

# Recent improvements in PM\_2.5 air quality in India benefited from meteorological variation

Article

**Accepted Version** 

Xie, Y., Zhou, M., Hunt, K. M. R. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1480-3755 and Mauzerall, D. L. (2024) Recent improvements in PM\_2.5 air quality in India benefited from meteorological variation. Nature Sustainability. ISSN 2398-9629 doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-024-01366-y Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/116408/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41893-024-01366-y

Publisher: Nature

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <a href="End User Agreement">End User Agreement</a>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

**CentAUR** 



# Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

Recent improvements in PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality in India benefited from meteorological variation Yuanyu Xie<sup>1\*</sup>, Mi Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Kieran M. R. Hunt<sup>2,3</sup>, Denise L. Mauzerall<sup>1,4\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Center for Policy Research on Energy and Environment, School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK <sup>3</sup>National Centre for Atmospheric Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, UK <sup>4</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA \*Corresponding authors: Yuanyu Xie (Email: yuanyux@princeton.edu) Denise L. Mauzerall (Email: mauzerall@princeton.edu) 

#### Abstract

22

37

38 39

23 Improving air quality amid rapid industrialization and population growth is a huge challenge for 24 India. To tackle this challenge, the Indian government implemented the National Clean Air 25 Programme (NCAP) to reduce particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>) pollution in hundreds of nonattainment cities that failed to meet the national ambient air quality standards. Here, we evaluate 26 27 the efficacy of the NCAP, using data from the national air quality monitoring network combined 28 with regional model simulations. Our results show an 8.8% per year decrease in annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> 29 pollution in the six non-attainment cities with continuous air pollution monitoring since 2017. 30 Four out of the six cities had over 20% PM<sub>2.5</sub> reduction in 2022 relative to 2017 and thus met the 31 NCAP target. However, we identify that ~30% of the annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality improvements, and 32 approximately half during winter when pollution is high, can be attributed to favorable 33 meteorological conditions which are unlikely to persist as the climate warms. Meanwhile, annual 34 PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels in 44 out of 57 non-attainment cities with continuous monitors still failed to meet air quality standards in 2022. This work highlights the need for substantial additional mitigation 35 measures beyond current NCAP policies to improve air quality in India. 36

#### Introduction

- 41 Millions of people in India are breathing the most polluted air in the world. Rapid economic
- 42 growth as the country strives to become a \$5 trillion economy by 2025 has led to enormous
- 43 increases in emissions of air pollutants<sup>1,2</sup>. In 2023, 9 out of 10 of the most polluted cities in the
- world were in India<sup>3</sup>. Severe surface air pollution was estimated to be responsible for 1.67
- 45 million premature mortalities in India in 2019<sup>4</sup>, approximately 8 (13) years of life expectancy
- lost for 248 million residents of northern India (Delhi)<sup>5</sup> with a resulting economic cost of \$36.8
- 47 billion<sup>4</sup>.

- 48 In January 2019, the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC) in India
- 49 launched the National Clean Air Programme (NCAP) as a national level strategy to reduce
- 50 particulate matter (PM) air pollution. The NCAP goal is to reduce PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> pollution by
- 51 20–30% by 2024 (updated in 2022 to reduce by 40% by 2026) relative to 2017 in 102 non-
- attainment cities identified by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) in 2019<sup>6</sup>. Total
- number of non-attainment cities increased to 131 in 2023<sup>7</sup>. NCAP has provided over 10,400
- 54 Crores (~1.2 billion USD) financial support to the non-attainment cities for expansion of surface
- continuous pollution monitoring capacity, development and implementation of city action plans.
- and public awareness campaigns<sup>8, 9</sup>. There is an urgent need to assess the resulting changes in
- 57 surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality nationwide to inform future air pollution control strategies.
- Air pollution control policies target reductions of emissions at the source. However, observed
- 59 concentrations of air pollutants are modulated by meteorological variability through changing
- ventilation and resulting pollution dilution, and the formation of secondary particulates 10, 11, 12.
- 61 Previous studies suggest meteorological variability drives large daily to inter-annual variations in
- surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations across India<sup>13, 14, 15, 16</sup>. Changing pollution concentrations in turn
- affect local meteorology and regional climate through perturbation to radiation and cloud
- 64 formation<sup>17, 18, 19</sup>, which subsequently feedback to surface pollution levels. These meteorology
- 65 influence complicates the interpretation of policy effectiveness on pollution concentration trends
- and health outcomes<sup>20, 21, 22, 23</sup>. For instance, past research has estimated that meteorological
- variability contributed 10–27% of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> reduction over China during the Clean Air Action
- 68 Campaign<sup>23, 24</sup>. Understanding the relative importance of emissions versus meteorological
- variability on surface air pollution concentrations thus has implications for effective air quality
- 70 policy design.
- 71 In this study, we compile and apply strict quality controls to recently available continuous hourly
- 72 PM data from ~500 stations across India in the Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring
- 73 network (CAAQM) and five stations in the United States Department of State AirNow (US
- AirNow) networks for 2017–2022 (see Methods). While the National Air Quality Monitoring
- 75 Program (NAMP) manual PM monitoring data is used by the Indian government to identify non-
- 76 attainment cities when continuous monitors are not widely available, we only analyze manual
- data in the Supplementary Information due to large uncertainties related to manual monitoring
- and data reporting<sup>6</sup>. We perform a comprehensive evaluation of the observed changes in annual,
- 79 seasonal, and daily PM air quality from CAAQM/US AirNow in non-attainment cities and
- anationwide. To understand the drivers of the PM air pollution trends, we disentangle the role of
- 81 anthropogenic emissions versus meteorological variations using surface and satellite
- 82 observations as well as regional online-coupled meteorology-chemistry model simulations (see
- 83 Methods). Our analysis highlights the need for better air pollution monitoring data and more
- 84 stringent emission controls to improve surface air quality and public health over India.

#### Results

85

86

#### Observed improvements in surface particulate matter air quality

- 87 To evaluate pollution trends and the effectiveness of NCAP policies, we first examine the
- 88 availability of quality-controlled continuous PM monitoring data from the CAAQM and US
- 89 AirNow networks in 131 non-attainment cities and nationwide. We then analyze, for each non-
- attainment city with continuous PM monitoring, the observed changes in annual, seasonal and
- 91 daily mean PM concentrations since the NCAP baseline year 2017 for comparison with the
- 92 policy target. To be consistent with seasonal analyses, we calculate annual means as averages
- from March in the current year through February of the subsequent year.
- Figure 1 shows the locations of the 131 non-attainment cities and all cities with continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- pollution monitoring from the CAAQM and US AirNow networks (Extended Data Fig. 1). There
- are a total of 150 cities, including 62 non-attainment cities, that had at least one year of
- 97 continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> data during 2017–2022. However, only seven cities (six non-attainment) had
- 98 six consecutive years of PM<sub>2.5</sub> observations that we require to assess pollution trends. Data
- 99 coverage is better for individual seasons than for annual averages (Extended Data Fig. 2). The
- most extensive data exists for winter where 36 cities (28 non-attainment) had six consecutive
- years of winter PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring data since 2017. Thus, winter pollution trends may be more
- representative of actual national pollution trends than annual averages. Data availability for PM<sub>10</sub>
- is poorer than for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, with 134 cities (48 non-attainment) having at least one year of data and
- only one city (Delhi) having six consecutive years of data (Extended Data Fig. 3). Despite a
- notable 10-fold increase in CAAQM stations from 2017 to 2022 (Fig. 1b), in part fueled by
- NCAP support, lack of consecutive PM pollution monitoring since 2017 hinders the ability of the
- government to evaluate pollution trends and to determine for most non-attainment cities whether
- they are meeting the NCAP targets.
- We find, across Indian cities with continuous air pollution measurements, improvements in
- surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality during 2017–2022 (Fig. 2). Annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> have decreased at a rate of 8.0
- 111  $\mu g/m^3$  (-8.8%, p < 0.01) per year since 2017 in the six non-attainment cities with continuous
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring (Fig. 2b). Average PM<sub>2.5</sub> in those cities was  $91.0\pm36.7 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$  in the NCAP
- baseline year 2017, more than twice the national annual standard of  $40 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$  and ~18 times the
- current World Health Organization (WHO) standard of 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2017 none of the six cities
- had annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> in compliance with the national annual standard. Annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> decreased
- to  $51.8\pm24.5 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$  in 2022, with two cities (Chennai and Varanasi) having PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution
- levels meeting the national annual standard. Reductions of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in 2022 relative
- to 2017 exceeded 20% in four out of six non-attainment cities surpassing the NCAP targets two
- years early. Consistent but smaller PM<sub>2.5</sub> decreases were also observed in 33 cities (25 non-
- attainment) with continuous monitoring since 2018 (Fig. 2b and Extended Data Fig. 4), as well
- as in 32 cities (21 non-attainment) with manual monitors during 2017–2021 (Supplementary
- 122 Figure 1).
- Larger improvements in  $PM_{2.5}$  air quality occurred in fall through winter, the two most polluted
- seasons in India (Fig. 2c, Extended Data Fig. 4 & 5). In 13 (28) non-attainment cities with
- consecutive fall (winter) pollution monitoring, seasonal mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> decreased at a rate of 7.7%
- per year (p<0.01) for fall and 5.5% per year (p=0.03) for winter since 2017. Surface PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- concentrations in 2022 compared to 2017 was 43% and 25% lower in fall and winter,
- respectively. Daily pollution levels in fall-winter have also shifted substantially towards lower

- values over the most polluted Indo Gangetic Plain (Fig. 2c). We find a 35% increase in the
- frequency of days which met the 60 µg/m<sup>3</sup> national 24-hour standard during 2020–2022
- compared to 2017–2019. Meanwhile, the occurrence of days with very poor (>120  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) or
- severe (>250 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution, according to National Air Quality Index, decreased by
- one-third. While PM<sub>2.5</sub> reductions in spring-summer are smaller, significantly lower PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels
- by 30–40% were observed during the national COVID-19 lockdown (Mar–May in 2020) and
- partial lockdown (April–June in 2021, Supplementary Figure 2) consistent with previous
- 136 studies<sup>25, 26</sup>.

150

151

- No significant trends in  $PM_{10}$  pollution were observed in Delhi, the only city with six years of
- continuous PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring since 2017, or in the 13 cities with consecutive PM<sub>10</sub> observations
- since 2018 (Extended Data Fig. 3). Consistent with PM<sub>2.5</sub>, surface PM<sub>10</sub> pollution were 30–40%
- lower during the COVID-19 lockdown (Supplementary Figure 3), indicating the importance of
- anthropogenic contribution (e.g., road dust, construction) to surface  $PM_{10}$  pollution in India<sup>27</sup>.
- However, no significant PM<sub>10</sub> reductions in 2020 were reported by the NAMP manual
- monitoring data as compared to the 2019 and 2021 averages (Supplementary Figure 4). This may
- in part be linked to gaps in data collection due to difficulties in making manual measurements
- during the COVID-19 lockdown periods in 2020. Moreover, PM<sub>10</sub> trends observed by the NAMP
- monitoring network were inconsistent with those from CAAQM continuous monitoring networks
- in the 12 cities where annual PM<sub>10</sub> measurements from both networks are available. Such data
- discrepancies raise concerns regarding the robustness of the manual data used to identify non-
- attainment cities and to assess pollution trends.

## Changes in anthropogenic emissions were small

- We first examine whether the observed air quality improvements since 2017 can be explained by
- changes in anthropogenic emissions of primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> and key precursors nationwide as these
- species have been targeted in various pollution control policies<sup>6, 28, 29</sup>. We focus our analysis on
- 155 PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution with better data coverage and consistency. We use emission data from three
- global emission inventories as national inventories do not provide data after 2017 (see Methods).
- 157 Observational constraints from satellite-retrieved column concentrations and surface
- measurements are included for comparison as uncertainties exist in the emission estimates from
- global and regional databases of activity levels, emission factors and spatial distributions used to
- estimate emissions across India<sup>30, 31, 32, 33</sup>.
- We find slight decreases in emissions of primary particles but little change or increases in
- emissions of key PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors since the NCAP baseline year 2017 (Fig. 3, Extended Data
- 163 Fig. 6). Emissions of black carbon (BC) and organic carbon (OC) have decreased since around
- 2010 (Fig. 3a-b), consistent with the observed decreasing trends of surface BC concentrations
- across India since 2011 <sup>34</sup> and in Delhi since 2012 <sup>35</sup>. The emission reductions were primarily
- from the residential sector. This was in part driven by the wide success of *Pradhan Mantri*
- 167 *Ujjwala Yojana* launched in 2016, which aims to replace solid fuel cooking with liquified
- petroleum gas (LPG) through subsidizing 96 million LPG connections to socioeconomically
- poor rural households across India. The percentage of Indian household that use LPG as primary
- cooking fuel has increased from 28.5% in 2011 to 71% in 2020<sup>36</sup>. Surface carbon monoxide
- 171 (CO), a gas pollutant co-emitted during biomass burning, was also observed to decrease in 4
- cities with five years of continuous monitoring starting in 2018 (Fig. 3b). Rapid penetration of

- clean cooking fuel over the past several years may thus be one of the important drivers of the
- observed PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality improvements since 2017<sup>37</sup>. In addition, emission reductions of BC
- have resulted from decreases in the use of diesel in the transport sector and replacement with
- 176 compressed natural gas<sup>35</sup>, and are expected to decrease further with the introduction of electric
- buses.
- 178 In comparison, no significant decreasing trends are seen in key PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors (SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and
- NH<sub>3</sub>) from emissions inventories during 2017–2019, or from surface or satellite observations
- during 2017–2022 (Fig.3 a-c, Supplementary Figure 4). In fact, we find a 7% increase in total
- column SO<sub>2</sub> nationwide in 2022 compared to 2017, especially over regions where major coal
- power plants are located (Extended Data Fig. 7). Observed surface SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were also
- 183 14% higher in 2022 than in 2018 averaged from 18 cities with five consecutive years of
- monitoring data. These observed SO<sub>2</sub> increases were likely associated with the soaring coal
- consumption in India following a small decrease in 2019–2020<sup>38</sup>. Moreover, currently over 70%
- of coal power plants are still out of compliance with the updated 2015 emission standard for
- thermal power plants<sup>39</sup>. As the world's largest SO<sub>2</sub> emitter and with increasing energy demand,
- 188 India will need stronger enforcement of the emission standards and an increase in generation
- 189 from clean energy sources to reduce its SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
- 190 Insignificant changes in NO<sub>x</sub> and slight increases in NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations were observed during
- 191 2018–2022 compared to 2017 (Fig. 3b-c). Total column NO<sub>2</sub> from TROPOMI shows a 6%
- increase in 2022 relative to 2018 despite slight decreases in 2019–2020. The increases were most
- significant in the Indo Gangetic Plain and in major cities across the country (Extended Data Fig.
- 194 7), likely associated with increased emissions from transportation due to growing numbers of
- vehicles. These may offset emission reductions resulting from the implementation of Bharat
- 196 Stage IV emission standards since 2010 (equivalent to Euro IV) and the Bharat Stage VI
- emission standards since 2020 (based on Euro VI)<sup>40</sup>. In 2018 the Indian government also
- launched the E-Mobility Program to encourage adoption of electric vehicles. Over 6% of vehicle
- sales in 2023 were EVs and increasing EV adoption may significantly contribute to future air
- 200 quality improvements. Atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub> in India has increased by 5–10% during 2018–2022
- compared to 2017 observed from both satellite and surface measurements (Fig. 3c). The largest
- increase was over the Indo Gangetic Plain, a global hotspot of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions due to intense
- agriculture activity, unregulated use of chemical fertilizer, and numerous cattles<sup>41, 42</sup>. Currently
- few polices of which we are aware target NH<sub>3</sub> reductions and therefore emissions may continue
- 205 to increase due to growing demand for food. Consistent with previous studies, during the
- 206 COVID-19 lockdown in March–May 2020 we find significantly lower levels of NO<sub>x</sub> (-17%) and
- $SO_2$  (-20%) in major cities as well as in regions with numerous thermal power plants across
- 208 India (Supplementary Figure 5).

209

210

#### Meteorology contributes to air pollution reductions

- 211 Since changes in anthropogenic emissions were small, we next investigate the extent to which
- the observed decrease in PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution over India can be explained by meteorological
- variability. To isolate the meteorological contributions, we conduct six years of WRF-Chem
- 214 model simulations during 2017–2022 using varying meteorology but with anthropogenic
- emissions fixed at the NCAP baseline year of 2017 (see Methods). The meteorological
- contributions are estimated as the difference between the simulated PM<sub>2.5</sub> changes during 2018–

- 2022 versus 2017 relative to that observed. Detailed model evaluations are provided in the
- Supplementary Information (Text S2, Supplementary Figures 6–14). Briefly, model simulations
- reproduce the observed surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations across Indian cities and the simulated PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- chemical compositions at the Delhi Aerosol Supersite in baseline year 2017<sup>43</sup>. The model also
- 221 captures the monthly variations of meteorological variables and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations during
- 222 2017–2022, supporting the credibility of the model simulated interannual variations in surface
- 223 PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations driven by meteorological variations.
- With anthropogenic emissions fixed at the 2017 baseline level, model simulations show a 3–15%
- decrease in annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> during 2018–2022 compared to 2017 in the six non-attainment
- cities (Fig. 4a). The meteorology-driven decreases accounted for approximately 30% of the
- observed annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease in those six cities. Notably, model simulations indicate over half
- of the observed annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution decrease in Hyderabad (100%) and Chennai (50%), and
- one third in Delhi (36%) were attributable to meteorological variations (Fig. 4b). In other words,
- the NCAP PM<sub>2.5</sub> reduction target would not have been met in 2022 in these non-attainment cities
- without favorable meteorological contributions. The role of meteorology was smaller in Agra
- 232 (16%), Kanpur (12%), and negligible in Varanasi (1%), indicating the more critical role of
- anthropogenic emission controls in those cities. For instance, Varanasi was ranked top three in
- the clean air survey in 2022 for actions to reduce air pollution<sup>44</sup>. Across India, meteorology alone
- was estimated to have contributed an average of  $4.0\pm2.8 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$  decrease (-6% relative to 2017)
- in annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> during 2018–2022 in 110 out of the 131 non-attainment cities.
- 237 Meteorology-driven PM<sub>2.5</sub> decreases were most significant in winter, accounting for
- approximately half of the observed PM<sub>2.5</sub> decreases in 28 non-attainment cities with consecutive
- winter pollution monitoring (Fig. 4c-d). In particular, over 90% of the winter air quality
- improvements were estimated to be driven by favorable meteorological conditions in three cities
- 241 (Faridabad, Gobindgarh, Patna) in northern India and in four cities (Chennai, Dewas, Hyderabad,
- Visakhapatnam) in southern India. This highlights the critical role of meteorological variations in
- 243 driving the observed seasonal pollution trends. Meteorological variations also contributed to
- ~40% of the observed PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease in fall in 13 non-attainment cities. In contrast, we find a
- 245 negligible role of meteorology in summer pollution trends and slight increases in spring pollution
- 246 driven by meteorological variations.
- The most significant improvements in PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality (>50% decrease) occurred on days with
- 248 precipitation and better ventilations in winter 2021, when largest decrease in regional pollution
- were recorded, relative to the 2017 baseline (Fig. 5). These meteorological variables also show
- stronger correlations with daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> among others (Extended Data Fig. 8). During winter 2021,
- northern India recorded 62% more precipitation relative to the 2000–2022 mean and 45% fewer
- days with inversions (i.e., better vertical ventilation, Extended Data Fig. 9). This is largely
- associated with a southward shift of the subtropical jet and increased baroclinic instability that
- favors more frequent and intense western disturbances (Supplementary Figure 15), a mid-
- 255 tropospheric low-pressure system that enhances vertical mixing and contributes to the majority
- of winter precipitation over Northern India<sup>45</sup>. In contrast, winter 2017 featured prolonged
- inversions, below-normal precipitation (-51%), and 10% fewer high-wind episodes because of
- 258 the weaker western disturbances, leading to a more stable condition in the lower atmosphere that
- 259 favored the buildup of surface pollution.
- These meteorological variations may be linked to variability in sea surface temperature and the
- location of subtropical jet streams resulting from modes of climate variability such as the North

Atlantic Oscillation, El Niño and the Antarctic Oscillation<sup>15, 46</sup>. Previous studies have not been

able to agree on the trend of western disturbances frequency over the last century<sup>47, 48</sup>. However,

they are projected to decline in future climate scenarios, due to widening and weakening of the

subtropical jet streams, resulting in reduced surface wind speed<sup>14, 49, 50</sup>. Projected decreases in

winter western disturbances are likely to increase stagnation and decrease atmospheric

267 dispersion, suggesting meteorologically driven PM<sub>2.5</sub> decreases over past winters may not persist

in the future and more stringent emission controls are necessary for reducing surface air pollution

in India.

272

280

282

We further perform two sets of sensitivity simulations for winter 2017 and 2021 to better

understand the effects of possible emission changes (Methods). With a 25% increase or decrease

in anthropogenic emissions over India relative to the 2017 baseline level, the resulting PM<sub>2.5</sub>

273 changes due to emission increases (decreases) alone is 24% (-21%) averaged in the 28 cities

with continuous pollution monitoring (Extended Data Fig. 10). Model simulation with both

275 meteorology varying and emission reductions better reproduce the observed  $PM_{2.5}$  decrease

compared to simulations with emission fixed at the baseline level (Fig. 4c, Extended Data Fig.

277 10). This indicates the observed air quality improvements are likely driven by both emissions

278 controls and favorable meteorological conditions. Moreover, simulations with emission

279 reductions show an additional ~0.2 K decrease in the simulated surface temperature inversion,

which favors pollution dispersion and thus provide additional benefits to surface air quality

improvements.

# 283 Discussion

- We provide a comprehensive evaluation of the recent PM air quality trends over India under
- NCAP the first national air pollution control program with a specific pollution reduction target.
- Our study reveals significant improvements in annual and seasonal surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality
- 287 consistent with the NCAP target across India and in non-attainment cities with continuous air
- 288 quality monitoring since 2017. If surface air pollution levels nationwide decreased sufficiently to
- 289 meet the NCAP target everywhere, studies have estimated that India's national life expectancy
- 290 would increase by 1.7 years, and by 3.1 years for residents living in the heavily polluted cities
- 291 like Delhi<sup>5</sup>.
- However, in addition to efforts on emission controls, the recent achievement of the pollution
- reduction targets (about 30% of annual and half of the winter air quality improvements)
- benefited from favorable meteorological conditions that enhance pollution dispersion and wet
- removal. Unfortunately, these more favorable meteorological conditions appear unlikely to
- 296 persist under future climate change and thus additional pollution control measures will be needed
- 297 to simply maintain current air quality levels in India. Meanwhile, satellite and surface
- observations reveal increasing concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors over the past several years
- despite more stringent emission standards for vehicles and thermal power plants. Such increases
- may offset pollution reductions gained from controlling primary emissions, e.g., household solid
- fuel use, and result in further degradation of surface air quality and adverse health impacts.
- The Indian government has made great efforts over recent years to expand in situ continuous
- 303 monitoring capacity in urban centers to identify air quality non-attainment and to warn the public
- of dangerous pollution levels in support of the NCAP target. Nonetheless, continuous and quality
- data are still lacking in most non-attainment cities. One limitation of our study thus lies in the

306 availability and quality of surface air quality data as our trend assessments are primarily based on 307 PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring in 6 out of the 131 non-attainment cities (28 in winter) with quality controlled 308 CAAQM/US AirNow data starting from 2017. While surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> data is also available from 309 the more extensive NAMP manual monitoring network, we identify large discrepancies in annual 310 pollution trends measured in cities where both CAAQM (continuous) and NAMP (manual) data 311 are available. Such discrepancies raise concerns of the robustness of manual monitored pollution 312 data for identifying non-attainment cities as well as for assessing pollution trends. Reporting of 313 daily data from NAMP, rather than just annual averages, would be valuable in evaluating the 314 robustness of NAMP data.

315

316 317

318 319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327 328

329

330

331 332

333

334

335

336

337

338 339

340

341

342 343

344

345

346

347

349

In addition, there is little continuous pollution monitoring in rural areas where both outdoor and indoor air pollution are severe<sup>51</sup>, or in the eastern states where numerous coal power plants are located<sup>52</sup> and satellite observations show elevated SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. As a result, no ground-level information is available for our study to characterize attainment of air quality standards or trends in emissions in those regions. Apparent compliance with surface air quality standards is likely misleading for large areas of India. Increased siting of pollution monitoring over emission hotspots and improvements in data collection as well as quality control that results in manual and continuous measurements without large temporal gaps or errors, systematic information on monitoring station's locations and surroundings, and easier access to observations (e.g., ability to download data simultaneously from multiple stations for different chemical species) are necessary to enhance the utility of these measurements in order to determine trends and compliance with the standards<sup>53</sup>.

Another critical issue arises from the lack of an up-to-date national emission inventory that accurately represents emissions changes resulting from pollution control policies under NCAP. Model sensitivity simulations for winter 2021 with anthropogenic emissions reduced by a quarter relative to the 2017 baseline emissions better reproduce the observed PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease compared to simulations with emissions fixed at 2017 baseline level. Such emission reduction is greater than the trends extrapolated from the existing emission datasets. In addition, biases in the model simulated PM<sub>2.5</sub> components (e.g., ~40% overestimation in nitrate) may be partly due to emission uncertainties. For instance, national NO<sub>x</sub> emissions vary by 40% and sectoral contributions (e.g., residential) vary by as much as 4 times among available emission inventories from India. Improving the accuracy of the national emission inventory over time is essential for policy makers to determine whether NCAP goals are being met at national and sub-national scales.

The NCAP is an important step towards addressing severe and deteriorating ambient air quality in India. However, surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels remain very unhealthy even after meeting the NCAP pollution reduction targets. In 2022, annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution in 44 out of 57 non-attainment cities with continuous monitors still exceeded the 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> national standard. India, together with other developing countries in the global south, faces dual challenges in the coming decades as fast-growing population and energy consumption risks a dramatic increase in the emission of air pollutants and greenhouse gases. Increasing pollution emissions and feedback from a warming climate (e.g., heatwave, wildfires and stagnation) will, without strong policy intervention, place a huge health burden on a growing and aging population in developing counties and globally. Substantial additional mitigation beyond current air pollution control policies, especially those that simultaneously mitigate greenhouse gas and air pollutant emissions such as

348

decarbonatization of the energy system, electrification, reductions in agricultural waste burning,

are essential for fast-developing economies to bring air pollution to healthy levels and to play a positive role in slowing the rate of global climate change.

Methods

352

373

374

375

376377

378

379

380 381

382

383 384

385 386

387

388

389

390

391392

393

394

395

353 Surface PM observations. Surface hourly observations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> during 2017–2022 354 are obtained from ~500 stations in the Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring network 355 (CAAQM) operated by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) and State Pollution Control Board (SPCB)<sup>54</sup>, and from 5 stations in the U.S. Department of State AirNow continuous 356 357 monitoring network. These continuous monitors are mostly located in urban environments (e.g., 358 bus stations, industry, or residential centers) and may be subject to the influence of local 359 pollution sources. The measurements are made using the beta ray attenuation method and data 360 collection as well as validation follows the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency standards<sup>55</sup>. To ensure the robustness of the data, we perform rigorous quality control procedures on the 361 hourly data following the methods of a recent study<sup>56</sup> with a few modifications. Specifically, we 362 363 replace repetitive hourly values occurring more than five times in a row with a single value and screen the data for abnormal spikes and remove unexplained outliers<sup>57</sup>. We also remove 364 measurement sites that report constant data values with standard deviation less than 5% of that 365 long-term mean value<sup>58</sup>. To further ensure representative monthly and seasonal statistics for 366 trend analysis, we apply a 1/3 data coverage criteria: daily data is considered valid if at least two 367 368 measurements are available for each of the four six-hour period in one day; monthly data is 369 included if at least three daily averages are available for each 10-day period. For seasonal data 370 we require at least two monthly averages are available for each season. We also obtain hourly 371 observations of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and CO from CAAOM and apply the same quality control and 372 temporal averaging criteria as described for the PM data.

The CPCB characterized 102 non-attainment cities in 2019 based on the National Air Quality Monitoring Programme (NAMP) manual pollution monitoring stations reporting of annual average concentrations. These cities had surface air pollution exceeding National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS, annual standards for PM<sub>2.5</sub>: 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, PM<sub>10</sub>: 60 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, SO<sub>2</sub>: 50 μg/m<sup>3</sup> or 20 μg/m<sup>3</sup> for ecologically sensitive area, NO<sub>2</sub>: 40 μg/m<sup>3</sup> or 30 μg/m<sup>3</sup> for ecologically sensitive area) consecutively for five years during 2011–2015. In addition, cities listed as one of the top ten polluted in the World Health Organization Fourth Ambient Air Quality Database report for 2014–2018<sup>6</sup> are also considered non-attainment. Among the 102 non-attainment cities identified in 2019 by CPCB, 94 cities had annual PM<sub>10</sub> measurements during 2011–2015 exceeding the NAAQS, 16 cities had annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> measured at NAMP manual stations or CAAOM continuous stations exceeding the national standards since 2015, and 10 cities were listed in the WHO report<sup>6</sup>. Total number of non-attainment cities increased to 131 in 2023 according to a list compiled by CPCB<sup>7</sup>. To assess the NCAP policy effectiveness, we average PM measurements made within the same city and distinguish between attainment and nonattainment cities. Daily surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> data from the CAAOM and US AirNow networks are averaged when located in the same city as good data consistency has been found (Extended Data Fig. 1).

We provide additional analysis of the recent PM pollution trends using the annual data reported by NAMP in the Supplementary Information Text S1. The surface PM pollution trends based on NAMP data are compared with those based on CAAQM/US AirNow in cities where both manual and continuous pollution monitoring stations are available. It should be noted that the NAMP manual monitoring data is described by the NCAP report as 'indicative' rather than 'absolute' due to uncertainties in sampling intervals, chemical analyses and data reporting<sup>6</sup>. In addition,

- 396 reporting of the manual data does not include detailed information for the temporal sampling
- 397 frequency we need for quality control procedures.
- 398 Anthropogenic emissions and satellite observations. We examine changes in anthropogenic
- 399 emissions of primary particles (black carbon, organic carbon, and other anthropogenic coarse and
- 400 fine particles) and major gaseous precursors (SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>) over India since 2010 from the
- 401 Community Emissions Data System (CEDSv2021 04 21, update to 2019) global emission
- inventory<sup>59</sup>. Emissions over India are estimated based on the Regional Emissions Inventory in 402
- 403 Asia (REAS 2.1) and calibrated to Greenhouse gas-Air pollution Interactions and Synergies
- (GAINS) emission factors<sup>60</sup>. To reflect uncertainties in the global emission inventories, we also 404
- compare emissions from the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research 405
- (EDGARv6.1, updated 2018)<sup>61</sup> and Evaluating the Climate and Air Quality Impacts of Short-406
- Lived Pollutants (ECLIPSE v6b, updated to 2018)<sup>60</sup>. National emission inventories, for instance 407
- Speciated Multipollutant Generator (SMoG-India)<sup>62</sup> or The Energy and Resources Institute<sup>63</sup>, 408
- 409 may provide more accurate information on fuel consumption levels, emission factors, as well as
- emissions from urban dust not available in global emission inventories<sup>30, 64</sup>. However, neither 410
- SMoG nor TERI provide emission estimates after 2016 and are therefore not included in our 411
- 412 trend analysis.
- 413 To validate trends indicated in the emission inventories as well as to provide additional
- 414 information beyond 2019 when emission data are not available, we include top-down constraints
- 415 from satellite-retrieved total column concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> over India during
- 416 2010–2022. Total SO<sub>2</sub> columns are obtained from the Level-3 Aura/OMI Global gridded
- OMSO2e product<sup>65</sup> available during 2004–2022 at a horizontal resolution of 0.25°×0.25°. 417
- 418 Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns are obtained from Level-3 Aura/OMI global gridded Nitrogen
- Dioxide Product (OMNO2d)<sup>66</sup> available during 2004–2022 at 0.25°×0.25° where cloud fraction 419
- is less than 30%, and from the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI)<sup>67</sup> during 420
- 421 2018–2022 at 0.125°×0.125°. Satellite NH<sub>3</sub> columns are obtained from the Level-3 IASI onboard
- Metop-B satellite<sup>68, 69, 70, 71</sup> during 2013–2022 at 1°×1° horizontal resolution. 422
- Meteorology data. Daily time series of meteorological data during 2000–2022 are obtained 423
- 424 from the fifth generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF)
- reanalysis of global climate and weather (ERA5, 0.25°×0.25°)<sup>72</sup>. Tracking of western 425
- 426 disturbances are based on the ERA5 500 hPa relative vorticity<sup>45</sup>. We perform linear regression
- analysis between surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> and meteorological variables shown in previous studies to be 427
- correlated with PM<sub>2.5</sub><sup>13, 14</sup>. The meteorological variables we analyze includes surface (2m) 428
- 429 temperature, total precipitation, relative humidity, boundary layer height, surface pressure, wind
- 430 speed at surface (10m), 850 hPa and 500 hPa, and lower atmospheric instability represented by
- temperature inversion between 850 hPa and surface (Extended Data Fig. 8). Considering daytime 431
- boundary layer height during winter may be below 1000 m based on lidar observations over New 432
- 433 Delhi<sup>73</sup>, we also compare temperature inversion between 925 hPa and the surface. Our results
- 434 indicate an overall stronger correlation between surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> and temperature inversion between
- 925 hPa and the surface. For linear regression analysis, we further regrid all data to 2°×2.5° to 435
- increase statistical robustness<sup>74, 75</sup>. Both PM<sub>2.5</sub> and meteorological variables are deseasonalized 436
- and detrended for linear regression analysis to avoid correlations from common seasonality or 437
- long-term trends associated with anthropogenic emission changes<sup>24</sup>. 438
- WRF-Chem model experiments. We conduct simulations with the Weather Research and 439
- Forecasting model coupled with Chemistry<sup>76</sup> (WRF-Chem, version 3.6.1) at 27 km<sup>2</sup> horizontal 440

- resolution to characterize the role of meteorology in the observed annual and seasonal surface
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality improvements in India. The WRF-Chem model used in this study was
- configured following a previous study<sup>77</sup> but with domain focus on India. Specifically, the
- meteorological initial and lateral boundary conditions are from ERA5 at 0.25°×0.25° resolution.
- Chemical initial and boundary conditions are from the Community Atmosphere Model with
- Chemistry (CAM-Chem)<sup>78</sup>. The biogenic non-methane VOC emissions are calculated online by
- the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN)<sup>79</sup> coupled with WRF-
- 448 Chem. Anthropogenic emissions for the NCAP baseline year 2017 are from the CEDS global
- emission inventory. Emissions of primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> other than black carbon and organic carbon are
- and are thus from the ECPLISE global emission inventory over the WRF-
- 451 Chem domain.
- To isolate the impact of meteorological variability, we perform six years of model simulations
- 453 (2017–2022) with meteorology varying but with anthropogenic emissions fixed at the NCAP
- 454 2017 baseline level. The differences between the observed and simulated PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration
- during 2018–2022 relative to 2017 thus enable us to assess the impacts resulting from
- 456 meteorological variability. The WRF-Chem model was reinitiated every 24 h to prevent the
- drifting effects of simulated meteorological fields. We acknowledge that this may lead to an
- 458 underestimation of the emission–aerosol–meteorology interactions and may result in slightly
- larger PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease with emission fixed at higher than actual levels<sup>80</sup>. The model simulated
- 460 meteorological parameters are evaluated against surface observations obtained from the
- 461 integrated surface data of NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information
- 462 (<a href="https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/">https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/</a>). The simulated surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> dry mass and major chemical
- 463 components are evaluated against surface observations from the CAAQM and US AirNow
- 464 continuous pollution monitoring networks, as well as from the Delhi aerosol super site<sup>43</sup> (see
- Supplementary Information Text S2, Fig. S6–S14).
- We use two sets of sensitivity simulations to quantify the response of surface PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- concentrations to changing anthropogenic emissions alone as well as to both changing emissions
- and meteorology over India (Extended Data Fig. 10). For winters 2017 and 2021, we assume a
- 469 25% reduction in anthropogenic emissions over India relative to the 2017 baseline level. The
- 470 25% change we apply is consistent with the NCAP target of 20–30% reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution
- as no up-to-date emission inventory is available. We include another scenario in which we
- assume a 25% increase in anthropogenic emissions resulting from increasing anthropogenic
- activities with little emission controls. The difference in the simulated PM<sub>2.5</sub> between the
- sensitivity simulations with emissions varying by +/-25% and the simulations with emissions
- fixed at the 2017 level allow us to assess the effect of emission changes alone for 2017 and 2021,
- 476 respectively. The difference in the simulated PM<sub>2.5</sub> between the sensitivity simulation for 2021
- with emissions varying by  $\pm$ 25% and the simulation for 2017 with baseline emissions reflect
- 478 the impacts of both changing emissions and meteorology.

### Data availability

479

- Surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> and other air pollution data from the CAAQM network are available at
- https://app.cpcbccr.com/ccr/#/caaqm-dashboard-all/caaqm-landing. Surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> data from the
- 483 US AirNow network is available at https://www.airnow.gov/international/us-embassies-and-
- 484 consulates/. Manual monitoring data for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and other air pollution data is available at

485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494	https://cpcb.nic.in/manual-monitoring/. The CEDS emission database is available at https://github.com/JGCRI/CEDS/. The EDGAR emission database is available at https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset_ap61. The ECLIPSE emission database is available at https://iiasa.ac.at/models-tools-data/global-emission-fields-of-air-pollutants-and-ghgs. Satellite observations of SO <sub>2</sub> and NO <sub>2</sub> from OMI are available at https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni/ and from TROPOMI at https://www.temis.nl/airpollution/no2.php. Satellite observation of NH <sub>3</sub> is available at https://iasi.aeris-data.fr/nh <sub>3</sub> /. Meteorology data from ERA5 is available at https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/search?type=dataset and from NCEI at https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/. WRF-Chem outputs and processed air quality data generated in this study are publicly available on the Princeton archive at https://doi.org/10.34770/xtje-mj26.
495	
496	Code availability
497 498 499 500	Source codes of the WRF-Chem model utilized in this study are available at <a href="https://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/download/get_sources.html#WRF-Chem">https://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/download/get_sources.html#WRF-Chem</a> . All custom codes are direct implementation of standard methods and techniques as described in detail in Methods.
501	
502	Corresponding authors
503 504	Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Yuanyu Xie (Email: <a href="mailto:yuanyux@princeton.edu">yuanyux@princeton.edu</a> ) or Denise L. Mauzerall (Email: <a href="mailto:mauzerall@princeton.edu">mauzerall@princeton.edu</a> )
505	
506	Acknowledgements
507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518	We acknowledge project support from the M.S. Chadha Center for Global India at Princeton University, and the Princeton School of Public and International Affairs and its Center for Policy Research on Energy and the Environment. Kieran M. R. Hunt is supported by a NERC Independent Research Fellowship (MITRE; NE/W007924/1). We acknowledge the Central and State Pollution Control Boards in making surface PM <sub>2.5</sub> pollution measurements through the CAAQM and NAMP monitoring network. We thank Disha Sharma and Chien Nguyen in helping collect the CAAQM surface air quality data for 2015–2022. We thank Steve Smith for instructions on the CEDS global emission inventory, and Chandra Venkataraman and Tanushree Ganguly for instructions on India national emission inventories. We also thank Fabien Paulot, Vaishali Naik, Larry W. Horowitz and Meiyun Lin for their suggestions early in this study. We thank Malini Nambiar, Rohit Gupta, Edmund T. Downie, Diyansh Chug, Rohit Chandra and Wenhao Dong for constructive feedback on the manuscript.
519	
520	<b>Author contributions</b>
521 522	Y.X. and D.L.M conceptualized the study. Y.X. retrieved and constructed the dataset and performed the analysis. M.Z. contributed to data processing, WRF-Chem model simulations, and

model evaluations. K.H. analyzed the western disturbance. Y.X. and D.L.M. integrated the

results and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of findings,

provided revisions to the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript.

523

524

525

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interest.

**Fig.1 Continuous PM monitoring data availability in Indian cities. a,** Location of the 131 non-attainment cities (red dots) and cities with continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring available from the CAAQM/US AirNow networks for at least one year during 2017–2022 (black circles) on the topographic map (in meters) over India. **b.** Changes in the total number of NCAP non-attainment cities with continuous PM monitoring from the CAAQM/US AirNow networks (bars, left axis) and number of total surface PM monitoring stations from the CAAQM/US AirNow networks (lines, right axis) during 2017–2022; dashed horizontal grey lines indicate the percentage of the 131 non-attainment cities that had continuous PM monitoring data available.

Fig.2 Observed surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality improvements during 2017–2022. a, Annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Indian cities measured at continuous stations from the CAAQM and US AirNow networks in 2017-2022. Dots with black circles indicate the six non-attainment cities with six consecutive years of data. Number of total (non-attainment) cities that had PM<sub>2.5</sub> measurements are reported at the bottom left for each year, **b.** Time series of annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in 2017–2022 averaged in non-attainment (black) and all (orange) cities with consecutive PM<sub>2.5</sub> data starting from 2017 (number of cities reported at the bottom), and for nonattainment cities with consecutive data starting from 2018–2021 (different shades of grey; number of cities reported at the bottom); the left axis represent the ratio relative to 2017, the NCAP baseline; data starting from 2018–2021 are scaled to match with the ratio relative to 2017; larger dots represent greater number of nonattainment cities included for averaging; error bars denotes ±one standard error of means across available cities (n=6 (7), 25, 29, 40, and 49 as reported at the bottom), c. Probability distributions of daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in fall and winter in non-attainment cities with six consecutive years of PM2.5 measurements during 2017–2022 (n=2169, 2398, 2390, 2455, 2487, 2495, respectively), and the percentage of city-days (embedded bar plots) that fall within each pollution category (Good<=30 ug/m<sup>3</sup>, Satisfactory; 30–60 ug/m<sup>3</sup>, Moderately polluted: 60–90 μg/m<sup>3</sup>, Poor: 90–120 μg/m<sup>3</sup>, Very poor: 120–250 μg/m<sup>3</sup>, Severe:>250 μg/m<sup>3</sup>) defined by CPCB<sup>81</sup>. The dashed line in c) denotes the national 24-hourly standard for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in India.

Fig. 3 Changes in anthropogenic emissions and concentrations of primary PM<sub>2.5</sub> and key precursors since 2010. Timeseries of anthropogenic emissions of primary particles, including (a) black carbon (BC), (b) organic carbon (OC), (c) other primary fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and coarse particles (PM<sub>10</sub>), and key PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursors, including (d) sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), (e) nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), (f) ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) over India during 2010–2019 relative to 2017. a, b, d-f are from the CEDS (v2021-04-21) global emission inventory with updates to 2019, (c) is from the ECLIPSE (v6b) emission inventory with updates to 2018. Data from ECLIPSE during 2019–2020 are projections. Red lines in d-f are satellite-retrieved column total concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> from OMI, NO<sub>2</sub> from OMI and TROPOMI (blue) and NH<sub>3</sub> from IASI, respectively. Black dots in b, c, d-f are annual average surface concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO), PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> from cities with continuous CAAQM pollution monitoring for five years since 2018 (numbers of cities in parenthesis). Error bars in b-f represents ±one standard error of means across cities (n=4, 22, 18, 11, 10 as reported in parenthesis in each panel). Black triangles in a,b are observed surface concentrations of black carbon and organic carbon at the CSIR-National Physical Laboratory site in New Delhi<sup>35</sup>.

**Fig. 4 Meteorological contributions to recent PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality improvements**. (a) Annual (blue) and seasonal (green) mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease during 2018–2022 in percent relative to 2017 averaged in non-attainment cities from observations (light blue/green) and from WRF-Chem model simulations (dark blue/green) driven by meteorological variations but with emissions fixed at 2017 level. Error bar represents

±one standard error of means across cities (n=6) for annual averages, and across cities (n=15, 11, 13, 28 as reported at the bottom) for seasonal averages. The light and dark green circles for MAM represent changes if 2020 (COVID-19 lockdown) is excluded. (b) Model simulated annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease during 2018–2022 in percent relative to 2017 (colored background) and the percentage contributed by meteorological variations (dark green segments inside circles) in each of the six non-attainment cities. The size of the circles represents the magnitude of the observed PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease. (c-d) same as (a-b) but for winter PM<sub>2.5</sub> changes in 28 non-attainment cities from observations (light blue) and model simulations driven by meteorological variations but with emissions fixed at 2017 level (dark blue), and number of non-attainment cities (orange) with <10%, 10–50%, 50–90% or >90% of the observed PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease contributed by meteorological variations. The dark blue circle in c represents the simulated PM<sub>2.5</sub> decrease in 2021 with a 25% reduction in anthropogenic emission relative to the 2017 baseline level. Error bar in c represents ±one standard error of means across cities (n=28).

**Fig. 5** Comparison of daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> and meteorological variables between winter 2017 and 2021. (a), Daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations from CAAQM/US AirNow observations (solid lines) and WRF-Chem model simulation with emission fixed at the 2017 baseline level (dashed lines) averaged from 17 cities where CAAQM/US AirNow sites are available in north India (north of 23°N) in the winter of 2017 (December 2017–February 2018, orange) and 2021 (December 2021–February 2022, blue), (**b-d**) same as **a** but for daily timeseries of collocated meteorological variables including inversion (temperature difference between 925hPa and at the surface), precipitation, and surface (10m) wind speed from ERA5 reanalysis. **e-h** same as **a-d** but for the differences between the two winters (winter 2021 minus 2017) from WRF-Chem simulations with emission fixed at the 2017 level (map) and observations (circles) for PM<sub>2.5</sub> from CAAQM/US AirNow monitoring sites and collocated meteorology from ERA5 reanalysis. Shading in **a-d** represent ±one standard error of means across available sites (n=17). The winter averages, and correlation coefficient *r* and *p* value for linear regression between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and each meteorological variable are reported for each year in **a-d**.

#### References

Dey S, Di Girolamo L, van Donkelaar A, Tripathi SN, Gupta T, Mohan M. Variability of outdoor fine particulate (PM2.5) concentration in the Indian Subcontinent: A remote sensing approach. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2012, **127**: 153-161.

2. Li C, McLinden C, Fioletov V, Krotkov N, Carn S, Joiner J, et al. India Is Overtaking China as the World's Largest Emitter of Anthropogenic Sulfur Dioxide. *Sci Rep* 2017, **7**(1): 14304.

3. IQAir. 2023\_World\_Air\_Quality\_Report. 2024.

4. Pandey A, Brauer M, Cropper ML, Balakrishnan K, Mathur P, Dey S, et al. Health and economic impact of air pollution in the states of India: the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet Planetary Health 2021, 5(1): e25-e38.

Lee K, & Greenstone, M. Air quality life index - India Fact Sheet (Tech. Rep.). AQLI 2021,
 <a href="https://aqli.epic.uchicago.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/AQLI 2021-8">https://aqli.epic.uchicago.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/AQLI 2021-8</a>
 Report.EnglishGlobal.pdf.

- 6. NCAP. National Clean Air Programme. *Central Pollution Control Board Ministry of Environmental Forests and Climate Change, The Government of India* 2019, <a href="https://moef.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/NCAP\_Report.pdf">https://moef.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/NCAP\_Report.pdf</a>.
- CPCB. List of 131 Non-Attainment cities. <a href="https://cpcbnicin/uploads/Non-Attainment Citiespdf">https://cpcbnicin/uploads/Non-Attainment Citiespdf</a> 2023.

621

624

627

630

633

637

641

645

649

653

657

- 8. Harish S. Renewing India's Air Quality Management Strategy in the shadow of COVID-19.
   Centre for Policy Research 2021.
- Ganguly T, Selvaraj KL, Guttikunda SK. National Clean Air Programme (NCAP) for Indian
   cities: Review and outlook of clean air action plans. *Atmospheric Environment: X* 2020, 8.
- Jacob DJ, Winner DA. Effect of climate change on air quality. *Atmospheric Environment* 2009, **43**(1): 51-63.
- Singh N, Agarwal S, Sharma S, Chatani S, Ramanathan V. Air Pollution Over India: Causal Factors for the High Pollution with Implications for Mitigation. *ACS Earth and Space Chemistry* 2021, 5(12): 3297-3312.
- Madineni VR, Dasari HP, Karumuri R, Viswanadhapalli Y, Perumal P, Hoteit I. Natural processes dominate the pollution levels during COVID-19 lockdown over India. *Sci Rep* 2021, **11**(1): 15110.
- Schnell JL, Naik V, Horowitz LW, Paulot F, Mao J, Ginoux P, et al. Exploring the
   relationship between surface PM2.5 and meteorology in Northern India. Atmospheric
   Chemistry and Physics 2018, 18(14): 10157-10175.
- Paulot F, Naik V, W. Horowitz L. Reduction in Near-Surface Wind Speeds With Increasing
   CO2 May Worsen Winter Air Quality in the Indo-Gangetic Plain. *Geophysical Research* Letters 2022, 49(18).
- Gao M, Sherman P, Song S, Yu Y, Wu Z, McElroy MB. Seasonal prediction of Indian
   wintertime aerosol pollution using the ocean memory effect. *Science Advances* 2019,
   5(7): eaav4157.
- Ojha N, Sharma A, Kumar M, Girach I, Ansari TU, Sharma SK, et al. On the widespread
   enhancement in fine particulate matter across the Indo-Gangetic Plain towards winter.
   Sci Rep 2020, 10(1): 5862.
- 658 17. Ramanathan V, Chung C, Kim D, Bettge T, Buja L, Kiehl JT, et al. Atmospheric brown 659 clouds: impacts on South Asian climate and hydrological cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 660 2005, **102**(15): 5326-5333.

Bollasina MA, Ming Y, Ramaswamy V. Anthropogenic aerosols and the weakening of the South Asian summer monsoon. *Science* 2011, **334**(6055): 502-505.

664

667

671

675

679

683

688

691

694

697

700

- Li Z, Guo J, Ding A, Liao H, Liu J, Sun Y, et al. Aerosol and boundary-layer interactions and impact on air quality. *National Science Review* 2017, **4**(6): 810-833.
- Lin M, Horowitz LW, Xie Y, Paulot F, Malyshev S, Shevliakova E, et al. Vegetation
   feedbacks during drought exacerbate ozone air pollution extremes in Europe. Nature
   Climate Change 2020, 10(5): 444-451.
- Xie Y, Lin M, Horowitz LW. Summer PM2. 5 pollution extremes caused by wildfires over
   the western United States during 2017–2018. *Geophysical Research Letters* 2020,
   47(16): e2020GL089429.
- Xie Y, Lin M, Decharme B, Delire C, Horowitz LW, Lawrence DM, et al. Tripling of western
   US particulate pollution from wildfires in a warming climate. Proceedings of the National
   Academy of Sciences 2022, 119(14): e2111372119.
- Zhang Q, Zheng Y, Tong D, Shao M, Wang S, Zhang Y, et al. Drivers of improved PM2.5
   air quality in China from 2013 to 2017. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
   2019, 116(49): 24463-24469.
- Zhai S, Jacob DJ, Wang X, Shen L, Li K, Zhang Y, et al. Fine particulate matter
   (PM<sub&gt;2.5&lt;/sub&gt;) trends in China, 2013–2018: separating contributions
   from anthropogenic emissions and meteorology. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
   2019, 19(16): 11031-11041.
- Rahaman S, Jahangir S, Chen R, Kumar P, Thakur S. COVID-19's lockdown effect on air quality in Indian cities using air quality zonal modeling. *Urban Clim* 2021, **36:** 100802.
- 692 26. Mahato S, Pal S, Ghosh KG. Effect of lockdown amid COVID-19 pandemic on air quality 693 of the megacity Delhi, India. *Sci Total Environ* 2020, **730**: 139086.
- 695 27. Guttikunda SK, Goel R, Pant P. Nature of air pollution, emission sources, and 696 management in the Indian cities. *Atmospheric Environment* 2014, **95:** 501-510.
- 698 28. CSE. New environmental norms for the power sector. *Centre for Science and Environmen* 2016, <a href="https://cdn.cseindia.org/userfiles/new-environmental-norms-report.pdf">https://cdn.cseindia.org/userfiles/new-environmental-norms-report.pdf</a>.
- 701 29. Tibrewal K, Venkataraman C. Climate co-benefits of air quality and clean energy policy in India. *Nature Sustainability* 2020, **4**(4): 305-313.
- 704 30. Tanushree Ganguly, Adeel Khan, Ganesan K. What is polluting India's air. 2021. 705

Beale CA, Paulot F, Randles CA, Wang R, Guo X, Clarisse L, et al. Large sub-regional differences of ammonia seasonal patterns over India reveal inventory discrepancies.
 Environmental Research Letters 2022, 17(10).

709

710 32. Pai SJ, Heald CL, Coe H, Brooks J, Shephard MW, Dammers E, et al. Compositional
711 Constraints are Vital for Atmospheric PM(2.5) Source Attribution over India. ACS Earth
712 Space Chem 2022, 6(10): 2432-2445.

713

Saikawa E, Trail M, Zhong M, Wu Q, Young CL, Janssens-Maenhout G, et al.
 Uncertainties in emissions estimates of greenhouse gases and air pollutants in India and their impacts on regional air quality. *Environmental Research Letters* 2017, 12(6): 065002.

718

719 34. Manoj MR, Satheesh SK, Moorthy KK, Gogoi MM, Babu SS. Decreasing Trend in Black 720 Carbon Aerosols Over the Indian Region. *Geophysical Research Letters* 2019, **46**(5): 721 2903-2910.

722

Sharma SK, Mandal TK, Banoo R, Rai A, Rani M. Long-Term Variation in Carbonaceous
 Components of PM(2.5) from 2012 to 2021 in Delhi. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 2022,
 109(3): 502-510.

726

36. Sunil Mani, Shalu Agrawal, Abhishek Jain, Ganesan K. State of Clean Cooking Energy
 Access in India: Insights from the India Residential Energy Survey (IRES) 2020. New Delhi:
 Council on Energy, Environment and Water 2021.

730

731 37. Chowdhury S, Dey S, Guttikunda S, Pillarisetti A, Smith KR, Di Girolamo L. Indian annual ambient air quality standard is achievable by completely mitigating emissions from household sources. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019, **116**(22): 10711-10716.

734

735 38. Statista. Coal consumption in India 1998-2022.
 736 <a href="https://www.statistacom/statistics/265492/indian-coal-consumption-in-oil-equivalent/">https://www.statistacom/statistics/265492/indian-coal-consumption-in-oil-equivalent/</a>
 737 2023.

738

739 39. CEA. A review report on new SO2 norms 2021, <a href="https://cea.nic.in/wp-content/uploads/tprm/2021/08/A review report on new SO2 norms.pdf">https://cea.nic.in/wp-content/uploads/tprm/2021/08/A review report on new SO2 norms.pdf</a>.

741

742 40. CPCB. Emission norms for passenger cars, Heavy Diesel vehicles and 2/3 wheeler. 2017.

743

Jayaraman KS. Indo-gangetic plains are ammonia hotspot of the world. *Nature India* 2020, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/nindia.2020.148">https://doi.org/10.1038/nindia.2020.148</a>.

746

747 42. Kuttippurath J, Singh A, Dash SP, Mallick N, Clerbaux C, Van Damme M, et al. Record
 748 high levels of atmospheric ammonia over India: Spatial and temporal analyses. Sci Total
 749 Environ 2020, 740: 139986.

Gani S, Bhandari S, Seraj S, Wang DS, Patel K, Soni P, et al. Submicron aerosol
 composition in the world's most polluted megacity: the Delhi Aerosol Supersite study.
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 2019, 19(10): 6843-6859.

750

754

758

762

765

769

773

776

779

782

786

790

Lucknow tops clean air survey among India's 47 biggest cities. *The Times of India* 2022,
 <a href="https://invest.up.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Lucknow-tops-clean-air-survey">https://invest.up.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Lucknow-tops-clean-air-survey</a> 041222.pdf.

Hunt KMR, Turner AG, Shaffrey LC. The evolution, seasonality and impacts of western
 disturbances. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 2018, **144**(710): 278 290.

Hunt KMR, Zaz SN. Linking the North Atlantic Oscillation to winter precipitation over the
 Western Himalaya through disturbances of the subtropical jet. *Climate Dynamics* 2022.

766 47. Madhura RK, Krishnan R, Revadekar JV, Mujumdar M, Goswami BN. Changes in western disturbances over the Western Himalayas in a warming environment. *Climate Dynamics* 2014, **44**(3-4): 1157-1168.

770 48. Chug D, Pathak A, Indu J, Jain SK, Jain SK, Dimri AP, *et al.* Observed Evidence for Steep 771 Rise in the Extreme Flow of Western Himalayan Rivers. *Geophysical Research Letters* 772 2020, **47**(15).

Horton DE, Skinner CB, Singh D, Diffenbaugh NS. Occurrence and persistence of future
 atmospheric stagnation events. *Nat Clim Chang* 2014, **4:** 698-703.

Hunt KMR, Turner AG, Shaffrey LC. Falling Trend of Western Disturbances in Future
 Climate Simulations. *Journal of Climate* 2019, **32**(16): 5037-5051.

780 51. Ravishankara AR, David LM, Pierce JR, Venkataraman C. Outdoor air pollution in India is not only an urban problem. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2020, **117**(46): 28640-28644.

Lu Z, Streets DG, de Foy B, Krotkov NA. Ozone monitoring instrument observations of
 interannual increases in SO2 emissions from Indian coal-fired power plants during 2005 2012. Environ Sci Technol 2013, 47(24): 13993-14000.

787 53. Pant P, Lal RM, Guttikunda SK, Russell AG, Nagpure AS, Ramaswami A, et al. Monitoring particulate matter in India: recent trends and future outlook. *Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health* 2018, **12**(1): 45-58.

791 54. CPCB. Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring network <a href="https://appcpcbccrcom/ccr/">https://appcpcbccrcom/ccr/</a>
 792 2023.
 793

- CPCB. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONTINUOUS AMBIENT AIR QUALITY
   MONITORING (CAAQM) STATION.
   https://erc.mp.gov.in/Documents/doc/Guidelines/CAAQMS Specs new.pdf.
   Sharma D, Mauzerall D. Analysis of Air Pollution Data in India between 2015 and 2019.
   Aerosol and Air Quality Research 2022, 22(2).
- 800 801 57. Barrero MA, Orza JA, Cabello M, Canton L. Categorisation of air quality monitoring
- Singh V, Singh S, Biswal A, Kesarkar AP, Mor S, Ravindra K. Diurnal and temporal changes in air pollution during COVID-19 strict lockdown over different regions of India. *Environ Pollut* 2020, **266**(Pt 3): 115368.

stations by evaluation of PM(10) variability. Sci Total Environ 2015, 524-525: 225-236.

- Hoesly RM, Smith SJ, Feng L, Klimont Z, Janssens-Maenhout G, Pitkanen T, et al.
  Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the
  Community Emissions Data System (CEDS). Geoscientific Model Development 2018,
  11(1): 369-408.
- Klimont Z, Kupiainen K, Heyes C, Purohit P, Cofala J, Rafaj P, et al. Global anthropogenic emissions of particulate matter including black carbon. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 2017, **17**(14): 8681-8723.
- Crippa MG, Diego; Muntean, Marilena; Schaaf, Edwin; Monforti-Ferrario, Fabio; Banja,
   Manjola; Pagani, Federico; Solazzo, Efisio. EDGAR v6.1 Global Air Pollutant Emissions.
   European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC) <a href="http://dataeuropaeu/89h/df521e05-6a3b-461c-965a-b703fb62313e">http://dataeuropaeu/89h/df521e05-6a3b-461c-965a-b703fb62313e</a> 2022.
- 822 62. SMoG-India. SPECIATED MULTIPOLLUTANT GENERATOR.
   823 <a href="https://sitesgooglecom/view/smogindia">https://sitesgooglecom/view/smogindia</a> 2021.
   824

802

803

807

812

816

821

831

- 825 63. TERI. Development of Spatially Resolved Air Pollution Emission Inventory of India. 2021. 826
- McDuffie EE, Smith SJ, O'Rourke P, Tibrewal K, Venkataraman C, Marais EA, et al. A global anthropogenic emission inventory of atmospheric pollutants from sector- and fuel-specific sources (1970–2017): an application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS). *Earth System Science Data* 2020, **12**(4): 3413-3442.
- 832 65. Can Li NAK, and Peter Leonard. OMI/Aura Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) Total Column L3 1 day
  833 Best Pixel in 0.25 degree x 0.25 degree V3. *Goddard Earth Sciences Data and*834 *Information Services Center (GES DISC)* 2020: Accessed: May 4, 2022.
- 836 66. Nickolay A. Krotkov LNL, Sergey V. Marchenko, Edward A. Celarier, Eric J.Bucsela, William H. Swartz, Joanna Joiner and the OMI core team. OMI/Aura NO2 Cloud-

Screened Total and Tropospheric Column L3 Global Gridded 0.25 degree x 0.25 degree V3. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center 2019, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC).

841

van Geffen JHGM, Eskes, H.J., Boersma, K.F., Maasakkers, J.D. and Veefkind, J.P.,.
 TROPOMI ATBD of the total and tropospheric NO2 data products. *Report S5P-KNMI-L2-0005-RP* 2022, KNMI, De Bilt, The Netherlands.

845

846 68. Whitburn S, Van Damme M, Clarisse L, Bauduin S, Heald CL, Hadji-Lazaro J, et al. A 847 flexible and robust neural network IASI-NH3 retrieval algorithm. *Journal of Geophysical* 848 *Research: Atmospheres* 2016, **121**(11): 6581-6599.

849

Van Damme M, Whitburn S, Clarisse L, Clerbaux C, Hurtmans D, Coheur P-F. Version 2 of the IASI NH<sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; neural network retrieval algorithm: near-real-time and reanalysed datasets. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 2017, **10**(12): 4905-4914.

854

Franco B, Clarisse L, Stavrakou T, Müller J-F, Van Damme M, Whitburn S, et al. A General Framework for Global Retrievals of Trace Gases From IASI: Application to Methanol, Formic Acid, and PAN. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 2018, **123**(24): 13,963-913,984.

859

Van Damme M, Clarisse L, Franco B, Sutton MA, Erisman JW, Wichink Kruit R, et al.
 Global, regional and national trends of atmospheric ammonia derived from a decadal
 (2008–2018) satellite record. Environmental Research Letters 2021, 16(5).

863

Hersbach H, Bell B, Berrisford P, Hirahara S, Horányi A, Muñoz-Sabater J, et al. The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 2020, **146**(730): 1999-2049.

867

Nakoudi K, Giannakaki E, Dandou A, Tombrou M, Komppula M. Planetary boundary layer height by means of lidar and numerical simulations over New Delhi, India. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 2019, **12**(5): 2595-2610.

871

74. Tai APK, Mickley LJ, Jacob DJ. Impact of 2000–2050 climate change on fine particulate matter (PM<sub&gt;2.5&lt;/sub&gt;) air quality inferred from a multi-model analysis of meteorological modes. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 2012, **12**(23): 11329-11337.

876

877 75. Shen L, Mickley LJ, Murray LT. Influence of 2000–2050 climate change on particulate 878 matter in the United States: results from a new statistical model. *Atmospheric Chemistry* 879 *and Physics* 2017, **17**(6): 4355-4367.

76. Grell GA, Peckham SE, Schmitz R, McKeen SA, Frost G, Skamarock WC, et al. Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model. Atmospheric Environment 2005, (37): 6957-6975. 77. Zhou M, Liu H, Peng L, Qin Y, Chen D, Zhang L, et al. Environmental benefits and household costs of clean heating options in northern China. Nature Sustainability 2022, (4): 329-338. Buchholz RR, Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., & The CESM2 Development Team. 78. CESM2.1/CAM-chem Instantaneous Output for Boundary Conditions. UCAR/NCAR -Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling Laboratory 2019. 79. Guenther A, Karl T, Harley P, Wiedinmyer C, Palmer PI, Geron C. Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature). Atmos Chem Phys 2006, 6(11): 3181-3210. 80. Zhou M, Zhang L, Chen D, Gu Y, Fu T-M, Gao M, et al. The impact of aerosol-radiation interactions on the effectiveness of emission control measures. Environmental Research Letters 2019, 14(2). 81. CPCB. National Air Quality Index. https://cpcbnicin/displaypdfphp?id=bmF0aW9uYWwtYWlyLXF1YWxpdHktaW5kZXqvQW JvdXRfQVFJLnBkZq==.