in the size of these building storm-water 424 drainage systems when the results for the three consecutive 30-year periods are analysed, independently of the scenario considered. However, this behaviour is not 425 always observed in other regions where may even be expected decreasing trends (as in 426 427 São Manços, located in region A, for the conditions of scenario B1), probably associated with natural variability on longer time scales. The temporal evolution of 428 429 patterns of the 95th percentile, allow the interpretation of the results obtained for the 430 expected changes in the dimensions of the rainwater collecting organs. In the case of the 431 A1B SRES scenario, the expected changes in the size of the gutter and rainwater pipe 432 along the three 30-year periods are characterized by increasing long-term trends in the 433 Pinelo, Pega and Covilhã stations; for São Manços and Castelo Melhor, changes of similar magnitude are found for 2011 - 2040 and 2041 - 2070, which are enhanced for 434 435 2071 – 2100; For Ponte da Barca, a relative decrease is detected in the latter period at least for the B1 scenario (Table 2). As mentioned above, part of these relative changes 436 437 between periods may be associated with multi-decadal natural climate variability and 438 not with a long-term climate trend. These projected changes are consistent with the trends in the changes of *PRC95p* as discussed for the A1B scenario (Fig. 3). 439

Finally, it is important to underline that projected changes in the size of the building storm-water drainage systems are statistical significant at the 99% level in all cases except in the 6 cases (17%) identified by a dagger in Table 2 and for the station of Pega in the first 30-year period of the A1B scenario. It is important to underline that projected changes in all cases of rainfall region A are statistical significant (99%) and that statistical significance is higher in the end of the XXI century.

#### 447 Conclusions

This study aimed at discussing the current status of the *IDF* curves adopted by the 448 449 Portuguese Law and investigate the possible influence of projected changes in extreme precipitation in the current designing of building storm-water drainage systems. Patterns 450 451 of spatial distribution of annual maximum daily rainfall and extreme precipitation 452 indices, obtained from both observed and simulated data for recent past conditions, exhibit considerable variability and suggest the necessity to revise the results of Matos 453 454 and Silva (1986) included in the legislation (DR 1995), which divide Continental 455 Portugal in three homogeneous rainfall regions. This is the case even assuming the long-term stationarity of current precipitation regime. 456

457 The developed methodology to assess the impacts of projected climate change is well 458 grounded in literature and ensures the robustness and statistical significance of the 459 results. The comparison of the design carried out with the IDF curves outlined for future scenarios with the curves obtained by Portuguese law allowed estimating an average 460 461 increase of the gutter section and the rainwater pipe that is higher for region A (21% and 462 11%, respectively) than for region B (13% and 7%) and region C (7% and 4%). 463 Regarding the uncertainty for the three regions, estimated as the range between the two 464 stations, estimates were similar for region C, with maximum increases of 16% for the 465 gutter and 9% for the rainwater pipe. For region B, the estimates for gutter design varies between 18% and 39% and, for the rainwater pipe range between 10% and 21%. For 466 467 region A, estimates are larger for southern Portugal (São Manços) - of 40% for the gutter and 20% for the rainwater pipe – than for Northern Portugal (Ponte da Barca), 468 469 with 20% and 11% for the gutter and rainwater pipe, respectively. In spite of these 470 uncertainties, the sign of the trends are very consistent between regions and stations.

These tendencies are in line with the projected long-term changes of the 95th percentile (*PRC95p*) and other extreme precipitation indices for under future climate conditions which exhibit similar spatial patterns to the annual maximum daily rainfall. These results, together with the spatial distribution of rainfall and extreme precipitation indices seem to reinforce the suggested need to evaluate the precipitation regime classification performed in Matos and Silva (1986).

Most cases studies discussed above (cf. Tab. 2) identified statistically significant
differences between the dimensions of the building storm-water drainage systems
estimated for recent and future climate conditions. This is also the case for the nearfuture period of 2011 – 2041 and for the entire rainfall region A, which covers by far the
largest part of the mainland area.

482 In summary, the impact of projected climate change should be reflected in the overall 483 increase in the design of drainage storm-water drainage systems based on IDF curves as defined in Portuguese law for all scenarios and future periods analysed. Projected 484 485 changes are typically larger and increasingly statistical significant for the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, and the magnitude of the changes is larger for the scenario A1B than for 486 487 scenario B1. Current laws and regulations relating to the design and management of 488 hydraulic works may become out of date in the short term, given the increase in the frequency and intensity of extreme precipitation events. Therefore, the design of new 489 building storm-water drainage systems for Continental Portugal should be modified to 490 491 larger dimensions to mitigate the projected changes in extreme precipitation

492

#### 493 Acknowledgements

494 We thank the MPI for Meteorology (Germany), the WDCC/CERA database and the 495 COSMO-CLM community for providing the COSMO-CLM data. This work was

Union Funds (FEDER/COMPETE - Operational 496 supported by European Competitiveness Programme) and by Portuguese national funds (FCT - Portuguese 497 Foundation for Science and Technology) under the project FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-498 022692. We thank Sven Ulbrich (Univ. Cologne) for help with data handling, Sandra 499 500 Dias (UTAD), Fátima Ferreira (UTAD) and Cristina Costa (ISEGI) for discussions. We 501 acknowledge the E-OBS dataset from the EU-FP6 project ENSEMBLES 502 (http://ensembles-eu.metoffice.com) and the data providers in the ECA&D project 503 (http://www.ecad.eu).

504

#### 506 **References**

511

- Ahammed, F., Hewa, G. A. (2012). "Development of hydrological tools using extreme
  rainfall events for Dhaka, Bangladesh." *Water International* 37(1), 43-52.
  DOI: 10.1080/02508060.2012.645191
- 510 Arganis-Juárez, M. L., Domínguez-Mora, R., Cisneros-Iturbe, H. L., Fuentes-Mariles,

G. E. (2008). "Génération d'échantillons synthétiques des volumes mensuels écoulés de

- 512 deux barrages utilisant la méthode de Svanidze modifiée." Hydrolog. Sci. J. 53, 130-
- 513 141. DOI: 10.1623/hysj.53.1.130
- Ariff, N. M., Jemain, A. A., Ibrahim, K., Zin, W. Z. W. (2012). "IDF relationships using
- 515 bivariate copula for storm events in Peninsular Malaysia." Journal of Hydrology 470,
- 516 158-171. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.08.045
- Bara, M., Gaal, L., Kohnova, S., Szolgay, J., Hlavcova, K. (2010). "On the use of the
  simple scaling of heavy rainfall in a regional estimation of idf curves in slovakia." *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics* 58(1), 49-63. DOI: 10.2478/v10098-0100006-0
- Beijo, L. A., Muniz, J. A., Neto, P. C. (2005). "Tempo de retorno das precipitações
  máximas em Lavras (MG) pela distribuição de valores extremos do tipo I." *Ciência e Agrotecnologia* 29, 657-667. DOI: 10.1590/S1413-7054200500030002
- Ben-Zvi, A. (2009). "Rainfall intensity-duration-frequency relationships derived from
  large partial duration series." *Journal of Hydrology* 367(1-2), 104-114.
  DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.01.007

- Bougadis, J., Adamowski, K. (2006). "Scaling model of a rainfall intensity-durationfrequency relationship." *Hydrological Processes* 20(17), 3747-3757.
  DOI: 10.1002/hyp.6386
- 530 Brandão, C., Rodrigues, R., Costa, J. P. (2001). "Análise de fenómenos extremos,

em

Portugal

Continental."

532 <http://snirh.pt/snirh/download/relatorios/relatorio\_prec\_intensa.pdf> (Feb. 1, 2013).

intensas

531

Precipitações

- 533 Coles, S. (2001). "An Introduction to Statistical Modeling of Extreme Values."
  534 Springer, London, UK, 209 pp.
- Costa, A. C., Santos, J. A., Pinto, J. G. (2012). "Climate change scenarios for
  precipitation extremes in Portugal." *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 108, 217-234.
  DOI: 10.1007/s00704-011-0528-3
- 538 Dame, R. d. C. F., Teixeira, C. F. A., Terra, V. S. S. (2008). "Comparison of different
- 539 methodologies to estimate intensity-duration-frequency curves for pelotas rs, brazil."

540 *Engenharia Agricola* 28(2), 245-255. DOI: 10.1590/S0100-69162008000200005

- Das, S., Millington, N., Simonovic, S. P. (2013). "Distribution choice for the assessment of design rainfall for the city of London (Ontario, Canada) under climate change." *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering* 40(2), 121-129. DOI: 10.1139/cjce-2011-0548
- 545 Diodato, N., Bellocchi, G., Romano, N., Chirico, G. B. (2011). "How the 546 aggressiveness of rainfalls in the Mediterranean lands is enhanced by climate change."
- 547 *Climatic Change* 108, 591-599. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-011-0216-4

- 548 DR (1995). "Decreto Regulamentar nº 23/95 (Regulation-decree nº 23/95)." *Diário da*549 *República*, 194/95(I-B). <a href="http://www.dre.pt">http://www.dre.pt</a> (Feb. 1, 2013).
- Endreny, T. A., Imbeah, N. (2009). "Generating robust rainfall intensity-durationfrequency estimates with short-record satellite data." *Journal of Hydrology* 371(1-4),
  182-191. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.03.027
- Fowler, H. J., Kilsby, C. G. (2003). "Implications of changes in seasonal and annual
  extreme rainfall." *Geophysical Research Letters* 30, 1720-1723. DOI:
  10.1029/2003GL017327
- Frich, P., Alexander, L. V., Della-Marta, P., Gleason, B., Haylock, M., Klein Tank, A.
  M. G., Peterson T. (2002). "Observed coherent changes in climatic extremes during the
  second half of the twentieth century." *Climate Research* 19, 193-212.
  DOI:10.3354/cr019193
- Garcia-Marin, A. P., Ayuso-Munoz, J. L., Jimenez-Hornero, F. J., Estevez, J. (2013).
  "Selecting the best IDF model by using the multifractal approach." *Hydrological Processes* 27(3), 433-443. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.9272
- Haslinger, K., Anders, I., Hofstätter, M. (2012). "Regional Climate Modelling over
  complex terrain: an evaluation study of COSMO-CLM hindcast model runs for the
  Greater Alpine Region." *Climate Dynamics* 40, 511-529. DOI: 10.1007/s00382-0121452-7
- Haylock, M. R., Hofstra, N., Klein Tank, A. M. G., Klok, E. J., Jones, P. D., New, M.
  (2008). "A European daily high-resolution gridded dataset of surface temperature and
  precipitation." *J. Geophys. Res* (*Atmospheres*) 113, D20119. DOI:
  10.1029/2008JD010201

- He, J., Valeo, C., Chu, A., Neumann, N. F. (2011). "Stormwater quantity and quality
  response to climate change using artificial neural networks." *Hydrological Processes*25, 1298-1312. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.7904
- Holland, P. W., Welsch, R. E. (1977). "Robust Regression Using Iteratively Reweighted
- 575 Least-Squares." *Communications in Statistics: Theory and Methods* A6, 813-827.
  576 DOI: 10.1080/03610927708827533
- 577 Huber, P. J. (1981). "Robust Statistics." John Wiley & Sons New York, Hoboken, NJ,
  578 USA.
- IPCC (2012). "Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance
  Climate Change Adaptation." A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the
  Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Field, C. B., Barros, V., Stocker, T. F.,
  Qin, D., Dokken, D. J., Ebi, K. L., Mastrandrea, M. D., Mach, K. J., Plattner, G.-K.,
  Allen, S. K., Tignor, M., Midgley P. M., eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
  UK, and New York, NY, USA, 582 pp.
- Karl, T. R., Nicholls, N., Ghazi, A. (1999). "CLIVAR/GCOS/WMO workshop on
  indices and indicators for climate extremes: workshop summary." *Climate Change* 42,
  3-7. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-015-9265-9\_2
- Kingumbi, A., Mailhot, A. (2010). "Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves:
  comparison of annual maximum and partial duration estimators." *Hydrological Sciences Journal-Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques* 55(2), 162-176.
  DOI: 10.1080/02626660903545995

- Kotlarski, S., Bosshard, T., Lüthi, D., Pall, P., Schär, C. (2012). "Elevation gradients of
  European climate change in the regional climate model COSMO-CLM." *Climatic Change* 112, 189-215. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-011-0195-5
- 595 Kuo, C.-C., Gan, T. Y., Chan, S. (2013). "Regional Intensity-Duration-Frequency
- 596 Curves Derived from Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition and Scaling Property."
  597 *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering* 18(1), 66-74. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-
- 598 5584.0000612
- Llasat, M. C. (2001). "An objective classification of rainfall events on the basis of their
  convective features: Application to rainfall intensity in the northeast of Spain."
- 601 International Journal of Climatology 21(11), 1385-1400. DOI: 10.1002/joc.692
- Lumbroso, D. M., Boyce, S., Bast, H., Walmsley, N. (2011). "The challenges of
  developing rainfall intensity-duration-frequency curves and national flood hazard maps
  for the Caribbean." *Journal of Flood Risk Management* 4(1), 42-52.
  DOI: 10.1111/j.1753-318X.2010.01088.x
- Mailhot, A., Duchesne, S., Caya, D., Talbot, G. (2007). "Assessment of future change in
  intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curves for southern Quebec using the Canadian
  regional climate model (CRCM)." *Journal of Hydrology* 347(1-2), 197-210. DOI:
  10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.09.019
- Maraun, D., Wetterhall, F., Ireson, A. M., Chandler, R. E., Kendon, E. J., Widmann, M.,
- Brienen, S., Rust, H. W., Sauter, T., Themeßl, M., Venema, V. K. C., Chun, K. P.,
- 612 Goodess, C. M., Jones, R. G., Onof, C., Vrac, M., Thiele-Eich, I. (2010). "Precipitation
- 613 downscaling under climate change: recent developments to bridge the gap between

- dynamical models and the end user." *Rev Geophys* 48, RG3003.
  DOI:10.1029/2009RG000314
- Matos, R., Silva, M. (1986). "Estudos de precipitação com aplicação no projeto de
  sistemas de drenagem pluvial. Curvas Intensidade-Duração-Frequência da precipitação
  em Portugal." ITH24 LNEC, Lisbon, Portugal
- 619 Meehl, G.A., Stocker, T. F., Collins, W. D., Friedlingstein, P., Gaye, A. T., Gregory, J.
- 620 M., Kitoh, A., Knutti, R., Murphy, J. M., Noda, A., Raper, S. C. B., Watterson, I. G.,
- 621 Weaver, A. J., Zhao, Z.-C. (2007). "Global Climate Projections." Climate Change
- 622 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth
- 623 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Solomon, S.,
- 624 Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., Miller, H. L.,
- eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
  USA, 747-845
- 627 Mohymont, B., Demaree, G. R., Faka, D. N. (2004). "Establishment of IDF-curves for
- 628 precipitation in the tropical area of Central Africa comparison of techniques and
- 629 results." *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 4(3), 375-387.
- 630 Nakicenovic, N., Alcamo, J., Davis, G., de Vries, B., Fenhann, J., Gaffin, S., Gregory,
- 631 K., Grubler, A., Jung, T. Y., Kram, T., La Rovere, E. L., Michaelis, L., Mori, S., Morita,
- 632 T., Pepper, W., Pitcher, H. M., Price, L., Riahi, K., Roehrl, A., Rogner, H.-H.,
- 633 Sankovski, A., Schlesinger, M., Shukla, P., Smith, S. J., Swart, R., van Rooijen, S.,
- 634 Victor, N., Dadi, Z. (2000). "Special Report on Emissions Scenarios." Cambridge
- 635 University Press, Cambridge, UK, 612 pp.

- Nie, L., Lindholm, O., Lindholm, G., Syversen, E. (2009). "Impacts of climate change
  on urban drainage systems a case study in Fredrikstad, Norway." Urban Water *Journal* 6, 323-332. DOI: 10.1080/15730620802600924
- Olsson, J., Gidhagen, L., Gamerith, V., Gruber, G., Hoppe, H., Kutschera, P. (2012).
- 640 "Downscaling of Short-Term Precipitation from Regional Climate Models for
- 641 Sustainable Urban Planning." *Sustainability* 4(5), 866-887. DOI: 10.3390/su4050866
- 642 Peck, A., Prodanovic, P., Simonovic, S. P. (2012). "Rainfall Intensity Duration
- 643 Frequency Curves Under Climate Change: City of London, Ontario, Canada." *Canadian*
- 644 *Water Resources Journal* 37(3), 177-189. DOI: 10.4296/cwrj2011-935
- Pereira, M. G., Calado, T. J., DaCamara, C. C., Calheiros, T. (2013). "Effects of
  regional climate change on rural fires in Portugal." *Climate Research* 57(3), 187-200.
  DOI: 10.3354/cr01176
- 648 Peterson, T. C. (2005). "Climate change indices." WMO Bulletin 54, 83–86.
- Pizarro, R., Valdes, R., Garcia-Chevesich, P., Vallejos, C., Sangueesa, C., Morales, C.,
  Balocchi, F., Abarza, A., Fuentes, R. (2012). "Latitudinal analysis of rainfall intensity
  and mean annual precipitation in Chile." *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*72(2), 252-261.
- 653 Pui, A., Sharma, A., Mehrotra, R., Sivakumara, B., Jeremiaha, E. (2012). "A
- 654 Comparison of Alternatives for Daily to Sub-Daily Rainfall Disaggregation." *Journal of*
- 655 *Hydrology* 470-471, 138-157. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.08.041
- 656 Rockel, B., Will, A., Hense, A. (2008). "The Regional Climate Model COSMO-CLM
- 657 (CCLM)." *Meteorologische Zeitschrift* 17, 347-348. DOI: 10.1127/0941-2948/2008/030

- Rosenberg, E. A., Keys, P. W., Booth, D. B., Hartley, D., Burkey, J., Steinemann, A. C.,
- 659 Lettenmaier, D. P. (2010). "Precipitation extremes and the impacts of climate change on
- 660 stormwater infrastructure in Washington State." Climatic Change 102, 319-349.
- 661 DOI: 10.1007/s10584-010-9847-0
- Rosenzweig, C., Major, D. C., Demong, K., Stanton, C., Horton, R., Stults, M. (2007).
- 663 "Managing climate change risks in New York City's system: assessment and adaptation
- planning." *Mitig Adapt Strat Glob Change* 12, 1391-1409. DOI: 10.1007/s11027-0069070-5
- Sharif, M., Burn, D. (2007). "Improved K -nearest neighbor weather generating model." *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering* 12, 42-51. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)10840699(2007)12:1(42)
- Street, J. O., Carroll, R. J., Ruppert, D. (1988). "A Note on Computing Robust
  Regression Estimates via Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares." *The American Statistician* 42, 152–154. DOI: 10.1080/00031305.1988.10475548
- 672 Svanidze, G. G. (1964). "Principles of Estimating River-Flow Regulation by the Monte
- 673 Carlo Method." Metsniereba Press, Tbilisi, USSR
- 674 Svanidze, G. G. (1980). "Mathematical Modeling of Hydrologic Series." Water
  675 Resources Publications, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Taylor, L. L., Banwart, S. A., Valdes, P. J., Leake, J. R., Beerling, D. J. (2012).
  "Evaluating the effects of terrestrial ecosystems, climate and carbon dioxide on
  weathering over geological time: a global-scale process-based approach." *Phil. Trans.*
- 679 *R. Soc. B* 367(1588), 565-582. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0251

- Vasiljevic, B., McBean, E., Gharabaghi, B. (2012). "Trends in rainfall intensity for
  stormwater designs in Ontario." *Journal of Water and Climate Change* 3(1), 1-10. DOI:
  10.2166/wcc.2012.125
- Veneziano, D., Lepore, C., Langousis, A., Furcolo, P. (2007). "Marginal methods of
  intensity-duration-frequency estimation in scaling and nonscaling rainfall." *Water Resources Research* 43, W10418. DOI:10.1029/2007WR006040
- Veneziano, D., Yoon, S. (2013). "Rainfall extremes, excesses, and intensity-durationfrequency curves: A unified asymptotic framework and new nonasymptotic results
  based on multifractal measures." *Water Resources Research* 49(7), 4320-4334.
  DOI: 10.1002/wrcr.20352
- Zhu, J. (2013). "Impact of Climate Change on Extreme Rainfall across the United
  States." *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering* 18(10), 1301-1309. DOI:
  10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000725
- Zhu, J., Forsee, W., Schumer, R., Gautam, M. (2013). "Future projections and
  uncertainty assessment of extreme rainfall intensity in the United States from an
  ensemble of climate models." *Climatic Change* 118(2), 469-485. DOI: 10.1007/s10584012-0639-6
- Zhu, J., Stone, M. C., Forsee, W. (2012). "Analysis of potential impacts of climate 697 change on intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) relationships for six regions in the United 698 699 States." Journal of Water and 185-196. DOI: Climate Change 3(3), 700 10.2166/wcc.2012.045
- 701

### Tables

/03

Table 1 – Weather stations used in this study. Characterization includes the code, name,
abbreviation, altitude (H), geographical coordinates (latitude, longitude), cover period
(P) and percentage of hourly precipitation missing values (MV).

| Code     | Name               | Altitude (m) | Latitude (° N) | Longitude (° W) |  |
|----------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| 03G/02C  | Ponte da Barca, PB | 39           | 41.80          | -8.42           |  |
| 23K/01UG | São Manços, SM     | 190          | 38.46          | -7.75           |  |
| 070/05UG | Castelo Melhor, CM | 286          | 41.01          | -7.06           |  |
| 04R/02G  | Pinelo, PI         | 607          | 41.63          | -6.55           |  |
| 12L/03G  | Covilhã, CO        | 719          | 40.28          | -7.51           |  |
| 110/01G  | Pega, PE           | 770          | 40.43          | -7.14           |  |

| Code     | Name               | Alt (m) | Lat (° N) Lon (° W) |       | Р               | MV (%) |  |
|----------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|--|
| 03G/02C  | Ponte da Barca, PB | 39      | 41.80               | -8.42 | 01/2003-09/2012 | 4.9    |  |
| 23K/01UG | São Manços, SM     | 190     | 38.46               | -7.75 | 02/2001-03/2012 | 1.1    |  |
| 070/05UG | Castelo Melhor, CM | 286     | 41.01               | -7.06 | 10/2001-12/2009 | 0.0    |  |
| 04R/02G  | Pinelo, PI         | 607     | 41.63               | -6.55 | 02/2003-01/2012 | 3.8    |  |
| 12L/03G  | Covilhã, CO        | 719     | 40.28               | -7.51 | 05/1998-05/2010 | 11.8   |  |
| 110/01G  | Pega, PE           | 770     | 40.43               | -7.14 | 10/2001-03/2012 | 8.6    |  |

712 Table 2 – Projected changes in the dimension of the drainage systems. Height of the Gutter (H) and 713 diameter of the rainwater Pipe (D) designed for weather stations located in the three pre-defined rainfall 714 regions, using the precipitation intensity estimated by Portuguese law (DR 1995) and with data simulated 715 by COSMO-CLM, for three periods of thirty years of the two future scenarios (A1B and B1) as well as 716 the relative differences between these dimensions ( $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta D$ ). Projected changes are statistical 717 significant at 99% level except for the cases identified by superscript lowercase letter (a). Arithmetic 718 averages of  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta D$  for each weather station ( $\overline{\Delta H}_w$  and  $\overline{\Delta D}_w$ ) and region and scenario ( $\overline{\Delta H}_s$  and  $\overline{\Delta D}_s$ ) are also shown. The former values are calculated over different periods to obtain an average value 719 720 representative for the mid-21<sup>st</sup> century, thus sampling decadal variability. The latter are derived to obtain 721 values representative for each region considering scenario uncertainty.

| Station |                   | Scenario    |                                     | Gutter    |            |                           |                           | Rainwater pipe |       |                           |                           |  |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
|         |                   |             | Period                              | Н         | ΔH         | $\overline{\Delta H}_{s}$ | $\overline{\Delta H}_{w}$ | D              | ΔD    | $\overline{\Delta D}_{s}$ | $\overline{\Delta D}_{w}$ |  |
|         |                   |             |                                     | (cm)      | (%)        | (%)                       | (%)                       | (cm)           | (%)   | (%)                       | (%)                       |  |
|         | Ponte da<br>Barca | DR nº23/95  |                                     | 4.51      |            |                           |                           | 96.60          |       |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2011-2040                           | 4.92      | 9%         |                           |                           | 101.48         | 5%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   | A1B         | 2041-2070                           | 4.79      | 6%         | 9%                        |                           | 99.94          | 3%    | 5%                        |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2071-2100                           | 5.01      | 11%        |                           |                           | 102.57         | 6%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   | B1          | 2011-2040                           | 5.28      | 17%        |                           |                           | 105.50         | 9%    |                           |                           |  |
| -       |                   |             | 2041-2070                           | 5.39      | 20%        | 17%                       |                           | 106.81         | 11%   | 9%                        |                           |  |
| on /    |                   |             | 2071-2100                           | 5.14      | 14%        |                           |                           | 103.90         | 8%    |                           |                           |  |
| kegi    |                   | DRnº23/95   |                                     | 4.51      |            |                           | 21%                       | 96.60          |       |                           | 11%                       |  |
| ц       |                   |             | 2011-2040                           | 5.84      | 30%        |                           |                           | 111.67         | 16%   |                           |                           |  |
|         | <b>a</b> ~        | A1B         | 2041-2070                           | 5.91      | 31%        | 33%                       |                           | 112.38         | 16%   | 17%                       |                           |  |
|         | Mancos            |             | 2071-2100                           | 6.26      | 39%        |                           |                           | 116.00         | 20%   |                           |                           |  |
|         | manyos            |             | 2011-2040                           | 6.17      | 37%        |                           |                           | 115.06         | 19%   |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   | B1          | 2041-2070                           | 5.61      | 24%        | 26%                       |                           | 109.13         | 13%   | 14%                       |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2071-2100                           | 5.28      | 17%        |                           |                           | 105.57         | 9%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   | DRn°23/95   |                                     | 3.89      |            |                           |                           | 88.80          |       |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2011-2040                           | 4.50      | 16%        |                           |                           | 96.52          | 9%    |                           | 7%                        |  |
|         | Castelo           | A1B         | 2041-2070                           | 4.33      | 11%        | 22%                       | _                         | 94.34          | 6%    | 12%                       |                           |  |
|         | Melhor            |             | 2071-2100                           | 5.41      | 39%        |                           |                           | 107.04         | 21%   |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2011-2040                           | 4.47      | 15%        | 4.0.04                    |                           | 96.18          | 8%    | 1000                      |                           |  |
| в       |                   | B1          | 2041-2070                           | 4.36      | 12%        | 18%                       |                           | 94.76          | 7%    | 10%                       |                           |  |
| tion    |                   | DD 022/05   | 2071-2100                           | 4.99      | 28%        |                           | 100/                      | 102.31         | 15%   |                           |                           |  |
| Reg     | Pinelo            | DRn°23/95   | 2011 2040                           | 3.89      | 70/        |                           | 12%                       | 88.80          | 10/   |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   | A1B         | 2011-2040<br>2041-2070 <sup>a</sup> | 3.63      | - /%       | 404                       |                           | 85.34          | -4%   | 204                       |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2041-2070                           | 3.91      | 1%         | 4%                        |                           | 89.01          | 0%    | 2%                        |                           |  |
|         |                   | Pillelo     | 2071-2100<br>2011 2040 <sup>a</sup> | 4.57      | 18%        |                           |                           | 97.38          | 10%   |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2011-2040<br>$2041-2070^{a}$        | 4.01      | 370<br>20/ | 5%                        |                           | 90.36          | 270   | 30/2                      |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | DI                                  | 2041-2070 | 4.00       | 370<br>10%                | 570                       |                | 90.20 | 270<br>6%                 | 570                       |  |
|         |                   | DRnº23/95   | 2071-2100                           | 5.09      | 1070       |                           |                           | 103.44         | 070   |                           |                           |  |
|         | Covilhã           | 2101 20170  | 2011-2040 <sup>a</sup>              | 5.25      | 3%         |                           |                           | 105.22         | 2%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   | A1B         | 2041-2070                           | 5.37      | 5%         | 8%                        | 106.58                    | 3%             | 4%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2071-2100                           | 5.89      | 16%        |                           |                           | 112.09         | 8%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2011-2040                           | 5.38      | 6%         |                           |                           | 106.68         | 3%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   | B1          | 2041-2070                           | 5.53      | 9%         | 6%                        |                           | 108.32         | 5%    | 3%                        |                           |  |
| on C    |                   |             | 2071-2100 <sup>a</sup>              | 5.24      | 3%         |                           |                           | 105.03         | 2%    |                           |                           |  |
| egic    | Pega              | DRn°23/95   |                                     | 5.09      |            |                           | 7%                        | 103.44         |       |                           | 4%                        |  |
| R       |                   | A1B<br>Pega | 2011-2040 <sup>b</sup>              | 5.29      | 4%         |                           |                           | 105.64         | 2%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2041-2070                           | 5.45      | 7%         | 9%                        |                           | 107.48         | 4%    | 5%                        |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2071-2100                           | 5.93      | 16%        |                           |                           | 112.53         | 9%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   | B1          | 2011-2040 <sup>a</sup>              | 5.24      | 3%         |                           |                           | 105.09         | 2%    |                           |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2041-2070                           | 5.39      | 6%         | 5%                        |                           | 106.76         | 3%    | 3%                        |                           |  |
|         |                   |             | 2071-2100                           | 5.38      | 6%         |                           |                           | 106.63         | 3%    |                           |                           |  |

<sup>a</sup> change is not statistically significant (p-values>0.05).

723 <sup>b</sup> change is statistically significant at 95% level



Figure 1 - Rainfall regions defined in the Portuguese Law (DR 1995), including the geographical location of the weather stations used in this study (left panel) and the geographical location of Continental Portugal in Western Europe (right panel).



- 739
- Figure 2 The long-term (1961-2000) arithmetic average of the annual maximum daily precipitation.
- Values were evaluated with ECAD precipitation dataset (E-OBS v8.0, 0.25 degree regular lat-lon grid)
- over Continental Portugal.



744 745

745Figure 3 – Extreme Precipitation indices for Continental Portugal. Values of the (a) long-term 95th

percentile (*PRC95p*), (b) total precipitation (*PRCTOT*), (c) total precipitation falling in days with daily

- precipitation amounts greater than the corresponding *PRC95p* (*RR95pTOT*) and (d) the ratio between
- 748 *RR95pTOT* and *PRCPTOT* (*R95T*) evaluated for daily precipitation simulated by the COSMO-CLM

749 model, for recent–past climate conditions (C20 scenario, 1971 – 2000).



751



Figure 4 – Trends in long-term 95th percentile *PRC95p* over continental Portugal. Differences between of the long-term 95th percentile ( $\Delta PRC95p$ ) evaluated for future climate conditions under the B1 (top panel) and A1B (bottom panel) SRES scenarios and three 30-year periods 2011 – 2040 (left panels), 2041 – 2070 (middle panels) and 2071 – 2100 (right panels) in relation to recent–past climate conditions (C20 scenario, 1971 – 2000).